Mary B. Farrell, Schuyler. Inez Gail Lidgard, Stockville. Harry H. Jordan, Wilcox.

NEW JERSEY

Aubrey H. Phillips, Clementon. Lewis D. Smith, Jr., Fort Hancock. Robert P. Cosgrove, Madison. Charles A. Hildebrand, Ridgefield.

NEW YORK

Rita McGoey, Hartsdale.

NORTH CAROLINA

Clyde B. Archbell, Battleboro. Gladys O. Howard, Cornelius. Singleton F. Thompson, Flat Rock. Thad T. Russell, Granite Falls. Angus Raymond McRacken, Kenly, William E. Blakely, Kings Mountain, Robert A. Rudisill, Maiden. Jarnagin C. Rice, Montreat. Herbert O. Phillips, Morehead City. Harry H. Llewellyn, Mount Airy. Oliver L. Hoffman, Mount Holly. Leon A. Mann, Newport. William J. Smith, Jr., Oriental. James K. Beaty, Paw Creek. Lois D. Braswell, Princeton. James B. Hayes, Rocky Point. Lucile L. White, Salemburg. Frank L. Nixon, Sunbury. Lewis Taylor Bartholomew, Spring Hope.

NORTH DAKOTA

Frank W. Kelly, Devils Lake.

OHIO

Ferdinand J. Lenhart, Botkins.
Ervin J. Ostermyer, Chatfield.
William F. Hookway, Creston.
Paul C. Patterson, East Sparta.
Cleo B. Brockman, Fort Jennings.
William H. McConaha, Fort Recovery.
Paul E. Harbaugh, Kings Mills.
Irene Francescon, Leavittsburg.
Robert W. Gutermuth, Mason.
Gladys E. Sperry, Middlefield.
Myron G. Swaller, Navarre.
Elwood E. Hardesty, Paulding.
August J. Brown, Wapakoneta.
James Spencer Hockenbery, West Jefferson.
Henry Provo, Wickliffe.

PENNSYLVANIA

Alice S. Keating, Avondale. Urban W. O'Donnell, Bethlehem. Lawrence J. Leonard, Butler. Ardrey Boyle, Centerville. James P. Sullivan, Corry. Bernetta B. Deegan, Dushore. Elmer S. Harry, East Petersburg. Chester R. Wahl, Evans City. James B. Eschbach, Florin. Carolyn T. Foulk, Gap. Peter V. Abel, Graterford. James E. Madigan, Houtzdale. Ellis L. Lynch, McConnellsburg. Joseph W. Hoover, Mountville. Charles D. Fitzpatrick, Trevorton. Roy Wilkinson, Valley Forge.

PUERTO RICO

Judson Ulery McGuire, Bayamon. Adela Delpin, Fajardo. Rita M. Vecchini, Guayanilla. Irma E. Kryzanowsky, Ponce.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Lottie G. Myers, Pamplico.

TENNESSEE

Lindsay N. Smith, Culleoka. Thaddeus C. Haley, Friendship. Edgar D. Hagan, Redboiling Springs.

TEXAS

George J. Bell, Aubrey. Charles M. Fagg, Blue Ridge. Devoe Dover, Carbon. Marion L. Garvin, Jr., Como. J. Frank Weaver, Cumby. Walter B. May, Desdemona. Coin T. Seago, Gustine. Jimmie L. Holford, Hico. James F. Mitchell, Lancaster. Henry T. Peace, La Pryor. Geneva M. Michael, May. David C. Harris, Mineral Wells. W. J. Smith, Montgomery. Irene King, Neches. Ida A. Stockburger, Oglesby. Sarah O. Beaver, Queen City. Lillian Beazley, Quemado. Marcus E. Jud, Riesel. Janie W. Chandler, Smiley. William B. Richardson, Telephone. Andrew S. Tarpley, Truscott.

VERMONT

Albert A. LaFrance, Derby Line. Robert F. Pierce, Lyndonville. Harry L. Simonds, Saxtons River.

WASHINGTON

Alvin E. Scott, Benton City.
Elliot Curry, Colville.
Mary F. Stowers, Eastsound.
Regina M. Mohrmann, Ferndale.
William Robert Ross, Grand Coulee.
Erica A. Sheehan, Lake Stevens.
Esther H. Boaz, Manson.
Thomas Phil Hickman, Monroe.
Ethel R. Hanks, Port Orchard.
Truman W. Chamberlain, Quincy.
Edward V. Pressentin, Rockport.

WEST VIRGINIA

Bennie D. Wiley, Athens. Harper H. Hudson, Durbin. Reuben Williams, Glen Rogers. James B. Shrewsbury, Princeton.

WISCONSIN

Fred V. Starry, Barneveld. Christian A. Hoen, Edgarton. H. Shirley Smith, Holmen.

WITHDRAWAL

Executive nomination withdrawn from the Senate June 8, 1936

POSTMASTER

PENNSYLVANIA

Annis G. Lewis to be postmaster at Ulysses, in the State of Pennsylvania.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 8, 1936

The House met at 12 o'clock meridian.

The Chaplain, Rev. James Shera Montgomery, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Eternal God, our Heavenly Father, we are deeply grateful for the blessed assurance of Thy promise: "Thou wilt keep him in perfect peace whose mind is stayed on Thee, because he trusteth in Thee." When Thou dost guide us no ill can come. Keep ever in our hearts, merciful Lord, the teaching

of Thy Holy Word: "Blessed is the man that walketh not in the counsel of the ungodly, nor standeth in the way of sinners, nor sitteth in the seat of the scornful. But his delight is in the law of the Lord, and in His law doth he meditate day and night." Bless us all with the refuge of pure thoughts and good works. Through Christ. Amen.

The Journal of the proceedings of Friday, June 5, 1936, was read and approved.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Sundry messages in writing from the President of the United States were communicated to the House by Mr. Latta, one of his secretaries, who also informed the House that on the following dates the President approved and signed bills and joint resolutions of the House of the following titles:

On June 1, 1936:

H. J. Res. 525. Joint resolution to enable the United States Constitution Sesquicentennial Commission to carry out and give effect to certain approved plans, and for other purposes. On June 3, 1936:

H. R. 1252. An act for the relief of Odessa Mason;

H. R. 9125. An act for the relief of Dr. F. U. Painter, Dr. H. A. White, Dr. C. P. Yeager, Dr. W. C. Barnard, Mrs. G. C. Oliphant, Amelia A. Daimwood, the Sun Pharmacy, Bruno's Pharmacy, Viola Doyle Maguire, Louise Harmon, Mrs. J. B. Wilkinson, Sisters of Charity of the Incarnate Word, Grace Hinnant, Dr. E. O. Arnold, and Jennie Chapman;

H.R. 9496. An act to protect the United States against loss in the delivery through the mails of checks in payment of benefits provided for by laws administered by the Veterans' Administration;

H. R. 10565. An act for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. William O'Brien;

H. R. 12027. An act to authorize the execution of plans for a permanent memorial to Thomas Jefferson;

H. R. 12527. An act making appropriations for the Navy Department and the naval service for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, and for other purposes;

On June 4, 1936:

H. R. 2501. An act for the relief of Mrs. G. A. Brannan;

H. R. 7688. An act to provide for the appointment of substitute postal employees, and for other purposes;

H. R. 7825. An act for the relief of Michael Stodolnik;

H. R. 8312. An act to add certain lands to the Rogue River National Forest in the State of Oregon;

H. R. 8495. An act to amend certain plant-quarantine laws;

H. R. 8884. An act for the relief of Mrs. Ollie Myers;

H.R. 9009. An act to make lands in drainage, irrigation, and conservancy districts eligible for loans by the Federal land banks and other Federal agencies loaning on farm lands, notwithstanding the existence of prior liens of assessments made by such districts, and for other purposes;

H. R. 9991. An act to extend the time for applying for and receiving benefits under the act entitled "An act to provide means by which certain Filipinos can emigrate from the United States", approved July 10, 1935;

H. R. 10174. An act for the relief of Ezra Curtis;

H. R. 10849. An act to authorize an appropriation for improvement of ammunition storage facilities at Aliamanu, Territory of Hawaii, and Edgewood Arsenal, Md.;

H.R.11006. An act providing for the examination of the Nueces River and its tributaries in the State of Texas for flood-control purposes;

H. R. 11052. An act for the relief of Joseph M. Purrington; H. R. 11418. An act making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture and for the Farm Credit Administra-

tion for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, and for other purposes;

H R 11768 An act authorizing construction operation.

H.R. 11768. An act authorizing construction, operation, and maintenance of Rio Grande canalization project and authorizing appropriation for that purpose;

H. R. 11821. An act to correct an error in section 16 (e) (1) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended, with respect

to adjustments in taxes on stocks on hand, in the case of a reduction in processing tax;

H.R. 11929. An act granting to the State of Iowa for State park purposes certain land of the United States in Clayton County, Iowa;

H.R. 12370. An act to authorize a preliminary examination of Big Blue River and its tributaries with a view to the control of their floods; and

H. J. Res. 497. Joint resolution to permit articles imported from foreign countries for the purpose of exhibition at the International Petroleum Exposition, Tulsa, Okla., to be admitted without payment of tariff, and for other purposes.

On June 5, 1936:

H. R. 190. An act granting authority to the Secretary of War to license the use of a certain parcel of land situated in Fort Brady Reservation to Ira D. MacLachlan Post, No. 3, the American Legion, for 15 years;

H. R. 1997. An act to amend Public Law No. 425, Seventy-second Congress, providing for the selection of certain lands in the State of California for the use of the California State Park System, approved March 3, 1933;

H. R. 2479. An act for the relief of Charles G. Johnson, State treasurer of the State of California;

H. R. 2737. An act extending and continuing to January 12, 1938, the provisions of the act entitled "An act authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to determine and confirm by patent in the nature of a deed of quitclaim the title to lots in the city of Pensacola, Fla.", approved January 12, 1925;

H. R. 5722. An act to provide for the addition or additions of certain lands to the Colonial National Monument in the State of Virginia;

H. R. 6163. An act for the relief of Mrs. Murray A. Hintz; H. R. 7025. An act authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to furnish transportation to persons in the service of the

United States in the Virgin Islands, and for other purposes; H. R. 7930. An act to eliminate certain lands from the Craters of the Moon National Monument, Idaho;

H. R. 8039. An act for the relief of John B. Meisinger and Nannie B. Meisinger;

H.R. 8074. An act to amend the act of March 3, 1925, relating to Fort McHenry;

H. R. 8278. An act for the relief of Earl Elmer Gallatin;

H. R. 9170. An act for the relief of Montie Hermanson;

H.R.11164. An act for the relief of Arthur Van Gestel, alias A. Arthur Goodsell;

H.R. 11616. An act to fix the compensation of the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation;

H. R. 11792. An act declaring Bayou St. John, in the city of New Orleans, La., a nonnavigable stream;

H. R. 12870. An act to aid in defraying the expenses for the celebration of the bicentennial of the birth of Patrick Henry to be held at Hanover Courthouse, Va., July 15, 16, and 17, 1936;

H. J. Res. 465. Joint resolution to amend the joint resolution of July 18, 1935, relating to the Seventieth National Encampment of the Grand Army of the Republic, to be held in the District of Columbia in September 1936;

H. J. Res. 499. Joint resolution authorizing and requesting the President to extend to the Government of Sweden and individuals an invitation to join the Government and people of the United States in the observance of the three hundredth anniversary of the first permanent settlement in the Delaware River Valley, and for other purposes; and

H. J. Res. 570. Joint resolution authorizing the President of the United States to award posthumously a Distinguished Service Medal to Maj. Gen. Clarence Ransom Edwards.

On June 8, 1936:

H.R. 4148. An act for the relief of the Thomas Marine Railway Co., Inc.; and

H. J. Res. 377. Joint resolution to enable the States of Maine, New Hampshire, New York, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Kentucky, Indiana, Illinois, Tennessee, and Ohio to conserve and regulate the flow of and purify the waters of rivers and

streams whose drainage basins lie within two or more of the said States.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate, by Mr. Horne, its enrolling clerk, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment bills and joint resolutions of the House of the following

H. R. 300. An act for the relief of F. P. Bolack;

H. R. 686. An act for the relief of John Collins:

H. R. 796. An act for the relief of A. E. Clark;

H. R. 993. An act for the relief of Frank A. Boyle;

H. R. 2213. An act for the relief of Charles P. Shipley Saddlery & Mercantile Co.;

H. R. 2262. An act for the relief of William H. Locke;

H. R. 2387. An act for the relief of Julia Miller;

H. R. 2400. An act for the relief of Blanche Knight.

H. R. 2495. An act for the relief of Thomas Berchel Burke,

H. R. 2496. An act for the relief of Thomas J. Moran;

H. R. 2497. An act for the relief of William H. Hildebrand;

H. R. 3160. An act for the relief of Irene Magnuson and Oscar L. Magnuson, her husband:

H. R. 3388. An act for the relief of Jessie D. Bowman;

H. R. 3694. An act for the relief of Florence Byvank;

H. R. 3907. An act for the relief of James L. Park;

H. R. 4085. An act for the relief of Joseph Watkins;

H. R. 4219. An act for the relief of John J. Ryan:

H. R. 4373. An act for the relief of Albert Gonzales.

H. R. 4565. An act for the relief of Lucile Smith: H. R. 4619. An act for the relief of Joseph Salinghi;

H. R. 4699. An act for the relief of Estelle M. Gardiner;

H. R. 4955. An act for the relief of the estate of Jennie Brenner:

H. R. 5635. An act conferring jurisdiction upon the Court of Claims, to hear, determine, and render judgment upon the claim of the mayor and aldermen of Jersey City, Hudson County, N. J., a municipal corporation;

H. R. 5752. An act for the relief of May Wynne Lamb;

H. R. 5870. An act for the relief of K. S. Szymanski;

H. R. 5900. An act for the relief of Joseph E. Moore;

H. R. 6702. An act for the relief of Annie E. Daniels;

H.R. 7270. An act for the relief of Clara Imbesi and Domenick Imbesi;

H. R. 7555. An act for the relief of W. N. Holbrook;

H. R. 7743. An act for the relief of Mrs. David C. Stafford;

H. R. 7764. An act to relieve restricted Indians whose lands have been taxed or have been lost by failure to pay taxes. and for other purposes;

H. R. 8028. An act for the relief of the Great Northern Railway Co.;

H. R. 8033. An act for the relief of Juanita Filmore, a minor:

H.R. 8055. An act to provide for economic studies of the fishery industry, market news service, and orderly marketing of fishery products, and for other purposes;

H. R. 8200. An act for the relief of the seamen of the steamship Santa Ana;

H. R. 8220. An act for the relief of Helen Mahar Johnson; H. R. 8671. An act for the relief of R. H. Quynn, lieutenant, United States Navy:

H.R. 8759. An act to amend the act known as the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930, approved June 10, 1930, as amended;

H. R. 9926. An act for the relief of Robert B. Barker;

H. R. 10225. An act for the relief of W. D. Lovell;

H. R. 10435. An act for the relief of Emma Hastings;

H.R. 10527. An act for the relief of Harris Bros. Plumbing Co.:

H. R. 10677. An act for the relief of Cora Fulghum and Ben Peterson:

H.R. 10712. An act to authorize the transfer of land from the War Department to the Territory of Hawaii;

H.R. 10916. An act for the relief of Carl Hardin, Orville Richardson, and W. E. Payne;

H. R. 11072. An act authorizing the appointment of an additional district judge for the eastern district of Pennsylvania;

H. R. 11203. An act for the relief of Andrew Smith:

H. R. 11218. An act to provide for the disposition of tribal funds now on deposit or later placed to the credit of the Crow Tribe of Indians, Montana, and for other purposes;

H. R. 11262. An act for the relief of Brooks-Callaway Co.; H.R. 11461. An act for the relief of the estates of N. G.

Harper and Amos Phillips:

H. R. 11522. An act to amend the charter of the National Union Insurance Co. of Washington in the District of Co-

H.R. 11643. An act to amend certain provisions of the act of March 7, 1928 (45 Stat. L. 210-212);

H.R. 11819. An act to extend the times for commencing and completing the construction of a bridge across the Missouri River at or near Arrow Rock, Mo.;

H.R. 11820. An act to extend the times for commencing and completing the construction of a bridge across the Missouri River at or near Miami, Mo.;

H. R. 11916. An act to authorize the transfer of a certain piece of land in Muhlenberg County, Ky., to the State of

H. R. 12006. An act to authorize a preliminary examination of the Kennebec River, Maine, and its tributaries, with a view to the control of their floods;

H. R. 12033. An act authorizing and directing the Secretary of the Interior to sell to the city of Los Angeles, Calif., certain public lands in California; and granting rights-ofway over public lands and reserve lands to the city of Los Angeles in Mono County in the State of California;

H. R. 12074. An act to consolidate the Indian pueblos of Jemez and Pecos, N. Mex.;

H. R. 12202. An act to provide for a preliminary examination of Six Mile Creek in Logan County, Ark., with a view to flood control and to determine the cost of such improvement;

H. R. 12240. An act to authorize a preliminary examination of the tributaries, sources, and headwaters of the Allegheny and Susquehanna Rivers in the State of Pennsylvania, where no examination and survey has heretofore been made, with a view to the control of their floods and the regulation and conservation of their waters;

H. R. 12305. An act to define the jurisdiction of the Coast Guard:

H. R. 12311. An act for the relief of the P. L. Andrews Corporation:

H. R. 12408. An act for the relief of Robert D. Baldwin;

H. R. 12461. An act to extend the times for commencing and completing the construction of a bridge across the Savannah River at or near Burtons Ferry, near Sylvania, Ga.:

H. R. 12514. An act authorizing the Chesapeake Bay Authority to construct, maintain, and operate a toll bridge across the Chesapeake Bay, from a point in Baltimore County, Md., over Hart Island and Miller's Island, to a point near Tolchester, Kent County, Md.;

H. R. 12622. An act for the relief of Dr. Harold W. Foght;

H. R. 12685. An act granting the consent of Congress to the county of Horry, S. C., to construct, maintain, and operate a free highway bridge across the Waccamaw River, at or near Red Bluff, S. C.;

H. R. 12896. An act to provide for the transfer of the surplus decommissioned lightship No. 82 to United States Ship Constitution Post, No. 3339, Veterans of Foreign Wars;

H. J. Res. 415. Joint resolution to carry out the intention of Congress with reference to the claims of the Chippewa Indians of Minnesota against the United States;

H. J. Res. 444. Joint resolution to amend the joint resolution entitled "Joint resolution authorizing the Federal Trade Commission to make an investigation with respect to agricultural income and the financial and economic condition of agricultural producers generally", approved August 27, 1935;

H. J. Res. 522. Joint resolution for the relief of William W.

H. J. Res. 583. Joint resolution authorizing the Veterans' Administration to prepare and publish a compilation of all Federal laws relating to veterans of wars of the United States: and

H. J. Res. 589. Joint resolution to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to permit the transportation of bonded merchandise by other than common carriers under certain conditions.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed, with amendments, in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H. R. 12395. An act to provide revenue, equalize taxation, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendments to the foregoing bill, requests a conference with the House thereon, and appoints Mr. King, Mr. George, Mr. Walsh, Mr. Bulkley, Mr. Connally, Mr. Hastings, Mr. Metcalf, and Mr. La Follette to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed bills and joint resolutions of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1288. An act to promote the safety of employees and travelers on railroads by requiring common carriers engaged in interstate commerce to install, inspect, test, repair, and maintain block-signal systems, interlocking, highway grade-crossing protective devices, automatic train stop, train control, cab-signal devices, and other appliances, methods, and systems intended to promote the safety of railroad operation;

S. 1790. An act for the relief of Margaret Murphy;
S. 2511. An act to promote the safety of employees and travelers on railroads by providing for the inspection and investigation of conditions prevailing in train-dispatching offices and for the promulgation of necessary rules and regulations governing the working conditions of train dispatchers;

S. 2976. An act for the relief of John Edgar White, a

S. 3405. An act for the relief of Capt. James W. Darr;

S. 3438. An act to provide for the establishment of an agricultural experiment station within the middle Rio Grande conservancy district in the State of New Mexico;

S. 3484. An act for the relief of Edward Y. Garcia and Aurelia Garcia:

S. 3505. An act for the improvement and protection of the beaches along the shores of the United States;

S. 3879. An act for the relief of James W. Grist;

S. 3930. An act authorizing an appropriation for payment to the Sac and Fox Tribe of Indians in the State of Oklahoma:

S. 3957. An act granting the consent of Congress to the States of Montana and Wyoming to negotiate and enter into a compact or agreement for division of the waters of the Yellowstone River;

S. 4062. An act to provide for a survey of the Cabinet Gorge on the Clark Fork of the Columbia River;

S. 4142. An act for the relief of owners of property damaged by high waters in the Blackfoot Reservoir;

S. 4160. An act for the relief of F. M. Loeffler;

S. 4182. An act to authorize the city of Chamberlain, S. Dak., to construct, equip, and maintain tourist cabins on American Island, S. Dak., to operate and maintain a tourist camp and certain amusement and recreational facilities on such island, to make charges in connection therewith, and for other purposes;

S. 4185. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to authorize the Secretary of Commerce to dispose of certain portions of Anastasia Island Lighthouse Reservation, Fla., and for other purposes", approved August 27, 1935, and for other purposes;

S. 4195. An act to provide for the issuance of a license to practice the healing art in the District of Columbia to Dr. Ralph Charles Stuart;

S. 4204. An act to authorize the payment of the burial and funeral expenses of Harley H. Hester, late corporal, Machine Gun Company, Three Hundred and Thirty-ninth Regiment, United States Infantry;

S. 4241. An act to provide for the sale of a certain isolated tract of the public domain in the State of Oregon;

S. 4362. An act for the relief of Rufus C. Long;

S. 4363. An act for the relief of B. W. Winward;

S. 4392. An act to add certain lands to the Sawtooth National Forest;

S. 4456. An act for the relief of the estate of Charles White; S. 4478. An act for the relief of Joseph N. Wenger, lieu-

tenant, United States Navy, and for other purposes;

S. 4493. An act to provide for the construction, extension, and improvement of public-school buildings in Uintah County, Utah;

S. 4495. An act to amend certain of the navigation laws of the United States, to remove inconsistencies and inequalities therein, and for other purposes;

S. 4551. An act to authorize the addition of certain names to the final rolls of the Blackfeet Tribe of Indians in the State of Montana;

S. 4568. An act to permit construction, maintenance, and use of certain pipe lines for petroleum and petroleum products in the District of Columbia;

S. 4591. An act for the relief of the children of Rees Morgan;

S. 4686. An act to amend the act known as the Federal Credit Union Act, approved June 26, 1934;

S. 4723. An act to authorize cooperation in the development of farm forestry in the States and Territories, and for other purposes:

S. 4724. An act for the relief of Henry C. Anderson;

S. 4734. An act to provide for hurricane patrol in the Gulf of Mexico and environs during the hurricane season;

S. 4737. An act to provide for the sale of the Port Newark Army Base to the city of Newark, N. J., and for other purposes:

S. 4740. An act to provide a graduated scale of reduction of payments under section 8 of the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act;

S. J. Res. 177. Joint resolution to define the term of certain contracts with Indian tribes;

S. J. Res. 207. Joint resolution to amend the act of July 3, 1926, entitled "An act conferring jurisdiction upon the Court of Claims, to hear, examine, adjudicate, and render judgment in claims which the Crow Tribe of Indians may have against the United States, and for other purposes" (44 Stat. L. 807);

S. J. Res. 241. Joint resolution to declare December 26, 1936, a legal holiday in the District of Columbia;

S. J. Res. 272. Joint resolution to provide for the maintenance of public order and the protection of life and property in connection with the Presidential inaugural ceremonies in 1937;

S. J. Res. 273. Joint resolution authorizing the granting of permits to the Committee on Inaugural Ceremonies on the occasion of the inauguration of the President-elect in January 1937, and for other purposes;

S. J. Res. 274. Joint resolution to exempt from the tax on admissions amounts paid for admission tickets sold by authority of the Committee on Inaugural Ceremonies on the occasion of the inauguration of the President-elect in January 1937:

S. J. Res. 275. Joint resolution to provide for the quartering, in certain public buildings in the District of Columbia, of troops participating in the inaugural ceremonies;

S. J. Res. 277. Joint resolution to investigate corporations engaged in the manufacture, sale, or distribution of agricultural implements and machinery;

S. J. Res. 279. Joint resolution establishing a commission to make a study and report with respect to the fair and equitable amount to be paid by the United States toward the expenses of the government of the District of Columbia, and for other purposes; and

S. J. Res. 280. Joint resolution to ratify and confirm the appointment of Isaac Gans as a member of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board of the District of Columbia.

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 5730) entitled "An act to amend section 3 (b) of an act entitled 'An act to establish the composition of the United States Navy with respect to the categories of vessels limited by the treaties signed at Washington, February 6, 1922, and at London, April 22, 1930, at the limits prescribed by those treaties; to authorize the construction of certain naval vessels; and for other purposes', approved March 27, 1934."

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the amendments of the House to bills and a concurrent resolution of the Senate of the following titles:

S. 1073. An act for the relief of Louis Finger;

S. 3371. An act for the relief of John Walker;

S. 3441. An act for the relief of C. T. Hird;

S. 3818. An act to provide for the adjustment and settlement of certain claims for damages resulting from the operation of vessels of the Coast Guard and Public Health Service.

S. 3956. An act for the relief of Jacob Kaiser; and

S. Con. Res. 37. Concurrent resolution authorizing the printing of 44,000 copies of part 3 and subsequent parts of Senate Report No. 944.

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendments to the bill (H. R. 11140) entitled "An act to provide more effectively for the national defense by further increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the Air Corps of the Army of the United States", disagreed to by the House; agrees to the conference asked by the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appoints Mr. Sheppard, Mr. Fletcher, and Mr. Carey to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendments to the bill H. R. 5368, entitled "An act to provide for the addition of certain lands to the Chalmette National Monument in the State of Louisiana, and for other purposes", disagreed to by the House; agrees to the conference asked by the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appoints Mr. Pittman, Mrs. Long, and Mr. Carey to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed, with amendments, in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H. R. 10317. An act to authorize additional coinage in commemoration of the one hundredth anniversary of independence of the State of Texas.

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendments to the foregoing bill, requests a conference with the House thereon, and appoints Mr. Adams, Mr. Maloney, and Mr. Townsend to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill H. R. 9185, entitled "An act to insure the collection of the revenue on intoxicating liquor, to provide for the more efficient and economical administration and enforcement of the laws relating to the taxation of intoxicating liquor, and for other purposes."

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 11915) entitled "An act to amend the Coastwise Load Line Act, 1935."

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 9484) entitled "An act to amend section 36 of the Emergency Farm Mortgage Act of 1933, as amended."

The message also announced that the Senate had passed, with amendments, in which the concurrence of the House is requested, bills of the House of the following titles:

H. R. 237. An act for the relief of the Rowesville Oil Co.; H. R. 254. An act for the relief of the Farmers' Storage & Fertilizer Co., of Aiken, S. C.; H.R. 1695. An act for the relief of Margaret Grace and Alice Shriner:

H. R. 3866. An act to confer jurisdiction upon the Court of Claims to hear, determine, and render judgment upon the claim of Emanuel Bratses;

H. R. 6773. An act to deepen the irrigation channel between Clear Lake and Lost River, in the State of California, and for other purposes;

H. R. 8316. An act to exempt the Indian Service from the requirements of section 4 of the Executive Order No. 6166, dated June 10, 1933;

H. R. 8588. An act to authorize the deposit and investment of Indian funds;

H. R. 11690. An act relating to the admissibility in evidence of certain writings and records made in the regular course of business;

H.R. 12220. An act to authorize the adjustment of the boundary of the Fort Marion National Monument, Florida, in the vicinity of Fort Marion Circle, and for other purposes;

H. R. 12419. An act to apply laws covering steam vessels to seagoing vessels of 300 gross tons and over propelled by internal-combustion engines;

H. R. 12599. An act to provide more adequate protection to workmen and laborers on projects, buildings, constructions, improvements, and property wherever situated, belonging to the United States of America, by granting to the several States jurisdiction and authority to enter upon and enforce their State workmen's compensation, safety, and insurance laws on all property and premises belonging to the United States of America; and

H.R. 12799. An act to authorize the coinage of 50-cent pieces in commemoration of the three hundred and fiftieth anniversary of Sir Walter Raleigh's colony on Roanoke Island, N. C., known in history as the Lost Colony, and the birth of Virginia Dare, the first child of English parentage to be born on the American Continent, and her baptism.

LIQUOR-TAX ADMINISTRATION BILL

A conference report and statement on the bill (H. R. 9185) to insure the collection of the revenue on intoxicating liquor, to provide for the more efficient and economical administration and enforcement of the laws relating to the taxation of intoxicating liquor, and for other purposes, was filed on June 6, 1936.

DEDICATION OF THE GEORGE ROGERS CLARK MEMORIAL

Mr. GREENWOOD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Mr. Speaker, on next Sunday, June 14, will occur the dedication of the George Rogers Clark Memorial at Vincennes, Ind. The membership of the House is invited to attend on this occasion. The President of the United States will be there and will deliver an address. This is an outstanding memorial. The Congress of the United States has had a part in making the appropriation. If Members will report to me their desire to attend, I will get reservations for the Members and their wives.

A TRIBUTE TO OUR LATE BELOVED SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE, JOSEPH W. BYRNS—"HE LIVED A SECRET LIFE OF PRAYER, AN OPEN LIFE OF PURITY, AND A PUBLIC LIFE OF SERVICE"

Mr. JOHNSON of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have placed in the Record a very beautiful and touching tribute made at the last rites of our beloved late Speaker, Joseph W. Byrns, at Nashville, Tenn., on last Saturday by Dr. Powell, pastor of the First Baptist Church.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. JOHNSON of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, last Saturday it was my sad duty to serve on a committee from this House that attended the last rites of our late beloved Speaker, Joseph W. Byrns, of Tennessee. The funeral was held at the Great War memorial building in his home city of Nashville, where thousands of his devoted friends gathered to pay their last respects and to mourn the passing of our great fallen leader.

The funeral services were undoubtedly the most impressive I have ever witnessed. This was not primarily because the funeral services were attended by the Chief Executive of the Nation, who journeyed to Nashville to pay his respects to our late Speaker, nor because the services were attended by members of the President's Cabinet and many other high Government officials, but they were particularly impressive because of the fact that so many close personal friends of the deceased had traveled hundreds of miles to pay their respects and of the deep and genuine mourning of the people of the city of Nashville, and the entire State who knew and loved him.

Three very beautiful tributes were paid at the services to our late Speaker. One by his pastor, one by our beloved House Chaplain, and one by Dr. W. F. Powell, pastor of the First Baptist Church, of Nashville, Tenn., and close personal friend of our late beloved Speaker.

Under the privilege granted me I am including, as a part of my remarks, the eloquent and touching address delivered on that memorable occasion by Dr. Powell, as follows:

on that memorable occasion by Dr. Powell, as follows:

It is fitting that this service should be held in our marvelously beautiful war-memorial building over the pillared entrance to which the words of President Wilson are chiseled—"America is privileged to spend her blood and her might for the principles that gave her birth and happiness and the peace which she has treasured." As in the World War so today America lays upon the altar of sacrificial devotion one who has given all his blood and might that peace might win her victories, no less renowned than war. And no dying soldier ever looked up from his deathbed of fame with truer courage and deeper consecration than our beloved Speaker and friend who goes to his welcomed place with Jackson and Polk and Johnson in history and in Heaven. It is true that whether one be king, conqueror, or magistrate in life, he dies as a man. But it is also true that to live and to die as a true man is greater honor to a king than his crown, more worthy true man is greater honor to a king than his crown, more worthy of a conqueror than his victory, and more becoming to a magistrate than his robe.

You will permit me, my fellow Tennesseeans, my fellow Americans, to speak of this man as I knew him then. Fifteen years ago in coming to Nashville we were beginning a downtown Bible class for men at the Knickerbocker Theater. With the aid of Congressman Byrns, Gov. Austin Peay, and other great leaders, this class grew to an average attendance of from a thousand to twelve hundred men a Sunday. How the auditorium rang with applause whenever Congressman and Mrs. Byrns were welcomed back from Washington. And one Sunday morning after one of his congressional reelections I asked him to stand and reminded the brethren that once more Tennessee had written on its slate "I love you, Joe." And I touched off an explosion of appreciation and of friendship in the greatest and most unique demonstration of applause, laughter, and love I have ever seen in a religious meeting. At first he laughed, but as the demonstration continued he was overhe laughed, but as the demonstration continued he was over-whelmed and I saw the tears sparkle over the smiles. And it gave political offense to none. By his integrity, loyalty to all his con-stituency, and incomparable ability he had become just about as fixed an institution as the post office.

I loved him with an admiring despair. He was the greatest com-I loved him with an admiring despair. He was the greatest combination of gentleness and greatness I have ever known. What made him equally gentle and equally great? For the pride of his contemporaries and the inspiration of those who come after him let me try to tell you. He lived a secret life of prayer, an open life of purity, and a public life of service. For 35 years this humble Christian knelt beside his bed in prayer at the close of every day. He found God's hand in American history and in human experience, and he was led like an obedient child until at last he has scaled with Christ those celestial peaks on which no shadow ever falls.

with Christ those celestial peaks on which no shadow ever falls.

And he lived an open life of purity. He was as good as he was great. The personal life of a public man may be his own. But the personal life of Joseph W. Byrns is the priceless heritage of every boy and girl in America today. If I could express my feeling about him, my good and true friend, it would be in a verse of deserved devotion and deathless love.

"I tell you I shall never think of you Throughout my life Without such tenderness as breaks the heart; And I shall think of you Whenever I am most happy, Whenever I am most sad, Whenever I see a beautiful thing: You are a burning lamp to me, A flame the wind cannot blow out, And I shall hold you high In my hand Against whatever darkness."

He lived a public life of service. He gave his life to his country. And President Wilson wrote: "America is privileged to spend her blood and her might." Those words might be the epitaph both of himself and of Speaker Byans. During the past month this great Speaker, who now belongs to the ages, "spent his blood and his might." The strain overtaxed him. His good physician

and lifelong friend in Nashville went to him by plane, as he often did. In a heart to heart talk to his physician and dear friend he said: "Doctor, I am tired. I am worn out. I do not desire to die, but I am prepared to die any time. And it would really be my preference to die while Speaker of the House." Then he said: "You may be surprised to hear me say it, but do you know what is the matter with our country? We have gone away from our Maker. We need to come back to the Lord! Today by the death of our silent Speaker, God is calling America back. To King Solomon He said: "If my people, which are called by My name shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from Heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land." And Speaker Byrns said: "We need to come back to the Lord." Such was the consecration of his public life of service.

of course, a great life must be vicarious. Those who loved him best realized it most in him. "Service is the sacrificial use of life." It was a part of his likeness to his Lord.

The waving rose, with every breath Scents carelessly the summer air; The wounded rose bleeds forth in death A sweetness far more rich and rare.

It is a truth beyond our ken-And yet a truth that all may read-It is with roses as with men, The sweetest hearts are those that bleed.

The flower which Bethlehem saw bloom Out of a heart all full of grace, Gave never forth its full perfume Until the cross became its vase.

"And David after that he had served his generation by the will

of God, fell on sleep."

Be it said of him for whom today a nation weeps: "And to add Be it said of him for whom today a nation weeps: "And to add to his name greater honor than the age in which he lived could give him, he died fearing God." "May He whose pity bends above us like the sky be with his countrymen and his loved ones in this hour of need. Let not our faith fail or our hope grow dim. By memory of the empty tomb of Him we call our Lord; by recollection of His words that bid us think of death as sleep; and by the deathless love of dear ones gone, may we perceive in this darkness through which we pass, the shadow of God's hand stretched out in love. Ours is the God of the living—to Him there are no dead; in His sight, those we call dead are still alive. Thanks be to God who giveth us the victory, through Him who liveth, though He was dead, and behold, He is alive forevermore, even Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen." Christ our Lord. Amen."

REPORT OF THE RIVERS AND HARBORS COMMITTEE

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have until midnight to file a report of the Rivers and Harbors Committee on the bill H. R. 12873, to authorize completion, maintenance, and operation of certain facilities for navigation on the Columbia River, and for other purposes, reported last Saturday.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. SHANNON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may revise and extend my remarks on the Patman bill that was discussed on May 27, for the permanent RECORD. There will be practically no new matter.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. BLANTON. Mr. Speaker, I make the point of order that all Members have that right to extend their remarks until the last issue of the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. The Chair will state to the gentleman from Texas that general consent was given, but there may arise occasions where special requests are necessary.

IN PRAISE OF CONGRESSMAN T. ALAN GOLDSBOROUGH'S RECORD FOR EFFICIENCY AND ECONOMY

Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and include therein a short commendatory statement.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, I am extending my remarks and including an article which appeared in the Baltimore Sun on April 28 and an extract from an editorial appearing in the Cambridge (Md.) Record:

Washington, April 28.—Speaker Byrns today praised the work of the six Maryland Representatives in Congress and expressed the hope all would be reelected in November.

The Speaker pointed out that the delegation has been in the House for several years, and all its members have important com-

mittee assignments.

"I was a Member of the House for 22 years before I became chairman of a major committee", the Speaker recalled. "Every Speaker of the House served 20 years or more before he reached that high position."

POINTS TO POSITIONS

He pointed out that Representative Goldsborough, of Maryland, He pointed out that Representative Goldsborough, of Maryland, who has served approximately 15 years, ranks second on the important Banking and Currency Committee, and has been acting chairman most of this session. Representative Palmisano, chairman of the Committee on Education, also is second-ranking member of the Committee on the District of Columbia, he noted. Representative Gambrill is the third-ranking member of the Naval Affairs Committee, Mr. Byrns recalled, and Representative Kennedy, the junior member of the delegation, is chairman of the Committee on Claims.

He also pointed out that Representative Cole has been a conspicuous member of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee and headed several subcommittees during this session and

LEWIS' SERVICE PRAISED

Speaker Byrns praised the service of Representative Lewis, citing especially his membership on the Ways and Means Committee, one of the most important of the House.

"Everybody knows the length of service of Members of the House increases their usefulness to their districts", Speaker Byrns

"New Members who are sent to Congress begin at the bottom of the list, and it requires considerable time to acquire a complete understanding of enacting legislation and making contacts with the various Government departments."

He also pointed out that should the political complexion of the House change, Members retain their minority rank on committees.

[Extract from editorial in the Cambridge Record]

Mr. Goldsborough has never handled his office in a political Mr. Goldsborough has never handled his office in a political way, and in his endeavor to prevent the waste of public money has several times halted the passage of costly legislation. Mr. Goldsborough was almost entirely responsible for the defeat of the silver-purchase bill, providing for the purchase of 15,000,000 ounces of silver from the silver miners at \$1 an ounce. At the time the bill was up for passage, silver was only worth 65 cents, so that in the defeat of this legislation alone, Mr. Goldsborough saved the Treasury more than \$5,000,000, enough to pay a Congressman's salary for 500 years. salary for 500 years.

AGRICULTURAL LEGISLATION

Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, I also ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and to include therein a short letter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, I am extending my remarks, including a letter received by me from the Farmers' Educational and Cooperative Union of America.

> THE FARMERS' EDUCATIONAL AND COOPERATIVE UNION OF AMERICA,
> OFFICE OF EDWARD E. KENNEDY, SECRETARY,
> Kankakee, Ill., May 7, 1936.

Hon. T. Alan Goldsborough,

Representative of the First District,

State of Maryland, Washington, D. C.

My Dear Mr. Goldsborough: Having had occasion to review and become intimately acquainted with your record as a Member of Congress for the past several years on legislation affecting the interest of agriculture and the farmers of the Nation, I wish to take this opportunity to commend you for your splendid work as shown by the records in support of farm legislation and particularly those farm measures, in which the National Farmers' Union is most vitally interested.

The farmers and other citizens of your district are to be commended also for having elected you to the Congress.

With best wishes and kindest personal regards, I am,

Cordially yours.

EDW. E. KENNEDY, National Secretary.

NAVAL ACADEMY GRADUATION ADDRESS

Mr. COCHRAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and to place therein an address delivered by the Acting Secretary of the Navy, Admiral Standley, to the graduates at Annapolis last week.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. COCHRAN. Mr. Speaker, 40 years ago last week Admiral William H. Standley graduated from the Naval Academy. Today he is the highest ranking officer in the Navy, being Chief of Operations. Admiral Standley, due to the illness of Secretary Swanson, is also Acting Secretary of the Navy.

On the fortieth anniversary of his graduation Admiral Standley, as Acting Secretary of the Navy, presented the members of the graduation class at the Naval Academy with their diplomas. On that occasion he delivered an address which contains so much sound logic that I request permission to place it in the RECORD in the hope that it will be widely circulated. I can conceive of nothing finer than to bring his words, not only to the attention of the young who are just starting on their careers but also to the older generation, for they cannot help but be beneficial to all who are fortunate enough to read his utterances.

Admiral Sellers, members of the graduating class, and their friends, honored guests. I am proud to stand before the future leaders of the United States Navy. The class of 1936 entered the Academy 4 years ago, 337 strong. Of your original number, 263 have survived the ordeals and exactions of the prescribed courses. Today it will be my pleasure and privilege to deliver to you your diplomas. Your best girls yonder, whose presence brightens this old armory more than all the gold braid on all the admirals, are waiting to fasten ensign's epaulets to your shoulders, and that, by the way, is a fine and graceful survival from the age of chivalry, when the young esquire's lady friend strapped his knightly spurs upon his heels and exorted him to be brave, loyal, and true in the battle of life. The air will presently be full of midshipmen's caps that you don't need any more, and your company officers may add the sword knot to their hilts. You will rate salutes and tailors' bills, and all the other honors and tribulations of commissioned officers. officers.

This is one of the good days of your lives. You will remember it always with a warm feeling in your hearts as I remember the day I stood where you are standing, more than 40 years ago. And it is your right to feel proud that you have passed with credit the first of many tests which lie before you in your chosen credit the first of many tests which lie before you in your chosen profession. It is not an easy profession that you have elected, and I would say that it grows rapidly no easier. Naval affairs have advanced a long way from the time that Roman admirals and constructors could transform a growing forest into ships of war in a couple of months. We have come just as far from the early nineteenth century when, in our Atlantic shipyards, they knocked a frigate together out of planks, slung 50-odd smooth-bore cannon aboard, collected a crew along the waterfront, requisitioned a guard of marines to keep the officers safe, and stood out to sweep the seas. Even as recently as my own day, when I was a passed midshipman, the old Hartford, that carried Farragut's flag, was in full commission, a stick-and-string bateau with auxiliary steam. Steam had not then developed those beauties which drive a young officer gray before his time, as they do with auxiliary steam. Steam had not then developed those beauties which drive a young officer gray before his time, as they do now. We were very innocent about internal-combustion engines and turbines and electric drive, and we had never heard of such things as director firing. The old-timers of that day liked to recall the story about Nelson; how they sent him out a model sighting device to improve the gunnery of His Majesty's ships at mid-and-long ranges, and how Nelson sent it back with the comment that his idea of a target was a line of battleships at a hundred yards, for which his gun layers needed no mechanical gadgets.

gadgets.

Today, a battleship fires at targets 30,000 yards away, with planes to correct the fall of her salvos. A modern vessel of war is one of the most elaborate and complicated mechanisms ever devised by man. It combines enormous power and terrific destructive force with the delicate precisions of the finest machinery. The officers and men who cause it to function are technicians and specialists to an extent never imagined by the old Navy. Increasingly the naval officer has to be a technician. Something of this you have already seen on your practice cruises, and I am sure that your instructors here, in the past 4 years, have made concrete suggestions to you along the same lines. But actually you have made no more than a beginning. A naval officer's education starts the day he puts on his plebe uniform and continues through his entire career; it is never finished. The academy has simply prepared you to acquire that education; and that is all that any instructor, or any school, can do. The rest of it is in your hands.

Soon the raptures of June week will end, and you will go out to the fleet. Your responsibilities will be increased as your competence is demonstrated. Each of you must pull your weight and make your place, in light craft or great ships, in the aircraft squadrons or in the Marine Corps, wherever your orders take you. And your reward for hard work will be more hard work; that is what the term, "Well done," means to the Naval

work; that is what the term, "Well done," means to the Naval Service.

But these are material things. Gunnery and engineering, radio and navigation, may be reduced to formulas by experience and common sense. They are matters of known properties and characteristics. There is no mystery about any of them that will not yield to energy and application. The Navy standard of performance in these matters is high, but it is within the grasp of every one of you. Naval policy, distilled from the working experience of all our naval history, and expressed in law, custom, and regulations, charts the probable course of the officer through his career. He spends so many years in each grade; his details should strike a proportion between sea duty and shore duty; certain courses of special instruction are open to him; and he may

look with reasonable assurance to certain commands. These things, too, are all in the way of material. But, I would have you take with you from here, today, thoughts outside of and above these material things, and yet inseparable from them, so in this, your last hour before graduation, I would have you ponder well the matter of character.

The course and development of character cannot be charted by a stream of the course and development of character cannot be charted by

any system that we know. Character is the spark that quickens human life; the driving force behind all human action. Each of you came into this world with certain traits of personality. These, stimulated by experience and common sense, add up to intelligence, and eventually become established as character. The early gence, and eventually become established as character. The early years are the most important in its formation, so when you entered Annapolis you virtually brought your character with you. There were already discernible in you those traits which have made you individuals to your officers and your instructors; they have been factors in your school career; they are in some measure now expressed in your class standing. The primary function of the Naval Academy is to strengthen these traits and develop them into

Naval Academy is to strengthen these traits and develop them into rugged, upright characters.

The Naval Academy has surrounded you with the atmosphere of high tradition which is the chief treasure of the naval service. The names of John Paul Jones, of Decatur, Truxton, Dahlgren, Mahan, Luce, and Bancroft, and the stories with which they are associated indicate to you daily those attributes of character which we delight to honor. You go out now into the service, and presently there will build up around each of your names the intangible thing called service reputation; and when the Navy says service reputation, it means character.

I remember reading in Captain Pearson's report to My Lords of the Admiralty, on the action of the H. M. frigate Serapis off Flamborough Head with the American ship Bon Homme Richard, how, very early in the engagement, it became evident to him that the American ship was commanded by an officer of unusual intrepidity and resolution.

and resolution.

Captain Pearson recognized character when he met it-Captain Pearson recognized character when he met it—as do all intelligent men. There is also the Tennessee fable of Col. Davy Crockett and the coon. "Is that Colonel Crockett?" asks the coon, looking anxiously down from his tree. "Well, don't shoot, Colonel, I'll come down!", says the coon. And the coon, as you see, recognized service reputation, which is the same thing.

Courage, steadfastness, sincerity, loyalty, tolerance, generosity, patience, courtesy, honesty, decision—and their opposites—these are attributes not taught in any school and not teachable. But they all have their places in an officer's character, and for them, or for his lock of them his associates estimate him by them his

or for his lack of them, his associates estimate him, by them his

or for his lack of them, his associates estimate him, by them his seniors mark him, and on them his career depends.

Courage is the great military virtue. The French veteran of Napoleon, writing of the emperor's wars, said that he had noted all men were equally brave, except the French of course, who were a little braver than anybody else. He was right, in saying courage is the most common human phenomenon. He might have added that fear is just as common to men; but character drives out fear; and when it does, the result is courage.

Steadfastness—and with steadfastness the kindred qualities of

Steadfastness—and with steadfastness the kindred qualities of sincerity and loyalty—are implied in the very existence of an officer. To be steadfast is to stay put in the stations where your duty takes you; to be sincere is to believe in yourself and to have others believe in you. Loyalty is the finest of all. It means standing by your own; it works both ways, from the top down and from the bottom up, through all the naval structures; and without it the structure falls.

Tolerance, generosity, patience, and honesty are vital to you. I talked awhile ago of technical skill, of those facilities with material which you may acquire by industry. But the ultimate tool, the decisive instrument, in the military profession is the man whom you command. That is why warfare is an art and not a science; it deals with the human equation; and the human equation is the first of the imponderables.

Your new duties will be the lowest in the scale. As experience fits you for advancement, you will progress to higher assignments. From watch duties you will go to the head of departments to executive billets and to commands. As you pass from one job to another, you will leave behind one set of details and master an-

But all along the way, from engine-room watch and turret crew up to the United States Fleet itself, there is one detail common to up to the United States Fieet itself, there is one detail common to every naval job which you will never leave behind—and that is the control of men. The human equation will be a factor in every problem that you meet in your careers, and whether you solve your problems or not will depend on your handling of the human equation. Ensign, commander, admiral—it will always be with you, the most important of your responsibilities. You will live with your men; you will share the same conditions; all of you aboard ship the house in the same destiny. You must have telerone then to are bound in the same destiny. You must have tolerance, then, to recognize and to allow for human frailty. You must be generous to see and to reward the fine responses of which the common man to see and to reward the line responses of which the control is capable. You must have patience, for not all your problems will be alike, nor will all of them lie open to quick solution. Honesty the root of confidence, without which you will fail. Courtesy be alke, nor will all of them he open to quick solution. Honesty is the root of confidence, without which you will fail. Courtesy gives grace to human intercourse. Finally there is decision, and decision is the executive attribute; it is the mainspring of action. And so I would have you leave here today with the thought that character is the intangible something which, more than any other attribute, will make for success in your chosen profession.

Before another class comes to graduation I will have finished any saving and even cut. What I have said to you today. I have said

my service and gone out. What I have said to you today I have said

with a very special feeling of "hail and farewell." The future is yours to make what you will of it. As you build, so will the Navy prosper. I congratulate you on the opportunity for usefulness and honor that opens before you, and from my heart I wish you well.

TRIBUTE TO JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and to insert therein the funeral sermon delivered by the pastor of the Methodist Church in Nashville, Tenn., of which the late Joseph W. Byrns was a member.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I have just returned from the funeral services at Nashville, Tenn., for the late Speaker Joseph W. Byrns. It was a sad journey to Nashville as the special funeral trains moved through the night with the body of the late Speaker Joe Byrns out over the moon-bathed hills of Virginia and eastern Tennessee to his last resting place. Two trains were required to provide accommodations for the Washington friends and admirers who wished to pay the full measure of respect to him whom all affectionally referred to as "Joe Byrns." In our party was the new Speaker William B. Bankhead, President Franklin D. Roosevelt, Secretary of State and Mrs. Hull, Postmaster General Farley, 16 Senators and 60 Representatives, and other officials of the Federal Government.

During more than a quarter of a century in Washington, Mr. Byrns had given of himself unselfishly to his friends and his country. People of official Washington see much of ceremony, of pomp, and circumstance, and are little affected by such matters, but in this case, the high and the low, great, and small, were talking about Joe Byrns' death.

The high regard in which Speaker Byrns was held by the people from his home State and with whom he was most closely associated was well described by his own pastor, Dr. C. J. Harrell, of the West End Methodist Church, of Nashville, of which Mr. Byrns was a member. I take pleasure in presenting the manuscript of his funeral address, which he handed to me, for inclusion in the Congressional Record:

The first vote I ever cast in the exercise of my American franchise I cast, while a student in Vanderbilt University, for Joseph W. Byrns at the time he was first chosen by the people to represent this district in the United States Congress. Not even his sent this district in the United States Congress. Not even his closest friends then dreamed that he would come to occupy so large a place in the councils of the Nation. And yet by dint of merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened, until merit and fidelity to duty his sphere of service broadened and the fidelity fideli he occupied positions of largest trust in national affairs. Today, my friends, Tennessee pauses to pay honor to her distinguished son, and a Nation mourns the passing of one upon whose broad and sturdy shoulders she had laid so large responsibilities.

I stand here as his pastor to speak some word of affection and

tribute concerning our friend and brother. For more than 30 years he was a communicant of the church which it is now my privilege to serve. No fulsome eulogy would be appropriate to this occasion, for Joseph W. Byrns was a simple man. It is always refreshing in these days of sham and tinsel show to find a man who is genuine. He grew to manhood amid these hills in middle Tennessee, and to the end he preserved within himself the simplicities and stalwart virtues of those early years. To a marked degree the heart of Old Hickory was in him. He was a rugged man of the people, a champion and defender of their rights and liberties. As today we lay his body to rest in this his native soil, we could find no better epitaph to mark his resting place than some such words as these, "Here lies one who lived in a house by the side of the road, and was a friend to man."

side of the road, and was a friend to man."

To his simplicity was fitly joined the virtue of integrity. Through these years, I found men who differed with him in policies of state, but I have found not one who questioned his character. Moral worth is at last, my friends, the measure and the crown of man. By that measure and that crown he stands a king. As we assemble here, and as the Nation pauses to do him reverence, no finger of suspicion is pointed at his character. He has fought on many fields, he has fought until his day is done, and night envelopes him, and now we bring our hero home, his sword untarnished, like the stainless sword of Robert Lee.

These simple virtues of which our friend was so conspicuous an

These simple virtues of which our friend was so conspicuous an These simple virtues of which our friend was so conspicuous an example, cannot be confined to the spheres of time. They are rooted in the unseen, and project themselves into vast eternity. Man was born to think of himself as an immortal. Here in "the bourne of time and place", compassed about by the mysteries of life, we are conscious of spiritual influences and powers, like zephyrs blown from other shores. Our brother believed in God and in the reality of the unseen. This man of simple virtues was a man of simple faith. For him no empty cant or vain pretense, which are faith's counterfeit! I observed on yesterday how a Member of the distinguished body over which Joseph Byrns until last Wednesday presided, said of him, that it was his unfailing custom to kneel each night beside his bed and implore the

guidance of his God.

guidance of his God.

When we take the shorter view of things, death seems a catastrophe. When a leader falls we stand half-dazed, and ask, Who shall lead us now? But for a man of stalwart virtues and simple faith, death is only an incident in life. It is not the end of a career, but only a swinging door through which we pass to other spheres of life and labor. I verily believe that the powers and possibilities in a good man's life are at his journey's end transferred to another world, and that death is the greatest of all adventures.

On such occasions as this I frequently think of the words of St. Paul as he waited the execution of Nero's sentence. He writes of the impending event in words as buoyant as a morning song, "The time of my departure is at hand." One word in that classic line contains the whole of the Christian's philosophy of death. The word which in most of our translations is ren-dered "departure" literally means "unloosing." It is the identical word which sailors and fishermen of the Greek world used when they spoke of untying the ropes that held their boats to the shore. "The time of my unloosing is at hand", so may we render St. Paul's words to Timothy, and preserve the imagery that is there. Here is a pen picture that in one stroke reveals the glory of that great adventure which we call death. For sixty-odd years of that great adventure which we call death. For sixty-odd years the apostle's soul had been held by the bonds of the flesh to the shores of time. He could go no farther than the rope's length. But the time of unloosing had come! Clear the gangways! Unloose! I often wonder if England's poet laureate had these words of St. Paul in mind when he, about to take his leave, wrote, as our choir so beautifully sang—

"Sunset and evening star, And one clear call for me! And may there be no moaning of the bar When I put out to sea."

Speed on, good friend, and, like Ulysses, "strike the sounding furrows." Move out, to give to God an account of the talents entrusted you, and with the immortals of earth to reinvest them in that eternal kingdom where the limitations of time and space will no longer molest you!

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and to include therein a short excerpt from the platforms of the last Republican and Democratic conventions, and also a short resolution of the American Bankers Association.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Speaker, it has been generally recognized that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation law has been most constructive. It has had such beneficial results that there has been a constant effort on the part of the Republican Party to claim credit for its enactment. We have on more than one occasion heard our colleagues on the other side of the aisle make claims of that character. I wish to call the attention of the House to the pertinent part of the Republican platform adopted in 1932 to this progressive and constructive legislation, which is as follows:

In contrast with the Republican policies and record, we contrast those of the Democratic as evidenced by the action of the House of Representatives under Democratic leadership and control, which includes the guaranty of bank deposits.

The American Bankers Association at their 1933 convention adopted a resolution in regard to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Act, which is as follows:

We recommend to the national administration at Washington We recommend to the national administration at Washington that it seek means for postponing the initiation of deposit insurance which under the provisions of the Banking Act of 1933 would be put into operation at the beginning of 1934. The new law provides for the organization of a corporation to administer the insurance of deposits, but as yet this corporation has not been formed. The new law also provides that there shall be made a thorough examination of banks to determine their qualifications for its provides a programment of the latest their desired to the contract of the latest their desired to the contract of the latest their desired to the latest the lat for insurance as a prerequisite to their admittance to its privileges, but these examinations have not as yet been made. There is not now remaining sufficient time before the beginning of next year to carry through the examinations the law requires, nor are there enough experienced bank examiners to do the work adequately

enough experienced bank examiners to do the work adequately even if the time were considerably longer.

There are now more than 2,700 banks that are operating on a restricted basis. Most of these banks could not qualify for deposit insurance unless reorganized. Nearly all of them would be forced to suspend. There are also many unrestricted banks that are now making rapid gains in strength and liquidity but which have little prospect of being able to qualify under rigorous examination for deposit insurance before the beginning of next year.

It is our considered judgment that means should be found to It is our considered judgment that means should be found to postpone action in putting into effect the proposed measures for deposit insurance. We believe that if the attempt is made to hurry through arbitrarily strict examinations the result will be the suspension and liquidation of some thousands of banks which would deprive many communities of any banking facilities, and would entail new losses and new credit defiation that would unsettle business and impair the prospects of recovery. If, on the other hand, the necessarily hurried examinations should be lax and superficial, many institutions would be admitted to deposit insurance that cannot rightfully qualify for its privileges. Under those circumstances we believe that means should be found to postpone initiation of deposit insurance until the authorities at Washington can cause to be made an adequate study and report covering the whole matter. covering the whole matter.

From the above resolutions it is obvious that while the American Bankers' Association counseled delay, this really was the result of deep-seated opposition to the whole plan, and neither the Republican Party nor the bankers are entitled to any credit for this legislation which has done so much to bring back normal conditions to our country.

While the Democratic Party did not specifically endorse the bill providing for the insurance of bank deposits in its platform adopted at its national convention in 1932, that platform contained the following statement:

We advocate quicker methods of realizing on assets for the relief of depositors of suspended banks, and a more rigid supervision of national banks for the protection of depositors and the prevention of the use of their moneys in speculation to the detriment of local

While we concede this is not a definite endorsement of the act providing for the Federal insurance of bank deposits it is a commitment to one of the most important principles of

In the light of this irrefutable documentary evidence the conclusion is inevitable that the Democratic Party is entitled to the entire credit for the passage of this legislation and it is equally obvious that it was passed over the formal and official objection of the Republican Party and the American Bankers Association.

It was passed by a Democratic House and a Democratic Senate, and approved by a Democratic President. This statement is made in the interest of historical accuracy and to clear up some misapprehensions that may exist by reason of the frequent statements that have been made on the floor of the House.

THE PEOPLE DEMAND PASSAGE OF THE WAGNER-ELLENBOGEN HOUS-ING BILL AT THIS SESSION OF CONGRESS

Mr. ELLENBOGEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD on the Wagner-Ellenbogen housing bill, and to include therein a reference to certain endorsements of the bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. ELLENBOGEN. Mr. Speaker, the great Nation-wide demand which has crystallized behind the Wagner-Ellenbogen housing bill in the past few months is a movement unique in the annals of American history. Never before, to my knowledge, have so many different interests and organizations-interests which often find themselves arrayed bitterly on opposing sides of other national issues-been so wholeheartedly united behind a single piece of legislation.

WIDESPREAD DEMAND FOR PASSAGE OF HOUSING BILL

The demand for passage of the United States housing bill of 1936 at this session of Congress comes from poor and rich, from big cities and rural villages, from North and South, from labor and employers, from social workers, financiers, and elected Government officials, from Catholics, Protestants, and Jews. Republicans and Democrats both support it. Hundreds of newspapers all over the country have stressed the importance of this bill in direct relation to pressing concrete problems in their own communities.

This measure is not the concoction of a few experts in Washington presuming to know what is good for the rest of the country. It is the direct answer to the demands of the people out in our cities and States and rural areas who are seeking some solution to the housing problem. The people back home, out of their own concrete daily experience, have determined the essential features of this bill. The United States Conference of Mayors, individual mayors, and city councils have insisted that a permanent United States housing authority be set up, and that it must have the power itself to build demonstration projects at least during the early years of a national housing program. The social agencies, local governments, and the representatives of lowincome families who need housing have helped to fashion this bill.

This great movement has developed spontaneously. This administration 3 years ago recognized housing as a significant part of the New Deal. Nevertheless, during the past year Washington has given very little encouragement to local groups and citizens interested in low-rent housing. movement throughout the country has developed in spite of the lack of a clear-cut administrative policy in Washington and in spite of thousands of disappointments.

Whatever may be the situation in Washington, the housing bill is on the "must" list of the citizens of this Nation.

PARTIAL LIST OF ENDORSEMENTS

I want to take this opportunity to introduce into the RECORD a very partial list of the endorsements of this bill received so far in my office. All these individuals and organizations have urgently asked Congress to enact this bill during the current session. Long and varied as it is, the list is nevertheless very incomplete. I am sure that every Senator and every Representative could add to it from his personal

I will not read the entire list to you. But I do want to give you a brief summary which will show what great variety and extraordinary comprehensiveness it has.

NATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS WHICH HAVE ENDORSED THE WAGNER-ELLENBOGEN HOUSING BILL—URGING ITS ENACTMENT AT THE PRESENT SESSION OF CONGRESS

Unitarian Ministerial Union. Christian Science Monitor.

American Association of Social Workers—housing committee.

American Federation of Labor—executive council, building trades

American Federation of Labor—executive council, building trade department, housing committee.
United States Conference of Mayors.
National Federation of Settlements.
Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America.
National Women's Trade Union League.
National Public Housing Conference.
National Urban League.
National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.
Labor Housing Conference.

Labor Housing Conference.

American Home Economics Association.

American Home Economics Association.
United Mine Workers of America.
National Council, Young Men's Christian Association.
National Recreation Association.
American Federation of Hosiery Workers.
Special Conference of American Rabbis—social justice commis-International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers.

Glass Bottle Blowers Association.
International Fur Workers Union.
Brotherhood of Railway Clerks.
National Association of Letter Carriers.
Piano, Organ, and Musical Instrument Workers Union.
Sheet Metal Workers International Union.
International Brotherhood of Teamsters Chauffaure Sta

International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Stablemen and Helpers.

International Association of Marble, Stone, and Slate Polishers, Rubbers and Sawyers, Tile and Marble Setters, Helpers and Ter-

razzo Helpers.
United Rubber Workers of America.
International Federation of Technical Engineers, Architects, and Draftsmen's Union.
Federation of Flat Glass Workers.
United Leather Workers International Union.
International Broom and Whisk Makers Union.
United Wall Paper Crafts of North America.
Ciyar Maker's International Union.

National Federation of Rural Letter Carriers.

Operative Plasterers' and Cement Finishers' International Association.

Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America. United Association of Plumbers and Steamfitters,

International Association of Machinists.

American Federation of Musicians.

International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union.

Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers International Union.

United Hatters, Cap and Millinery Workers. Scripps-Howard Newspapers. Brotherhood of Maintenance of Ways Employees.

American Institute of Architects.

The National Conference of Catholic Women. The National Board of the Young Women's Christian Association. ALABAMA

Among the organizations which never endorse specific legislation, but which have, nevertheless, officially endorsed the general principles behind the bill are:

Housing Authority, Birmingham.
Alabama State Federation of Labor.
Building Trades Council, Montgomery.
Muscle Shoals Building Trades Council, Florence.
Birmingham Building Trades Council.
Application Control Labor.

Anniston Central Labor Union. Central Labor Union, Montgomery. Central Labor Union, Winfield.

Local Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers' International Union,

International Association of Machinists, River Front Lodge, No.

Tri-Cities Central Labor Union, Muscle Shoals.

United Brotherhood, Carpenters and Joiners of America, No. 1796,

United Brotherhood, Carpenters and Joiners, No. 89, Mobile. Young Men's Christian Association, Mobile.

ARKANSAS

Central Trades and Labor Council, Pine Bluff.

State Employees and Moving Picture Machine Operators, Local

International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers, Pine Bluff.

ARIZONA

City Commission, Phoenix. Mayor of Phoenix.

CALIFORNIA

San Bernardino City Council.

Mayor C. T. Johnson, San Bernardino.

Los Angeles City Council.

Hon. Frank L. Shaw, mayor, Los Angeles.

Los Angeles City Planning Commission.

Los Angeles Committee on Public Housing.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Los Angeles Municipal Housing Commission.

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors.

Central Labor Council of San Mateo County.
Central Labor Union of Monterey County, Salinas.
San Francisco Labor Council.
Fresno Central Labor Council.
Carpenters' Local No. 130, Vallejo.
Los Angeles Building Trades Council.
Carpenters' Local, Yuba City.
Central Labor Council.

Central Labor Council, Santa Rosa. Central Labor Council of San Joaquin County. Consolidated Building and Metal Trades Central Labor Council,

Vallejo. Dressmakers' Union No. 11, San Francisco. Cloakmakers' Union No. 6, San Francisco. Ladies Garment Cutters No. 213, San Francisco.

Ladies Garment Cutters No. 213, San Francisco.
Central Labor Council, Los Angeles.
Asphalt Pavers No. 84, San Francisco.
San Diego Building Trades.
Studio Carpenters Local No. 946, Los Angeles.
Building Trades Council, San Francisco.
International Association of Machinists No. 1422, Los Angeles.
(American Institute of Architects, Southern California chapter.) COLORADO

Denver Typographical Union, No. 49. Colorado State Federation of Labor. Fremont County Central Labor Union.

Mayor Aifred N. Phillips, Jr., Stanford. Hartford Journeymen Plumbers Association, No. 76. Meriden Central Labor Union. Miss Mary Arnold, Greenwich.

Wilmington Central Labor Union. Wilmington Building Trades Council.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Washington Committee on Housing. Settlement Council of Washington, represents nine settlements. John H. Fahey, chairman, Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

Miss Jean Coman.

Washington Building Trades Council. Washington Times.

Msgr. John A. Ryan, National Catholic Welfare Conference. Catherine Bauer, Labor Housing Conference. William Green, President, American Federation of Labor.

Dr. John O'Grady, secretary, National Council of Catholic Chari-

Anson Phelps-Stokes. Harry Bates, chairman, American Federation of Labor housing

committee.

Michael J. Colleran, president, Operative Plasterers and Cement Finishers International Association.

FLORIDA

Jacksonville City Council.

Tampa Municipal Housing Authority.

Mayor R. E. L. Chancey, Tampa.

Miami City Commission.

Florida Federation of Labor.

Building Trades Council of Jacksonville.

Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers, No. 7, Miami.

Orlando Central Labor Union. International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, No. 177, Jack-

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, No. 177, Jacksonville.

Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers, No. 6, West Palm Beach,
Florida Building Trades Conference, St. Petersburg.
International Longshoremen's Association, No. 1416, Miami.
Central Trades and Labor Assembly, Tampa.
Building Trades Council, West Palm Beach.
Central Labor Union, St. Petersburg.
Duval County Board of County Commissioners.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, No. 908, St.

United Association of Journeymen Plumbers and Steam Fitters, No. 630, West Palm Beach. International Association of Machinists, No. 731, Jacksonville. Miami City Commission.

GEORGIA

GEORGIA

Mayor James L. Key, Atlanta.
City Council, Atlanta.
Mayor Richard K. Allen, Jr., Augusta.
Central Labor Union, Augusta.
Georgia Federation of Labor, Atlanta.
Building Trades Council, Atlanta.
Atlanta Federation of Trades.
Macon Federation of Trades.
Columbus Central Labor Union.
United Brotherhood of Carpenters, No. 1623, Columbus.
Georgia Home Economics Association.
Central Sash & Door Co., Macon.
Savannah Building Trades Council.

TDAHO

Central Labor Council, Lewiston. State Federation of Labor.

ILLINOIS

City Council, Belleville.
City Council, Waukegan.
Mayor Mancel Talcott, Waukegan.
City Council, East St. Louis.
Illinois State Board of Housing.
Committee on Housing, Council of Social Agencies, Chicago.
Metropolitan Housing Council, Chicago.
Illinois State Federation of Labor.
Springfield Urban League Metropolitan Housing Council, Chicago.
Illinois State Federation of Labor.
Springfield Urban League.
Greater Peoria Civic Association.
Chicago Federation of Settlements.
Chicago Building Trades Council.
South Chicago Trades and Labor Assembly Center.
Central Labor Union, Rockford.
Belleville Building Trades Council.
Trades and Labor Council, West Frankfort.
Kankakee Federation of Labor.
Kankakee Building Trades Council.
Blue Island Central Labor Union.
Springfield Federation of Labor.
United Cement Workers, No. 20066, Oglesby.
Central Trades and Labor Union, East St. Louis.
Benton Central Labor Union.
Kewanee Trades and Labor Assembly.
Airline Pilots Association, Chicago.
Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, No. 189, Batavia.
Local Union No. 98, Terrazzo Workers' Helpers, Chicago.
Local No. 134, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Chicago.

Chicago. Women's City Club, Chicago.

Mayor's Committee for Better and More Homes, Rock Island.

Col. R. E. Wood, president, Sears Roebuck, Chicago.

Alfred K. Stern.

Coleman Woodbury.

Harry F. Robinson, Chicago.

Y. M. C. A., Chicago.

Mrs. Willard Hotchkiss, Chicago.

Olof Z. Cervin, architect, Rock Island.

George Richardson, member Chicago Advisory Commission.

Ernest Fremont Tittle, member Chicago Advisory Commission.

First Methodist Episcopal Church, Evanston.

Central Labor Union, Freeport.

Rev. Walter Batty, Methodist Episcopal Church, Bradley.

City clerk, Oglesby.

Rev. Frank Hancock, First Methodist Episcopal Church, Blue sland. Women's City Club, Chicago.

Island.

Airline Pilots' Association, Chicago. City of Springfield. Van Buren Street Improvement Association.

City of Rockford.

John W. Kern, mayor of Indianapolis. Mayor William H. Dress, Evansville.

Evansville Advisory Committee on Housing. Indiana State Federation of Labor. Central Labor Union of South Bend. Kokomo Trades and Labor Council. Carpenters' Local, No. 565, Elkhart. Central Labor Union of Bloomington. Clinton Central Labor Union. Bricklevers' Union No. 6, East Chicago. Bricklayers' Union, No. 6, East Chicago. Carpenters' Local Union, No. 90, Evansville. International Ladies' Garment Workers Union, No. 116, Fort United Electrical and Radio Workers of America, No. 902, Fort Wayne.
Vigo County Central Labor Union, Terre Haute.
Evansville Press.
United Garment Workers of America, No. 254, Clinton.

City Council, Waterloo. City Council, Council Bluffs.

City Council, Council Bluffs.

Mayor William Guilfoyle, Council Bluffs.

Mayor of Des Moines.

Sioux City Municipal Housing Commission.

Building Trades Council, Des Moines.

Cedar Rapids Building Trades.

Lowa State Council of Federated Churchwomen.

Central Labor Union of Council Bluffs.

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, No. 405, Cedar andds.

Rapids.
Central Labor Union, Mason City.
Iowa State Federation of Labor.

KANSAS

Coffeyville Central Labor Union. Central Labor Union, Hutchinson. United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners, Local No. 1445, Topeka.
Carpenters Local Union No. 1587, Hutchinson.

KENTUCKY

Mayor Neville Miller, Louisville.
Board of Aldermen, Louisville.
Housing and Advisory Commission, Louisville.
Planning and Zoning Commission, Louisville.
State Federation of Labor, Louisville.
A. Joseph Stewart, Fidelity Columbia Trust Co., Louisville.

LOUISIANA

New Orleans Chapter American Association of Social Workers.
New Orleans Advisory Commission on Housing.
Lake Charles Building Trades Council.
New Orleans Central Trades Council.
First Methodist Church, Baton Rouge.
The Associated Catholic Charities, New Orleans, Gen. Allison

Owen. Col. L. Kemper Williams, chairman, New Orleans Advisory Commission on Housing.

MAINE

Central Labor Union of Portland.

MARYAND

Maryland and District of Columbia State Federation of Labor. Resettlement Lodge No. 206. Rabbi Edward L. Israel, Social Justice Commission of Special Conference of American Rabbis.

MASSACHUSETTS

Mayor of Cambridge.
Cambridge City Council.
Massachusetts State Board of Housing.
Boston Housing Association.
Boston Housing Authority.
Massachusetts Federation of Labor.
William Stanley Parker, chairman, Boston City Planning Board.
Central Labor Union—Boston and vicinity.
Building Trades Council—Boston and vicinity.
John Carroll, Massachusetts State Federation of Labor.
Building Trades Council, Lawrence.
Union No. 19859, Wire Workers' Local of Worcester.
Norwood Building Trades Council.
Worcester Building Trades Council.
Lynn Building Trades Council.
Central Labor Union, Lowell.
International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Stablemen and Helpers of America, No. 49, Lowell.
Stone Masons and Marble Setters Union, No. 9, Boston.
Boston Building Trades Council.
Cambridge City Council.

MICHIGAN

City Council, Dearborn. City Council, Dearborn.

Mayor Frank Couzens, Detroit.

Kalamazoo City Commission.

Detroit Housing Commission.

Michigan State Federation of Labor.

Council of Social Agencies, Kalamazoo.

Calhoun County Council of Social Agencies.

Legislative committee of trades and labor council, Muskegon.

Battle Creek Federation of Labor.

M. & M. Trades Council, Menominee. Kalamazoo Central Labor Union. Joe Wilson, Detroit Teachers' Agency. Central Fibre Products of Detroit. Maurice Bortman. Fordson School Women's Club, Dearborn. Philadelphia Tire & Battery Service, Detroit. Howell Electric Motors Co., Detroit. Imperial Electric Co., Detroit. Imperial Electric Co., Detroit.
P. J. Kelley, Detroit.
Master Upholstering Shop, Detroit.
Kuhlman Electric Co., Detroit.
Virginia Flower Shop, Detroit.
Electric Machinery Manufacturing Co., Detroit.
Delta-Star Electric Co., Detroit.
Blue Bird Fur Shop, Detroit.
Elwell Parker Electric Co., Detroit.
Young Democratic Club, Jackson County, Jackson.
Grand Rapids Federation of Labor.

MINNESOTA

Minnesota Federation of Labor. Minneapolis Central Labor Union. Minneapolis Central Labor Union.
Building Trades Council, St. Paul and vicinity.
Winona Trades and Labor Assembly.
Trades and Labor Assembly, Brainerd.
Minneapolis Building Trades Council.
Central Labor Union, St. Cloud.

MISSISSIPPI

Building Trades Council.

MISSOURI

Missouri State Federation of Labor. Urban League, Kansas City. Building Trades Council, Springfield. Central Trades and Labor Union, St. Louis. Building Trades Council, St. Joseph.

MONTANA

C. W. Williams, mayor, Billings. Billings Housing Authority. Helena Trades and Labor Assembly.

NEBRASKA

Omaha Housing Authority.
Mayor Roy W. Towl, Omaha.
Nebraska Chapter American Association of Social Workers.
Helen W. Gauss, Omaha Social Settlement.
Omaha District, Nebraska State Conference of Social Work.
Bricklayers, Masons, Marble and Tile Setters Protective and Benevolent Association, No. 1, Omaha.

NEVADA

Reno Central Trades and Labor Council. Nevada State Federation of Labor.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Manchester Central Labor Union. Building Trades Council, Manchester. Cheshire County Trades and Labor Assembly, Keene. United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners, No. 625, Man-

Central Labor Union, Portsmouth.

NEW JERSEY

Board of Aldermen, Paterson. State Housing Authority.
Dr. Howard Johnson, State Housing Authority. Dr. Howard Johnson, State Housing Authority.
Jersey City Housing League.
Municipal Labor Housing Committee, Paterson.
Camden Labor Housing Committee.
New Jersey Federation of Labor.
Ironbound Community and Industrial Service, Newark.
Atlantic County League of Retail Merchants, Atlantic City,
Dyers' Local, No. 1733, Paterson.
Civic Committee for Slum Clearance, Atlantic City,
Federation of Dyers. Finishers. Civic Committee for Sidin Clearance, Adamsic City.
Federation of Dyers, Finishers.
Camden Central Labor Union.
Central Labor Union, Glen Rock.
American Federation of Hosiery Workers, New Jersey and New

Atlantic City Civic Committee.
Essex County Building Trades Council, Newark.
Atlantic City Welfare Bureau, Atlantic City.
Brotherhood Painters, Decorators, and Paperhangers of America,

No. 301, Trenton. Trenton Musical Association, No. 62, Trenton. Building Trades Council, Plainfield.

Camden Courier.

M. Batzer, Asher-Batzer Service, Atlantic City.

Walter J. Buxby, president, Hotel Dennis, Atlantic City.

Mrs. Edith Elmer Wood.

Bent Dudnick, State Housing Authority.

John R. Wilson, superintendent of public instruction, Paterson.

Herman C. Silverstein, Organization and Trade Council, Jersey

United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America,

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 164, Jersey City.

NEW YORK

City Council of Schenectady.

New York City Baptist Mission.

Harlem Housing Committee.

New York Ethical Culture Society.

Community Council, New York City.

John Volpe, New York.

Miss Anna Mason, Brooklyn.

Dressmakers Union No. 22, New York.

Samaritan Alliance, Church of the Savior, Brooklyn.

Gustove Berger, New York.

Samaritan Alliance, Church of the Savior, Brooklyn.
Gustove Berger, New York.
Schnectady Building Trades Council, New York.
Joseph M. Brady, Building Economist, New York.
Blythe & Co., New York.
United Neighborhood Houses, New York.
Miss Helen Alfred, National Public Housing Conference.
Metropolitan Hygiene Council, New York.
Bricklayers Union No. 1, Brocklyn.
Bricklayers International Union No. 9, Brooklyn.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers No. 3, New York.

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers No. 5, New York.

Brooklyn Eagle.
Child Welfare Council, Schenectady.
Board of Aldermen, New York City.
Niagara Falls Central Labor Union.
Louis J. Horewitz, former president, Thompson-Starrett Co.
Langdon Post, chairman, New York City Housing Authority.
Ira S. Robbins, counsel, State board of housing.
Mrs. Mary Simkovitch, National Public Housing Conference.
Nathan Straus, president, Hillside Housing Corporation
Hillside Housing Corporation.
Dr. Worth M. Tippy, executive secretary, Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America.
Walter White, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.
New York City Housing Authority.
Municipal Housing Authority of Schenectady.
Housing Authority of Port Jervis.
Syracuse Housing Authority.
Yonkers Municipal Housing Authority.
New York City Board of Estimate and Apportionment.
Brooklyn Housing Committee.
Lower East Side Public Housing Conference.
Brooklyn Neighborhood Association.
New York Urhan League.

Brooklyn Neighborhood Association.

New York Urban League.

Consolidated Tenants League of New York City.

Willystine Goodsell, associate professor of education, Columbia University.

Rev. William F. Wefer, Good Shepherd Presbyterian Church,

Action Heights.

Mayor Joseph F. Leehr, Yonkers.

Mr. Charles C. Webber, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

New York Council on Economics.

City Affairs Committee, New York.

Central Trades and Labor Council of Greater New York and vicinity.

Modelers and Sculptors of America, New York. New York Kindergarten Association. Brooklyn Kindergarten Association. Williamsburg public-housing conference.
Community Councils for the city of New York.
Welfare Council, housing section.
Plasterers' Local No. 9, Buffalo.
Women's City Club of New York.

Women's City Club of New York.
Michael Walpin, Bronx.
Social Service Commission of New York East Annual Conference
of the Methodist Episcopal Church, composed of 307 ministers
from New York City, Long Island, and community. (Presented
resolution to annual conference of Methodist Church.)
Mrs. Newman Levy, New York City.
Lackawanna City Housing Authority.
Paul Lawrence Dunbar Apartments, tenant subscribers, New
York

York.

Evans Clark, director, Twentieth Century Fund, New York.
Housing committee, City Club of New York.
Boston University Club of New York.
Capitol District Joint Board, Amalgamated Clothing Workers,

Troy.
Operative Plasterers and Cement Finishers International Associa-

Operative Plasterers and Cement Finishers International Association, Riverdale, Bronx.
Central Labor Council, Buffalo.
Gruber Bros., New York.
Fur Trade Foundation, New York.
Greater New York Federation of Churches.
Col. Francis Vigo Post, American Legion, New York.
Nursing committee, Henry Street Visiting Nurse Society, New

Milton Handler, Columbia University. Brooklyn Committee for Better Housing.

Brooklyn Committee for Better Housing.

New York State Board of Housing.

Association of Bay Nurseries, New York.

Bookkeepers, Stenographers, and Accountants' Union, New York.

Cloak, Suit, Skirt, and Reefer Makers' Union, joint board of

Greater New York.

New York State Federation of Labor.

New York Building Trades Council.

New York Labor Committee on Housing and Slum Clearance.

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 3, New York.
International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, New York. Building Trades Council, Brooklyn. Central Union Label Council, Brooklyn. Central Labor Council, Olean. Red Hook Housing Committee, Brooklyn.
Neighborhood Council, navy-yard district, Brooklyn.
International Longshoremen's Association, Local No. 327, New Kindergarten-6B, Teachers' Association, New York. International Longshoremen's Local No. 1100, New York. Benjamin Andrews, professor of household economics, Columbia University. Rev. Charles McAlpine, Lefferts Park Baptist Church, Brooklyn. Brooklyn Church and Mission Federation.

American People's School, New York.
International Longshoremen's Association, Brooklyn.
Miss Anne E. Robinson, Julia Richman High School, New York.
Amos I. Dusbaw, Brooklyn.
Association of Journeyman Plumbers and Steam Fitters, Tarry-Journeymen Plumbers and Steam Fitters, Binghamton.

New York Typographical Union, No. 6.

Jewish Social Service Association.

City Wide Tenants League.

West Side Public Housing Conference.

Women's Public Housing Round Table.

First Methodist Episcopal Church, Brooklyn Heights.

French Evangelical Church.

United Association of Journeymen Plumbers, Steam Fitters, No.

12 Binghamton. 112, Binghamton.

12, Binghamton.
D. Edelman, Artcraft Store Fixtures, Brooklyn.
New York Y. W. H. A.
Bronx Y. M. H. A.
City Council, Buffalo.
Yorkville Roland American Democratic Club.
League of Mothers' Clubs.
North Harlem Community Council.
Medican Scarce Boys Club Madison Square Boys Club. Nassau and Suffolk Building Trades Council, Mineola, Long

Superintendents and Janitors Union.
International Association of Heat and Frost Insulators and Asbestos Workers, Local No. 12, New York City.
Plumbers Local Union, No. 288, Saratoga Springs.
Manhattan Avenue Merchants Association, of Williamsburg, Brooklyn.

NORTH CAROLINA

State Federation of Labor. Central Labor Union, Winston-Salem, United Textile Workers, Gastonia, Gastonia Gazette.

NORTH DAKOTA

United Association of Journeymen Plumbers and Steam Fitters, Local No. 338.

State Senate.
City Council, Akron.
Mayor Lee D. Schroy, Akron.
City Council, Toledo.
Mayor Roy D. Start, Toledo.
City Council, Lima.
City Council, Cleveland.
City Council, Columbus.
John V. Edy. city manager, T. OHIO John V. Edy, city manager, Toledo.
F. O. Eichelberger, city manager, Dayton.
City Council, Youngstown.
Mayor Lionel Evans, Youngstown.
Cincinnati Metropolitan Housing Authority.
Better Housing League of Cincinnati.
Citizens' Committee on Slum Clearance and Low-Rent Housing, Cincinnati. Cleveland Metropolitan Housing Authority. Ohio State Federation of Labor.
North Toledo Community House.
Cleveland Joint Board, Amalgamated Clothing Workers of Cleveland Joint Board, Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America,
International Association of Machinists, No. 439, Cleveland.
Petition circulated by Dr. C. J. Bushnell, professor of sociology,
University of Toledo, signed by 60 persons.
Legislative committee, Cincinnati Chapter, A. A. S. W.
Friendly New Settlement, Cleveland.
Central Labor Council, Cincinnati.
Toledo Central Labor Union.
Cleveland Building Trades Council.
Columbus Federation of Labor.
Toledo Cloakmakers' Union, No. 67.
Trades and Labor Assembly of Tuscarawas County, New Philadelphia. delphia. Trades and Labor Organization, Middletown. Piqua Central Labor Union, Piqua. Elyria Central Labor Union, Elyria.

Zanesville Federation of Labor, Zanesville.
Jefferson County Trades and Labor Assembly, Steubenville.
Allied Construction Industries, Cleveland.

Brotherhood of Painters, Decorators, and Paperhangers, Zanesville. Regional Planning Council of Hamilton County and vicinity. Perry County Central Trades and Labor Council, Beavertown. Cincinnati Joint Board, Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America Coat, Suit, and Dressmakers Union, No. 63, Cincinnati. Glassworkers Local No. 20115, Cambridge. United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners, No. 1602, Cin-

cinnati.

United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners, No. 29, Cincinnati. International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, No. 38, Cleveland. Novelty Workers' Union, No. 20118. Coshocton.

Office Workers Union, No. 19366, Cleveland.
Paul L. Feiss, member Cleveland housing committee.
Mothers' Club, Toledo.
August Marx, chairman, citizens' committee on slum clearance and low-rent housing, Cincinnati.
Rev. Francis R. Fachteman, Cleveland.
Travis G. Walsh, Maier, Walsh & Barrett, Cleveland.
Ernest J. Bohn, Cleveland City Council.
Howard Whipple Creen.
Brick Manufacturers Association, Cleveland. cinnati.

PENNSYLVANIA

Mayor Davis Wilson, Philadelphia. City Council, Bethlehem.

OKLAHOMA

Mayor Dr. T. A. Penny, Tulsa. Enid City Planning of Zoning Commission. Operative Plasterers and Cement Finishers Local No. 170, Okla-

Central Trades and Labor Council, Bartlesville.
Central Labor Union, Ponca City.
Okmulgee Central Labor Union.
Henryetta Central Labor Union.

OREGON

Central Labor Council, Portland.
Astoria Central Labor Union.
City Council, Klamath Falls.
Mayor Willis E. Mahoney, Klamath Falls.
Portland Mailer's Union, No. 13.
State Federation of Labor.
City Council, Pittsburgh.
Mayor Robert F. Pfeifie, Bethlehem.
Easton City Council.
Pennsylvania Federation of Labor.
Allied Board of Trade of Allegheny County (40 different civic and commercial organizations, 100,000 memberships).
Pittsburgh Public Affairs Commission.
Public Affairs Commission of the Federation of Social Agencies of Pittsburgh and Allegheny Counties.
Family Society, Philadelphia.
Octavia Hill Association, Philadelphia.
Beth Eden Settlement, Philadelphia.
Neighborhood Center, Philadelphia.
Pittsburgh Housing Association.
Irene Kaufman Settlement, Pittsburgh.
Philadelphia Building Trades Council.
Federation of Women's Clubs, Pittsburgh.
Association of Philadelphia Settlements.
Pennsylvania Security League, Harrisburg.
Philadelphia Central Labor Union.
American Federation of Hoslery Workers No. 10, Reading.
Central Labor Union, Kittanning.

Philadelphia Central Labor Union.
American Federation of Hosiery Workers No. 10, Reading.
Central Labor Union, Kittanning.
Cannonsburg Central Labor Union, Strabane.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local No. 6,

Pittsburgh.

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local No. 6, hitsburgh.

Reading Federated Labor Council.

Bricklayers International No. 2 of Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh.

Armstrong County Central Labor Union, Kittanning.

Central Trades Council of Jeannette.

Central Labor Union, Quakertown.

Central Labor Union, Carbondale.

Erie Building Trades Council, Erie.

United Trades Council, Brownsville.

Central Labor Union of Clearfield County.

Central Labor Union of Lancaster.

Barnesboro Central Labor Union, Marstellar.

Blair County Central Labor Union, Altoona.

Uniontown Trades and Labor Council.

United Mine Workers' of America, Local No. 6561, Smithfield.

Casket Makers' Union, No. 19072, Boyertown.

American Federation of Hoslery Workers, Philadelphia branch.

United Mine Workers' of America, No. 2353, Fitz Henry.

International Association of Machinists, No. 166, Newcastle.

Lancaster Central Labor Union.

Pittsburgh Chapter, A. A. S. W.

Molders' Union, No. 66, Pittsburgh.

Earl Harrison, attorney, Philadelphia.

Mrs. Philip R. Hepburn, Rosemont.

Morris Knowles, Inc., Pittsburgh.

S. Leo Ruslander, Pittsburgh.

J. David Stern, publisher, Philadelphia Record and New York Stening Post.

Evening Post.

Francis D. Tyson, professor of economics, Pittsburgh. Francis D. Tyson, professor of economics, Pittsburgh.
Edwin C. May, prominent businessman, Pittsburgh.
Sidney Teller, settlement director, Pittsburgh.
Nathan Jacobs, president, Civic Club, Allegheny County.
J. W. Freas, Chester.
Delaware County Central Labor Union, Media.
Central Pennsylvania Conference of Central Labor Unions,

Altoona

Teamsters, Chauffeurs, and Helpers Union, No. 430, York, Federal Labor Union 18255, Wallpaper Helpers, York. City Council, Pittsburgh.

Mayor Robert F. Pfeifie, Bethlehem.

Easton City Council.

Mayor Robert F. Pfeifle, Bethlehem.
Easton City Council.
Pennsylvania Federation of Labor.
Allied boards of trade of Allegheny County (40 different civic and commercial organizations, 100,000 membership).
Pittsburgh Public Affairs Commission.
Public Affairs Commission of the Federation of Social Agencies of Pittsburgh and Allegheny Counties.
Family Society, Philadelphia.
Octavia Hill Association, Philadelphia.
Beth Eden Settlement, Philadelphia.
Neighborhood Center, Philadelphia.
Friends Neighborhood Guild, Philadelphia.
Pittsburgh Housing Association.
Irene Kaufman Settlement, Pittsburgh.
Philadelphia Building Trades Council.
Federation of Women's Clubs, Pittsburgh.
Association of Philadelphia Settlements.
Pennsylvania Security League, Harrisburg.
Philadelphia Central Labor Union.
American Federation of Hosiery Workers, No. 10, Reading.
Central Labor Union, Kittanning.
Cannonsburg Central Labor Union, Strabane.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 6,
Pittsburgh.
Reading Federated Labor Council.

ittsburgh.
Reading Federated Labor Council.
Bricklayers International, No. 2, of Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh.
Armstrong County Central Labor Union, Kittanning.
Central Trades Council of Jeannette.
Central Labor Union, Quakertown.
Central Labor Union, Carbondale.
Erie Building Trades Council, Erie.
United Trades Council, Brownsville.
Central Labor Union of Clearfield County.
Central Labor Union of Lancaster.
Barnesboro Central Labor Union, Marstellar.
Biair County Central Labor Union, Altoona.
Uniontown Trades and Labor Council.
United Mine Workers of America, Local No. 6561, Smithfield.

Uniontown Trades and Labor Council.
United Mine Workers of America, Local No. 6561, Smithfield.
Casket Makers' Union, No. 19072, Boyertown.
American Federation of Hosiery Workers, Philadelphia branch.
United Mine Workers of America, No. 2353, Fitz Henry.
International Association of Machinists, No. 166, Newcastle.
Lancaster Central Labor Union.
Pittsburgh Chapter A. A. S. W.
Molders' Union, No. 6, Pittsburgh.
Molders' Union, No. 46, Pittsburgh.
Earl Harrison, attorney, Philadelphia.
Mrs. Philip R. Hepburn, Rosemont.
Morris Knowles, Inc., Pittsburgh.
S. Leo Ruslander, Pittsburgh.
J. David Stern, publisher, Philadelphia Record and New York evening Post.

Evening Post.
Francis D. Tyson, professor of economics, Pittsburgh.
Edwin C. May, prominent businessman, Pittsburgh.
Sidney Teller, settlement director, Pittsburgh.
Nathan Jacobs, president, Civic Club, Allegheny County.

J. W. Freas, Chester.

Delaware County Central Labor Union, Media.

Central Pennsylvania Conference of Central Labor Unions,

RHODE ISLAND

United Textile Workers of America, Providence. Providence Central Federated Union.

SOUTH CAROLINA

City Council, Greenville.
Charleston Board of Trade.
Central Labor Union, Spartanburg.
Tillman Lodge, No. 649, Charleston.
Central Labor Union, Charleston.
Charleston Housing Authority.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Aberdeen Central Labor Union.
United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners, Local No. 783, Sioux Falls. State Federation of Labor.

City Council, Knoxville. Mayor Watkins Overton, Memphis. Tennessee Federation of Labor. Nashville Housing Committee. Nashville Building Trades Council. Knoxville Building Trades Council. Knoxville Central Labor Union. American Legion post, Knoxville.
Dr. E. P. Hart, Union Avenue Baptist Church, Memphis.
Robert A. Gerny, Knoxville.
Knoxville Labor News.

TEXAS

Mayor R. F. Sherman, El Paso. Bexar County Tuberculosis Association, San Antonio. Houston Labor and Trades Council. Beaumont Building Trades Council. Austin Trades Council.
Waco Building Trades Council.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, No. 383, Ei

C. Tranchess, S. J., San Antonio. State Federation of Labor.

Federal Labor Union, Logan. Building Trades Council, Salt Lake City.

VIRGINIA

National Federation of Post Office Clerks, Norfolk. Rosnoke Times Portsmouth Central Labor Union.

VERMONT

Vermont Federation of Labor. Barre Central Labor Union.

WASHINGTON

Clark County National Housing Commission, Vancouver, Clark County Central Labor Council, Vancouver, Grays Harbor County Central Union, Aberdeen, Spokane Building Trades Council.

Pasco-Kennewick Federal Labor Union No. 19146, Washington State Federation of Labor.

Everett Central Labor Council, Everett.

WEST VIRGINIA

Central Labor Union, Williamson.
Central Labor Union, Morgantown.
Central Labor Union, Clarksburg.
United Mine Workers of America, Local No. 5429, Mona.
United Mine Workers of America, Local No. 4009, Shinnston.

WISCONSIN

Mayor William J. Swoboda, Racine.
City Council, Superior.
Mayor Daniel E. Dean, Milwaukee.
Milwaukee City Council.
Administrator's advisory committee, Milwaukee.
Board of public land commission, Milwaukee.
City Council, Sheboygan.
Mayor of Sheboygan.
Mayor James A. Law, Madison.
Oshkosh Trades and Labor Council.
Upholsterers Union No. 133, Sheboygan.
Federated Trades Council, Milwaukee.
Racine Building Trades Council.
United Association of Journeymen Plumbers and Steam Fitters of
United States and Canada, No. 167, Madison,
Kenosha Trades and Labor Council.
Green Bay Federated Trades Council. Mayor William J. Swoboda, Racine.

Green Bay Federated Trades Council. Waukesha Trades and Labor Council. Neenah-Menasha Trades and Labor Council. Building Trades Council.
Milwaukee Building Trades Council.
International Boot, Shoemakers Union, No. 197, Sheboygan.

Federal Labor Union, No. 18545, Sheboygan.
Workers' Alliance, Sheboygan.
Central Workers' Auxiliary, Sheboygan.
Phelps Wyman, landscape architect, Milwaukee.
Rev. E. LeRoy Dakin, First Baptist Church, Milwaukee.

Wisconsin Federation of Labor.

WYOMING

Wyoming State Federation of Labor.

ADDENDA, JUNE 4, 1936

MISCELLANEOUS

Local Union No. 779, Mobile, Ala.
United Garment Workers Union of America No. 200, Mobile, Ala.
United Association of Journeymen Plumbers and Steam Fitters
No. 494, Long Beach, Calif.
Santa Rosa Central Labor Council, Santa Rosa, Calif.
Cleaners', Dyers', and Pressers' Union No. 17960, San Francisco,

Calif.

Calif.
Savannah Building Trades Council, Savannah, Ga.
Amalgamated Association of Street Electric Railway Employees
and Motor Coach Employees of America, Local No. 898, Macon, Ga.
Capt. and Mrs. M. N. Egroff, Chevy Chase, Md.
Mrs. Emily Bright Burnham, Boston, Mass.
City Council, Springfield, Ill.
Mayor John S. Kabb, Jr., Springfield, Ill.
William T. Zendler, Ridgewood, N. J.
Scott S. Pierre, Hillsdale, N. J.
Fred G. Hummers, Bogota, N. J.
John Dorrity, Glen Rock, N. J.
Nicholas Cantone, Hasbrouck Heights, N. J.
Thomas Cosler, Rutherford, N. J.
Leon Degergen, Cliffside Park, N. J.

Mary Haman, Garfield, N. J. Mary Haman, Garfield, N. J.
Paul Reimann, Rochelle Park, N. J.
Mrs. Marion Stecker, Palisades Park, N. J.
John E. Quinn, Paterson, N. J.
John Gladstone, New York City, N. Y.
Willoughby House Settlement, Brooklyn, N. Y.
Representative Christopher D. Sullivan, New York, N. Y.
William Lescage, architect, New York, N. Y.
Martin Bohm, Duquesne, Pa.
Chicago City Council.

THE SUGAR QUOTA

Mr. DEROUEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and to include therein a concurrent resolution passed by the Senate and House of Representatives of the Legislature of the State of Louisiana protesting against the sugar quota.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. DEROUEN. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following concurrent resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Louisiana:

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 1, by Mr. Peltier. Originated in the Senate. B. W. Cason, Secretary of the Senate

Whereas sugarcane culture and the processing thereof into

Whereas sugarcane culture and the processing thereof into sugar, sirup, and molasses in Louisiana is, and has been for more than a century, a major industry of our State; and Whereas through the splendid work of scientists of the United States Department of Agriculture and of the State experiment station of the Louisiana State University, new varieties of sugarcane have been produced, and improved agricultural and processing methods have been adopted which have resulted in a proing methods have been adopted, which have resulted in a progressive expansion of sugar; and

Whereas sugar is an import crop of which only one-fourth of the national consumption requirements are produced in the con-

tinental United States; and
Whereas the declared purpose of all existing Federal laws concerning the welfare of farmers is to restore the purchasing power of the farmer and to create a parity income as compared to the

Whereas the average annual production of sugar during such base period of 1909-14 amounted to 350,000 short tons, raw value;

Whereas the actual production of sugar in Louisiana for the crop year 1935 was 340,000 short tons, raw value, and the growing crop is estimated to exceed 350,000 short tons of sugar, raw value:

Therefore, be it

Resolved by the Legislature of Louisiana, That we hereby wholeheartedly endorse the bill (S. 4560) introduced by the Honorable
JOHN H. OVERTON, United States Senator from Louisiana, which, in
substance, provides a permanent Federal policy of unrestricted
protection of sugar in continental United States, and the preservation of the American market for our farmers to the extent of their
ability to supply sugar; and be it further

Resolved by the Legislature of Louisiana, That, should it become
impossible to consider permanent legislation at the present session
of Congress, but in order to meet the emergency created by the
decision of the United States Supreme Court of January 6, 1936,
and should it be considered expedient to fix quota restrictions upon
continental sugar, we submit and we recommend that in no case

and should it be considered expedient to fix quota restrictions upon continental sugar, we submit and we recommend that in no case should the quotas for continental areas be less than the present production and the normal expectancy of the presently growing crop, estimated for the continental cane area to be 450,000 short tons of sugar, raw value; and be it further

*Resolved by the Legislature of Louisiana, That we hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States and we hereby request the President of the United States and the Secretary of Agriculture to protect and assist the sugarcane farmers in the manner herein recommended; and we hereby formally request the Senators and Congressmen representing Louisiana in the Congress of the United States to vote for and support the Overton bill as a permanent Federal policy concerning sugar, but not to vote for or manent Federal policy concerning sugar, but not to vote for or support any measure, temporary or permanent, which fails to provide a marketing quota for Louisiana representing at least the full extent of our actual production of sugar.

EARET LONG,
Acting Lieutenant Governor and President of the Senate.

N. S. HOFFPANIS,
Acting Speaker of the House of Representatives.
R. W. Leche,

Acting Governor of the State of Louisiana. Approved June 1, 1936, 5:50 p. m.

PUBLIC PRINTING AND BINDING

Mr. BARRY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (S. 3440) to amend certain acts relating to public printing and binding and the distribution of public documents and acts amendatory thereof, with House amendments thereto, insist on the House amendments, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from New York asks unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill S. 3440, with House amendments thereto, insist on the House amendments, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate. The Clerk will report the title of the bill.

The Clerk reported the title of the bill. The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The Chair appointed the following conferees: Mr. LAM-BETH, Mr. BARRY, and Mr. RICH.

STREAM POLLUTION

Mr. RAYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the bill (H. R. 12764), a stream-pollution bill, referred to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, be rereferred to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (S. 3247) to amend title II of the National Industrial Recovery Act as amended by the Emergency Appropriation Act, fiscal year 1935, and as extended by the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935, with House amendments thereto, insist on the House amendments, and agree to the conference asked by the

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Michigan asks unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill S. 3247, with House amendments thereto, insist on the House amendments, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate. The Clerk will report the title of the bill.

The Clerk reported the title of the bill. The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The Chair appointed the following conferees: Mr. THOMPson, Mr. Dingell, and Mr. Crowther.

PERSONAL LIBERTY

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD by including therein an article written by myself on the subject of personal liberty, which appears in the Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science for May.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following article, written by myself, which appears in the May issue of the Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science:

[From the Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science for May 1936]

PERSONAL LIBERTY

By John W. McCormack

For many years, millions of immigrants have passed under the For many years, millions of immigrants have passed under the protective arm of that mighty lady of freedom, the Statue of Liberty. To these millions, many of whom had been oppressed, persecuted, and harried by religious intolerance and racial prejudice, she offers a refuge where the inalienable rights of man prevail. With manifestations of American progress surrounding her, she stands as a symbol of man's most cherished concept, the exercise of his natural and acquired canabilities without feer of arbit cise of his natural and acquired capabilities without fear of arbitrary restraint. To us she stands as a monument of our fore-fathers who left their native land to come here, where, once free from the shackles of tyranny, they resolved to establish a system of government that would forever define and preserve those rights which are inherent in man—the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. These are the rights, the free exercise of which constitutes personal liberty.

Let us briefly trace the nature and the origin of these rights in order that we may better understand the necessity for a governcise of his natural and acquired capabilities without fear of arbi-

order that we may better understand the necessity for a govern-ment such as ours, which protects and administers the exercise of them in a manner harmonizing with the proper enjoyment

by all.

by all.

Personal liberty means the freedom of the individual to exercise within reasonable limits those rights which are inseparable from his nature as a rational and responsible being. Such rights, in the American philosophy of government, are inherent—not a grant of the State, but accrue to each individual together with his human nature. Before the State makes us citizens, God makes us men. Gifted with the wonderful powers of locomotion, sight, and hearing, complemented by the Godlike faculties of intellect and will, man is being urged constantly by a rational

instinct and through social necessity to seek association with beings similarly endowed. The right to live, to go and come where and when he chooses, to speak and be heard, to hear his fellow man, all afford an opportunity to secure the advantages of association essential to the exercise and development of his natural faculties. To be checked or even unduly restrained in the exercise of these mental and physical capacities with which he is vested would subject him to a life of ignorance, frustration, and despair.

THE INDIVIDUAL IN SOCIETY

Thus in response to a natural urge and by means of those powers which he is free to employ, man joins his fellow beings in society. That is the meaning of Aristotle's celebrated dictum: "Man is by nature a political animal."

"Man is by nature a political animal."

The welfare and progress of many such individuals assisting and being assisted in the development and the proper enjoyment of their natural faculties, and harmoniously striving for the general welfare, is the true purpose of civil society. Obviously the individual must be free to exercise his natural rights in order to participate in the benefits derived from such association. In society the individual's rights are predicated on his duty to respect the rights of his fellow members. Each member retains his natural rights, but his exercise of them is subject to similar rights which exist in his fellow men. Hence liberty involves obligations and duties, as well as rights and privileges. duties, as well as rights and privileges.

In the struggle for individual success there is the danger that

In the struggle for individual success there is the danger that man will become unreasonable and intemperate in his demands, and ignore his duty to respect the rights of others. Self-interest is a powerful mainspring of action—more powerful in the private individual than his regard for common welfare, which is a vaguer concept. For this reason, society must be protected against any inordinate exercise of the individual's rights. This entails the necessity for some concrete authority to direct and compel, if need be, a proper order of things and conduct. The recognition and the preservation of man's natural rights, prohibiting the abuse and the preservation of man's natural rights, prohibiting the abuse and regulating the exercise of them in accordance with truth and social

justice, are the fundamental duties of a good government.

The steady and tireless efforts of humanity to realize a government established on these principles constitutes the history of

We cannot ignore the many contributions to the advancement of these ideals which were made by the various tribes, races, and nations which inhabited this earth in the past, but we must pass on to those events which are more germane to the history of our own Government.

ENGLISH GUARANTIES OF BIGHTS

The first definite step forward in this great struggle which was later to influence the minds of the framers of our Constitution was taken as early as 1215, when the barons of England forced their King to sign the Magna Carta. It was solemnly pledged in this historic charter that

"No freeman shall be taken or imprisoned or be disseized of his freehold or his liberties or his free customs, or be outlawed or exiled or otherwise destroyed but by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land."

This document, embodying rights that were most precious to man, was so unjustly evaded and often ignored that its intended purpose—the effective protection of those rights which it recognized—was frustrated.

The numerous violations and circumventions of these rights by the Crown were disclosed and remedied 'yy the Bill of Rights, another step toward emancipation. This bill passed Parliament in 1688. It reasserted the rights recognized by the Magna Carta and specifically pointed out the occasions of their violation by the Crown.

These two instruments of English lawthe former recognizing the guaranteed rights of Englishmen; the latter pointing out the futility of recognition without assurance of protection against the usurpations of an unjust government—may properly be described as the framework around which modern constitutional government has been built.

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

These fundamental principles of freedom, which we have shown that man by his very nature possesses, true enjoyment of which he had never realized, were enlarged and further clarified in the had never realized, were enlarged and further clarified in the Declaration of Independence, the instrumentality by which the colonists proclaimed to the world their freedom. This was not a forced or transitory recognition to be disregarded at the first opportunity, as was the Magna Carta. The barons who obliged King John to sign under the oaks at Runnymede were vindicating certain rights which they claimed as Englishmen, and which had been guaranteed by previous grants. The drafters of the American Declaration struck deeper into eternal truth. They laid claim to the liberties therein immortalized, not because they were derived from specific previous grants of any parliament, but because they belong to all men in virtue of their human nature. They descend not from any human institution but from the Creator. That was the electrifying challenge flung into the teeth of a monarchial age by 13 insignificant colonies strung along the Atlantic seaboard. That is what makes July 4, 1776, forever memorable in the history of political science. It marked the daring and spontaneous expression of the hopes and desires carried in the hearts of those hardy pioneers who had suffered untold in the hearts of those hardy pioneers who had suffered untold privations in order that they might live and prosper in the true enjoyment of personal liberty.

ORIGIN OF THE CONSTITUTION

These men were now free to establish a system of government that would protect those rights for themselves and their posterity. Joyful in the possession of liberty, fearful of its loss, they next labored to bring forth the greatest document insuring the perpetuity of a government that was ever devised in the history of mankind—the Constitution of the United States.

This importal document America's greatest contribution to

mankind—the Constitution of the United States.

This immortal document, America's greatest contribution to ordered liberty, truly protects the enjoyment of all those rights for which past generations had so courageously fought. Under it a government by the consent of the governed was established. Its framers, inspired with almost divine wisdom, provided for a dual system of control whereby those powers not vested in the Federal Government remained in the States. They took precautions to prevent undue control from being vested in any one group by dividing the powers of the Government among the legislative, executive, and judicial branches. This division, with its reciprocal checks and balances, guarantees the proper enjoyment of the exercise of personal liberty.

But to make this personal liberty more secure, the first 10 amendments were added. This addition, called the Federal Bill of Rights, guarantees freedom of religious conscience, the right to freedom of speech and of the press, sanctity of the home and family life, the

speech and of the press, sanctity of the home and family life, the right to a trial by jury, the protection of property, and other fundamental human rights necessary for "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." In this discussion we will consider the first, fourth, and fifth amendments and the administration of them in the light of man's natural destiny.

PREEDOM OF RELIGION

The first amendment of the Constitution provides in part, "Con-

The first amendment of the Constitution provides in part, "Congress shall not pass any law respecting the establishment of a religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof."

By the provisions of this amendment each citizen is free peaceably to enjoy his or her particular mode of worship without interference. This freedom enables all citizens to pursue their quest for spiritual happiness in the manner they deem most suitable. Their religious beliggs are held exerced and invident

quest for spiritual happiness in the manner they deem most suitable. Their religious beliefs are held sacred and inviolate.

But this guaranty does not operate to permit the commission of acts under the guise of religious beliefs which tend to injure the health, the safety, or the morals of the public. Government would be negligent in its duties to direct and compel, if necessary, its citizens in the proper exercise of their rights if it were to permit such conduct. The general welfare would be disregarded and wholesale corruption would result.

Religious freedom is properly interpreted in the case of Reymolds.

and wholesale corruption would result.

Religious freedom is properly interpreted in the case of Reynolds v. United States (98 U. S. 163 (1878)), where the Court in its opinion quotes with approval the preamble to a Virginia statute (12 Hennings Stat. 84), which states "that to suffer the civil magistrate to intrude his powers into the field of opinion, and to restrain the profession or propagation of principles on supposition of their ill tendency is a dangerous fallacy which at once destroys all religious liberty * * * it is time enough for the rightful purposes of civil government for its officers to interfere when the principle breaks out into overt acts against the peace and good principle breaks out into overt acts against the peace and good order.

order."

Hence it can be readily understood that the guaranty of religious freedom does not allow the practice of acts such as bigamy and polygamy, which are recognized by the general consent of the Christian world to be injurious to the public good. This is no derogation of religious conscience, any more than would be the prohibition for certain groups in their ceremonies to offer up human sacrifice. For to permit such acts would be to permit every citizen to become a law unto himself, and government would exist in name only.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH

FREEDOM OF SPEECH

Another safeguard of personal liberty is found in the first amendment, wherein it is stated that Congress may not pass any

amendment, wherein it is stated that Congress may not pass any law abridging the freedom of speech.

Freedom of speech is one of the keystones upon which the American conception of personal liberty is founded. It is the effective medium through which the people express their thoughts and desires. It is only in a true democracy that the exercise of such a powerful right can be fully enjoyed. This fact may be appreciated by observing how the rulers of certain nations of the world have suppressed or greatly limited the exercise of it.

To what extent does the constitutional guaranty protect freedom of speech? That it is only a protection from governmental suppression is clearly evident. There are innumerable instances where the individual is deprived of the opportunity to express his thoughts through denial of facilities which are rightfully under the control of another. This cannot be considered as a deprivation of his right to speak, in the constitutional sense. The Constitution guarantees freedom of speech but does not provide that all agencies, public and private, shall be equally available to every all agencies, public and private, shall be equally available to every

citizen.

It was never contemplated that this provision of the Constitution should be a license to slander. This is an abuse of the right to free speech. What is guaranteed is liberty with responsibility. A government which does not protect its citizens with proper laws from scurrilous attacks upon their character and reputation has falled to recognize one of its paramount duties to the Nation. In the exercise of any right, citizens must be compelled to respect the equal rights of their fellow citizens. And the right to one's character is as precious as his title to property. The insidious and reckless exercise of speech, capable as it is of destroying character and reputation of the individual, will ultimately undermine that

bedrock without which no orderly government can exist—the

sanctity of the home.

The proper interpretation of the constitutional guarantee of the freedom of speech was expressed by the Supreme Court of the United States in the case of Stromberg v. California (283 U. S. 359 (1931)). This case declared unconstitutional a California statute which upon its face destroyed free speech by prohibiting the opportunity to exercise it. The Court in its opinion stated:

"The maintenance of the opportunity for free political discussion to the end that government may be responsive to the will of the people and that changes may be obtained by lawful means, an opportunity essential to the security of the Republic is a funda-

the people and that changes may be obtained by lawful means, an opportunity essential to the security of the Republic, is a fundamental principle of our constitutional system."

This declaration of the Court cannot be interpreted to mean that government is denied the right to punish those who indulge in pernicious utterances tending to incite to its overthrow by force and violence. The Constitution provides for orderly change when deemed necessary in the American system of government. It canand violence. The Constitution provides for orderly change when deemed necessary in the American system of government. It cannot reasonably be said that the framers of the Constitution intended to deny to the Government which they established the right to protect its very existence from such illegal attacks. If those interests inimical to our system of government were permitted to incite the people to rise with force and violence and overthrow the properly constituted authority, the result would be the loss of those very rights for the protection of which the Constitution was enacted. It would ultimately result in chaos. Any such movement, regardless of its nomenclature or objective, is beyond the pale of constitutional immunity. Legislation designed to curb conduct abhorrent to our institutions or subversive of democracy is legislation for the protection of the natural rights of man.

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

Another basic right safeguarded by the first amendment is the freedom of the press. The exercise of this right is as essential to the success of democracy as is freedom of speech. It provides the means for uniting the many individuals who make up this Nation into that solid mass of lovers of true liberty—the American people. The press is the guardian and the interpreter of the people, translating their hcpes and desires into the laws of the land.

The Court has always been the zealous watchman of this right. It has repeatedly asserted that the guarantee respecting the exercise of this right is one of the great cornerstones of personal liberty. In Near v. Minnesota (283 U. S. 697 (1931)) the Court declared it was beyond the power of the State to enjoin the publication of a newspaper on the ground that it was a public nuisance. In its opinion, freedom of the press was described as "immunity from previous restraint." So also it was recently decided in the case of Grosjean v. The American Press Co. (56 Sup. Ct. 444 (1936)) that a discriminatory tax which might tend to regulate or destroy the press was unconstitutional.

But as freedom of speech is subject to reasonable limitations, so

press was unconstitutional.

But as freedom of speech is subject to reasonable limitations, so also is the freedom of the press. Since libel is nothing more than slanderous statements appearing in writing, such injurious license is likewise prohibited as an abuse of the freedom guaranteed under our Constitution. It is the duty of the press to respect the rights of others and refrain from publishing libelous statements. Libel is the abuse of liberty of printing, and not freedom of the press. The Constitution was never intended to shield those who indulged in such destructive proetices.

There are certain definite limitations to freedom of the press which are necessary for the general welfare of the Nation. The Government must have the authority to prevent actual or covert interference with the recruiting of men into service in the time of war. Similarly, the State may justly forbid the publication of information which should, for the best interests of the country, remain secret. There is a further qualification of this right. Freedom which the press enjoys under constitutional guaranty does not permit it to incite to rebellion against the properly continuous archerits.

stituted authority.

It was quite definitely decided in the case of Gitlow v. New York (268 U. S. 666, 667 (1925) that the freedom of the press must not be abused. The Court, in upholding the conviction of the defendant, who had been charged with criminal anarchy for publish-

fendant, who had been charged with criminal anarchy for publishing a manifesto urging open rebellion, stated:

"It is a fundamental principle, long established, that the freedom of speech and the press, which is secured by the Constitution, does not confer an absolute right to speak without responsibility, whatever one may choose, or an unrestricted and unbridled license giving immunity for every possible use of language and preventing the punishment of those who abuse this freedom (cases cited). Reasonably limited, it was said by Story in the passage cited, this freedom is an inestimable privilege in a free government; without such limitation, it might become the scourge of the Republic."

The primary duty of government is to protect its citizens in the proper exercise of their rights. Laws which forbid acts which imperil the safety of government are not derogatory to a rational concept of personal liberty.

To hold otherwise would be to encourage the destruction of

government and the loss of all the sacred rights which it protects.

PROTECTION FROM UNREASONABLE SEARCH AND SEIZURE

The fourth amendment protects the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures. The scope of this amendment has been the subject of considerable litigation, too numerous and varied to personable have a representation of the results of the resul mention here. A very excellent description of the rights guaranteed by this amendment is given in the case of Weeks v. United States

(232 U. S. 392 (1914)), where in the course of its opinion the

"The protection reaches all alike and the duty of giving to its force and effect is obligatory upon all intrusted with the enforcement of the Federal laws. The tendency of those who exercise the criminal laws of the country to obtain conviction by means of unlawful seizures and enforced confessions, the latter often obtailed after subjecting innocent persons to unwarranted practices destructive of rights secured by the Federal Constitution, should find no sanction in the judgment of the courts which are charged at all times with the support of the Constitution, and to which people of all conditions have the right to appeal for the maintenance of such fundamental rights."

PROTECTION OF LIFE AND PROPERTY

The fifth amendment provides for the protection of the person and property of the citizens. Here again, as in the exercise of other basic rights, the general welfare of the Nation must be considered. Hence it is commonly recognized that under certain specific circumstances citizens may be deprived of their lives and property, either as penalty for crime or in emergencies, as a necessary means for safeguarding the general welfare of the Nation. Even then, however, it cannot be done without due process of law.

This constitutional guarantee of due process was very clearly defined by Daniel Webster in his argument delivered in the Dartmouth College case (4 Wheat. 627 (1819)), Webster stated:

"By the law of the land is most clearly intended the general law, a law which hears before it condemns, which proceeds upon inquiry, and renders judgment only after trial. The meaning is that every citizen shall hold his life, liberty, property, and immunities under the protection of the general rules which govern society."

These are the constitutional protection: which safeguard the most important phases of personal liberty.

GOVERNMENT AS A SAFEGUARD OF PERSONAL RIGHTS The fifth amendment provides for the protection of the person

GOVERNMENT AS A SAFEGUARD OF PERSONAL RIGHTS

GOVERNMENT AS A SAFEGUARD OF PERSONAL RIGHTS

The growth and development of our Nation from a group of small communities to the most powerful country in the world must be attributed to the ability and genius of its citizens, guided, protected, and supported by a just government. From all over the world people of different tongues and various beliefs have come to these shores where they may enjoy those rights which are so vital to man's happiness. Under our system of government they have found personal liberty in a manner and to an extent as nearly complete as man's imperfections will allow. Here industry, science, art, education, and religion have flourished under the ægis of a government which recognizes the existence of natural rights in each citizen and endeavors to direct the exercise of them to the attainment of the greatest common good.

As members of a social order we should recognize the rights of others. As citizens of this great Nation we should appreciate the freedom it confers to exercise our rights, conscious always of our duty to respect similar rights in others.

The solemn duty of government to direct and compel, if necessary, a mutual respect for the rights of others must not be

a mutual respect for the rights of others must not be ignored. It will be only when our Government fails in its duty to prevent the abuses of personal liberty, and permits those who would ignore the rights of others to confuse license with constitutional freedom, that we need fear the loss of our inalienable rights. Democracies decay from the head downward.

DEMOCRACY ESSENTIAL TO PERSONAL LIBERTY

The determined efforts of an organized minority should not be permitted to undermine the constitutional safeguards which not only guarantee but protect the personal liberty of our people. The possession and enjoyment of personal liberty are dependent upon the existence of democratic processes of government. Under any other form of government, personal liberty exists through mere sufferance of the individual or group who have usurped the sovereign powers which rightfully and inherently belong in the

people. Without recourse to history, a glance at some of the existing forms of government indisputably shows that personal liberty as a right exists only in a democracy. The results in any form of dictatorship, whether communistic, fascistic, or otherwise, upon the possession of personal liberty, have been shown to be the same—personal liberty has been destroyed. In our country, by reason of our Constitution, government itself cannot impair or destroy such rights. Such power is reserved to the people. The right of personal liberty should be zealously guarded.

right of personal liberty should be zealously guarded.

Now, more than ever before, should we be mindful of the purposes set forth in the preamble to the Constitution. Let us renew our faith in a form of government which has weathered the gales of martial and economic storms for over a century and a half, and assures us the stability we now enjoy in a changing world. If the pilots on the bridge have not clear vision and steady hands, the people will perish. Let us lay our course in the words of our own New England bard, Henry W. Longfellow:

"Thou, too, sail on, O Ship of State! Sail on, O Union, strong and great, Humanity with all its fears, With all the hopes of future years, Is hanging breathless on thy fate! We know what Master laid thy keel, What workmen wrought thy ribs of steel, Who made each mast, and sail, and rope, What anvils rang, what hammers beat, In what a forge and what a heat Were shaped the anchors of thy hope!

"Fear not each sudden sound and shock,
"I is of the wave and not the rock;
"I is but the flapping of the sail,
And not a rent made by the gale! In spite of rock and tempest's roar, In spite of false lights on the shore, Sail on, nor fear to breast the sea! Our hearts, our hopes, are all with thee, Our hearts, our hopes, our prayers, our tears, Our faith triumphant o'er our fears, Are all with thee are all with thee!"

RADIOS ON SHIPBOARD

Mr. BLAND. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce be discharged from further consideration of the bill (S. 4619) to amend the Communications Act of 1934, approved June 19, 1934, for the purpose of promoting safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications, and for other purposes, and that the same be referred to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. I may say I have spoken to the chairman of that committee. bill relates entirely to radios on shipboard, and for that reason the chairman of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce agrees that it should be referred to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

A DANGEROUS TAX MEASURE

Mr. MAPES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. HOLLISTER] may have unanimous consent to extend his own remarks by including a radio address which he gave on April 23 last.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. HOLLISTER. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following address delivered by me over the Columbia Broadcasting System from Washington, D. C., April 23, 1936:

Today another outrageous tax bill is before Congress. It is the fourth general tax bill presented by the New Deal since 1933, and in its present form will yield, according to its sponsors, an annual

in its present form will yield, according to its sponsors, an annual average of approximately \$800,000,000.

The problem of taxation, forcibly brought before us by this measure, is the most urgent issue facing the American people today. Taxes of every sort are already burdensome and are delaying recovery, yet the demands of the New Deal spenders are so great that Congress still is pressed to find new sources of revenue. The time has passed when sound public policy will permit additional taxation to support New Deal boondoggling. The time has come when all possible efforts should be directed, rather, to the reduction of expenditures. Three years of reckless squandering has not restored our industry and trade to a condition of wholesome stability. Three years of governmental extravagance has not provided jobs for our 12,000,000 unemployed—has not reduced the 20,000,000 on relief. Nor will another billion-dollar tax bill solve provided jobs for our 12,000,000 unemployed—has not reduced the 20,000,000 on relief. Nor will another billion-dollar tax bill solve these problems. In the interests of national solvency, every dictate of reason and sound policy demands an end to this reckless squandering of public money. In all human history no people has ever been able to spend its way to prosperity.

We all recall, of course, the glowing promise of economy and sound budget management offered by President Roosevelt in the Presidential campaign in 1932. Describing the obligations of the Presidency in his campaign address in Sioux City, Iowa, on September 29, 1932, Mr. Roosevelt said:

"I shall use this position of high responsibility to discuss up and down the country, in all seasons, at all times, the duty of reducing taxes. * * * This I pledge you, and nothing I have said in this campaign transcends in importance this covenant with the taxpayers of this country."

And listen to him speeking at Pittsburgh a few weeks later:

And listen to him speeking at Pittsburgh a few weeks later:
"Now the credit of the family depends chiefly on whether that
family is living within its income. And this is so of the Nation.
If the Nation is living within its income, its credit is good. If,
in some crisis, it lives beyond its income for a year or two, it in some crisis, it lives beyond its income for a year or two, it can usually borrow temporarily on reasonable terms. But if, like a spendthrift, it throws discretion to the winds, is willing to make no sacrifice at all in spending, extends its taxing to the limit of the people's power to pay, and continues to pile up deficits, it is on the road to bankruptcy.

"Taxes are paid in the sweat of every man who labors, because they are a burden on production and can be paid only by production. If excessive, they are reflected in idle factories, tax-sold farms, and, hence, in herds of the hungry tramping the streets and seeking jobs in vain.

"Our workers may never see a tax bill but they pay in deductions from wages, in increased cost of what they buy, or (as now) in broad cessation of employment.

"Our Federal extravagance and improvidence bears a double evil; our receral extravagance and improvinence hears a double evil; first, our people and our business cannot carry its excessive bur-dens of taxation; second, our credit structure is impaired by the unorthodox Federal financing made necessary by the unprecedented magnitude of these deficits."

That was the pledge upon which the New Deal was given power, and yet we find that in every year since 1933 there has been a new Federal tax bill. Despite new taxes aggregating \$1,500,000,000 annually, imposed by these various New Deal measures, we have increased the national debt by \$12,000,000,000 since 1933. Such has been President Roosevelt's observance of his promises and that

And what a tax bill has now been presented! Its basic principle is a complete revolution of the method of taxing the incomes of corporations, bringing about the unsound and fanciful results which we have increasingly been led to expect from our New Deal

financial experts.

The theory of this new tax is that if corporations are taxed at very high rates on earnings which they do not pay out in dividends, they will be compelled to pay out most of their earnings, and there will, therefore, be more income to be taxed to the stockholder who receives the dividends. Like most New Deal theories, however, the practical operation is quite different from

the theory.

In actual operation this new tax will add another link to the sinister chain of New Deal legislation which is directed against thrift and economy, those primary virtues which have made this country great, but which seem to be outmoded today. The wasteful extravagance of the Government, the increasing burden

country great, but which seem to be outmoded today. The wasteful extravagance of the Government, the increasing burden of debt, the manipulation of money by irresponsible experimenters, the threats to sound business operations, have all tended to cast doubt on the wisdom of accumulating savings, of taking out life insurance, or of building up an estate, no matter how small. We are taught not to look after ourselves, nor to try to establish future security, but to look to the Government for help in all our troubles, forgetting that the Government can only support us with our own money, and that in doing so politics, fraud, and waste are sure to be rampant.

Under this new tax bill we say to our industrial concerns to whom we are looking to take up the slack of unemployment: "We are going to place a penalty on saving for a rainy day. The more you attempt to set aside some of your earnings so that you may have enough to keep going in times when you are earning nothing, the more we will tax you." What a travesty this is! It is well known that during the depths of the depression many companies which would otherwise have gone to the wall were able to come through because of the fact that they had accumulated substantial surpluses in prosperous years, and their ability to withstand the storm kept just so many more workers employed. It is tragic to contemplate what would have happened during the last few years had the proposed tax law been in existence at the time these companies were laboriously setting aside a nest egg for the future.

And think of the unfair discrimination of this new bill. It does

egg for the future.

the time these companies were laboriously setting aside a nest egg for the future.

And think of the unfair discrimination of this new bill. It does not affect the surpluses of companies now existing, no matter how large. Thus a corporation with an adequate surplus today has an enormous advantage over a new company which may not accumulate such a surplus in the future without paying a prohibitive tax. This runs directly counter to our American idea that a small concern, if efficiently managed, may grow to a large one by plowing back earnings year after year into the business. How would the Ford Co. or the General Motors Corporation have grown to their size and efficiency today if they had been compelled to pay out most of their earnings? True, their stockholders would have had more in dividends, but the people of the country would not have had such cheap automobiles, nor would hundreds of thousands of men have had jobs.

Has the time come when the man who is willing to deny himself the profits of his business for a time in order that he may build a great industry in the future, finds a prohibitive burden of taxation laid on that business?

This revolutionary tax bill has raised a thousand and one complicated questions which affect different kinds of corporations in our enormous industrial system. Manifestly, exceptions, and special conditions must be made for corporations in receivership, corporations which owe money, corporations in receivership, corporations are studied, the more it will be realized that all that has been accomplished is a complicated maze through which the average businessman will wander in despair, and from which he can never emerge without the help of an expensive army of lawyers and accountants. I predict that if ever this bill, or something like it, becomes law, there will be an enormous increase in the list of employees of the Internal Revenue Bureau, and the courts will be clogged for years with cases seeking to interpret the courts will be clogged for years with cases seeking to interpret the

Like most of the other New Deal measures, this one is directed against the development of our country, instead of giving it an opportunity to go forward. Restriction, scarcity, high prices with a correspondingly high cost of living, Government regulation, Gov-

ernment extravagance, taxation of the thrifty, these are the New Deal slogans; whereas it should not be manifest that what is needed to increase the buying power of the country once more and thus put the unemployed back to work, is the encouragement of business and of the spirit of American ambition, bringing

ment of business and of the spirit of American ambition, bringing increased production, with lower prices and a similar decrease in the cost of living. We have achieved our place in the world because more people can buy more things here than anywhere else. The method in which this bill was prepared is also typical of much New Deal legislation. At the hearings before the Ways and Means Committee all the witnesses who appeared, excepting those connected with the Government, and one Communist, were absolutely opposed to its basic principle. When it came time to draft the bill the Republican members of the Ways and Means Committee were entirely excluded from any part in its preparation. mittee were entirely excluded from any part in its preparation, which was behind closed doors. These Republican members saw which was behind closed doors. These Republican members saw the bill for the first time on Monday, and it was only yesterday that the general public could secure copies of the bill and the report and know the nature of this newly proposed and revolutionary tax. Notwithstanding this, debate started today in the House, and the Democratic leaders insist that the bill be passed within the next few days—hardly a reassuring prospect from the point of view of careful and orderly legislation.

point of view of careful and orderly legislation.

If we knew that the proceeds of this tax bill would balance the Budget, bad though it is, we might swallow it; but its receipts are uncertain in amount and the committee itself has admitted that there will be a lag in collections so that it may be some time before it is completely effective. Besides this, the President, in asking for the revenues to be produced by this bill, admitted that relief expenditures would still be in excess of the Budget for the year ending June 30, 1937. This is only a stop-gap, only another step in the remorseless march of increasing taxation.

Within the past year the true significance of the spending orgy in Washington has been impressed upon the people of the country. Citizens everywhere now realize fully that eventually the bill must be paid. It can be paid only by crushing taxation—taxes upon food, clothing, recreation—taxes upon every activity of life—taxes to eat up small savings—taxes which will deprive thousands of children of educational opportunities—taxes which will sap the

of children of educational opportunities—taxes which will sap the energies and mortgage the savings of many generations of Amer-

Ladies and gentlemen, this kind of thing must stop before it is too late. To cure the ills of our great country we must go to the source of the trouble. It is clear that whatever we spend we must pay for unless we reach national bankruptcy by repudiating our debts. The only way we can pay for what we spend is by raising taxes, and the more we spend the higher and higher the taxes go. All taxes are, of course, a handicap to industrial progress, but when they reach confiscatory rates, industry stagnates and unemployment increases.

In closing, I leave with you a message, short and pointed—get

In closing, I leave with you a message, short and pointed—get rid of the spenders, and the tax gatherers go out with them.

THE REVENUE ACT, 1937

Mr. DOUGHTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H. R. 12395), to provide revenue, equalize taxation, and for other purposes, with Senate amendments thereto, disagree to the Senate amendments, and agree to the conference requested by the Senate: and that the Speaker shall immediately appoint managers on the part of the House without intervening

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

Mr. LAMNECK. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, as the membership knows, I am very much opposed to the tax bill as passed by the House of Representatives, so it is not necessary for me to express my attitude on that bill. The House bill went to the Senate and it has been rewritten. I am not in favor of the Senate bill, but I prefer the Senate bill 10 times over to the House bill.

I am not going to object to this request, provided we will be permitted when the bill comes back from conference to vote on the question of whether we shall accept the rates under this bill as provided by the Senate, as against the rates provided by the House bill. If the chairman of the committee can give me assurance that the House will have an opportunity to do so, I shall not object. If they are going to have this conference and agree that they will force Congress to accept the House bill, I will be forced to object. The Senate has already appointed a conference committee. They have added to that committee four Members of the Senate who are absolutely for the House bill. The Senate committee voted for the Senate bill by a vote of 18 to 1. I can see a tendency on the part of the House management, and probably others, to force the Congress to take this bill whether they want it or not. I am not for any such program, but if the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means

or the Speaker or someone in authority can assure me that we will have a right to vote on the Senate rates as against the House rates, I shall not object. Otherwise I shall object.

Mr. DOUGHTON. Mr. Speaker, I do not have the right to give any such assurance, in fact, I could not give such assurance, but I can say that this is the first I ever heard of any disposition to force anyone to do anything. That is a suggestion that I do not see any foundation for at all. There is no disposition on the part of the majority members of the Ways and Means Committee to attempt to force anything, but just to proceed in an orderly way under the parliamentary rules of the House, so far as I know.

Mr. DIRKSEN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield? Mr. LAMNECK. I yield.

Mr. DIRKSEN. I have no intention to object, but I think I should call the attention of the House to the fact that a most unusual spectacle occurred in the Senate when, without any discussion or debate, they permitted to be annexed to the tax bill title IX, which completely turns over the system of revenue collection for liquor and distilled spirits. A most unusual amendment, I should say, and I suggest to the membership that they carefully examine title IX of the bill.

Title IX was added to the Revenue Act of 1936 by the Senate. It deals with taxation of distilled spirits. It is an unusual amendment in several respects. Strictly speaking, it should have been added to H. R. 9185, passed by the House in 1935 and dealing with revenues from intoxicating liquors. That bill is now in conference.

The substance of title IX was offered as an amendment to H. R. 9185 when that bill was pending before the Senate Finance Committee. It was rejected in committee after careful consideration.

Late in the afternoon of Friday, June 5, 1936, it was offered as an amendment to the Revenue Act of 1936. It was scarcely discussed. The idea, as disclosed by page 9100 of the Con-GRESSIONAL RECORD, was to have it go to conference. Hence it crept into the revenue bill and is now before the conferees. The conferees are therefore called upon to pass upon a farreaching proposal which was neither discussed nor alluded to when both of these measures were before the House for action.

It is not for me to attempt to persuade the judgment of any Member of the House at this time with respect to this proposal but rather to inform the Members of the nature of title IX.

In brief, it amends title II of the Liquor Taxing Act of 1934 so that the \$2 per proof gallon tax on distilled spirits which is now paid by the distillers shall hereafter be collected from the retailers. Retail dealers in distilled beverages shall purchase revenue stamps from the collector of internal revenue and affix them to every bottle of liquor sold. Every seller of distilled spirits, whether at wholesale or retail, shall furnish a surety bond from a company that is approved by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Proponents of this revolutionary method of collecting revenues from distilled liquors advance a number of reasons for the adoption of this amendment, among them being that (1) it will increase revenues by more than \$300,000,000 annually, (2) that it will eliminate bootlegging, (3) that it will reduce liquor prices to consumers from 25 to 50 percent, and (4) that it makes buyers as well as sellers of non-tax-paid liquor liable to conviction as conspirators.

In general, this proposal is based upon the plan now in vogue in the District of Columbia where a gallonage tax of 50 cents is levied on all distilled spirits by means of affixing stamps to every bottle of liquor sold.

The Treasury Department has consistently opposed this change. In support of its position, the Treasury contends that (1) bootlegging has diminished, (2) that present-day consumption as evidenced by revenue collections on distilled spirits will reflect the true demand for spirits, (3) that counterfeited labels, stamps, and bottles are not being used, due to improved enforcement, (4) that the present system of collecting excise taxes from distillers and importers is a guarantee against evasion of taxes because of Treasury supervision, (5) that the new proposed system would increase the rate of taxes on distilled spirits, (6) that the cost of enforcing the new proposed system would require at least 20,000 additional employees and would be excessive, (7) and that the tax as now imposed at the source is not pyramided down through wholesaler, retailer, and on to the consumer.

The new proposal is supported by the National Civic Federation of New York City. Mr. Eugene Greehut, a member of the executive council of that organization, appeared before a Senate committee and presented voluminous testimony on the subject. The Secretary of the Treasury voiced his objections to the proposal in a letter to the Senate Finance Committee dated February 27, 1936, and printed on page 264 of the hearings held on H. R. 9185.

I seek only to inform the House that this proposal contemplates a complete change of revenue collections on distilled spirits, so that instead of collecting them at the source from some 300 distillers, all under close Treasury supervision as is now practiced, it would collect these revenues from some 235,000 retail outlets. Instead of prepayment of revenue at the source before distilled spirits go into the channels of trade, this proposal would call for payment after the spirits got into the hands of the retailers.

To say the least, it constitutes a revolutionary proposal which was not once considered by the House in either session of the Seventy-fourth Congress. A special responsibility therefore devolves upon the House conferees with respect to this new proposal and I am sure their deliberations will be regarded with great interest by the entire membership.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

Mr. LAMNECK, Mr. Speaker, in the absence of any assurance, I am compelled to object.

Mr. WOODRUM. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield? Mr. LAMNECK. I yield.

Mr. WOODRUM. Without undertaking to go into the question or the merits or demerits of the two bills, but speaking purely of the parliamentary situation involved, it seems to me the distinguished gentleman from Ohio [Mr. LAMNECK] is asking for an assurance from the conferees which, perhaps, under the parliamentary situation, he would not get in any event, for this reason: The conferees go into conference over the two bills. They may bring back a conference report; it is entirely conceivable that they will bring back a conference report which, in some respects, will have the provisions and taxing philosophy of the House bill, and in others the Senate bill, in which event the Members of the House would have a right to vote for or against the confer-

Unless the conference report brought back each individual title for separate vote. I do not see how the gentleman in any event, under parliamentary rules, could possibly get the privilege he now seeks by voluntary agreement.

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LAMNECK. I yield.

Mr. RANKIN. Let me say to the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. LAMNECK] that he can get a vote on any item in this bill or in the Senate bill by a motion to instruct the conferees. If he objects now, it will simply mean that application will be made to the Rules Committee for a rule, and the Rules Committee will report a rule sending the bill to conference. At any time after the House agrees to send the bill to conference and before conferees are appointed, the gentleman from Ohio, or any other Member of the House, has a right to offer a motion to instruct conferees.

I hope the gentleman will not object to sending the bill to conference, because his objection would simply delay the passage of the bill.

Mr. DOUGHTON. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman from Ohio will yield, I think he will realize that he is asking an assurance the conferees would have no right and no power to give. I think on reflection he will realize there is no disposition on the part of the conferees to proceed other than in the ordinary way; and there is no disposition to deprive anyone of his rights under the rules and regulations of the House.

Mr. LAMNECK. Mr. Speaker, I realize that probably my attitude will not accomplish anything. I appreciate that under the rules of the House the Committee on Rules could be asked to bring in a rule and they would grant any kind of rule they wanted; at the same time, I consider this tax bill of such great importance that if we were to stay here the balance of the year and work out a sane tax bill it would be the best thing we could ever do. That is the way I feel about it. To force on the country a bill as revolutionary as is the House bill I claim is going to wreck business. Feeling this way I shall do everything I can to prevent it.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman

vield?

Mr. LAMNECK. I yield.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Does not the gentleman realize that if his objection is maintained it just forces the Committee on Ways and Means to apply to the Rules Committee for a rule and the gentleman will still be in the same attitude he is in now.

Mr. LAMNECK. I understand that. Mr. BOILEAU. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield for a parliamentary inquiry?

Mr. LAMNECK. I yield. The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Wisconsin will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. BOILEAU. Mr. Speaker, in the event the conference report were turned down by the House would it then be in order to move to concur in the Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Senate amendments would then automatically come up for further action in the House.

Mr. BOILEAU. This being so, will not the gentleman from Ohio withdraw his request, and permit the conferees to see if they cannot reach an agreement? The matter could still be brought up for action by the House when we reconvene; and if the House then turns down the conference report the gentleman from Ohio would be in position to move to concur in the Senate amendment and the issue would be directly before the House when the question of agreeing to the conference report comes up for consideration.

Mr. LAMNECK. Mr. Speaker, in view of all the circumstances I withdraw my objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina? [After a pause.] The Chair hears no objection and appoints the following conferees: Mr. Doughton, Mr. Samuel B. Hill, Mr. Cullen, Mr. VINSON of Kentucky, Mr. Cooper of Tennessee, Mr. TREAD-WAY, Mr. CROWTHER, and Mr. WOODRUFF.

POWER RATES TO CONSUMERS

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and to include therein a couple of short addresses delivered by the gentleman from Iowa [Mr. WEARIN].

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Speaker, under permission granted me this afternoon to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I am inserting two short addresses on the power question, delivered by the able and learned gentlemen from Iowa, Mr. Wearin.

The gentleman from Iowa has labored diligently since he has been a Member of this House to extend and secure reasonable light and power rates to the consumers of electricity throughout the country, and especially to the people of his own State. He should be commended for his able presentation of this question, and I urge that every Member of the House read both addresses carefully.

THE NEW DEAL POWER PROGRAM

(Speech over N. B. C., Tuesday, May 28, 1936)

Within the past few weeks I have mailed out inquiries to consumers of electricity in our congressional district in Iowa that have revealed farmers and townspeople throughout the area to be paying excessively high rates for energy and that they are entitled to relief. It is going to be my pleasure to talk with you about the matter a few times over the blue network of the National Broadcasting Co. here in Washington.

The power question is undoubtedly one of the most outstanding issues of this generation. Electricity has lifted itself above the realm of a luxury. It has become an important element in human life and its production and distribution should be considered very carefully during the course of determining upon a permanent national policy with a view to advancing the best interests of the consumers.

There are two schools of thought with reference to the hydroelectric power in our navigable streams: One believes that it is private property and should be monopolized for exclusively private gain; the other believes it is public property, national wealth, and should be used for the benefit of all the American people. I belong to the latter school. President Roosevelt belongs to that school; Senator Norris, of Nebraska, belongs to it; as does John Rankin, of Mississippi. Certainly not even the furthest stretch of imagination would prompt anyone to feel that the water of a great navigable stream should be privately owned any more than we should grant monopolies upon the public highways of today. Nor can anyone, even the Supreme Court, say logically that the Government has no right to use its own water in those streams for the production of power for the benefit of its people and that the waters of that said stream must, therefore, hasten untouched and unused down to the sea.

Electricity is becoming a product of universal necessity, just as unused down to the sea.

Electricity is becoming a product of universal necessity, just as roads, water, harbors, and navigable streams. It has the power and ability to relieve the human race of more of its drudgery at a minimum price, if properly handled, than any other commodity. I wonder if there are still very many people who feel that the hard work of farm living, or of any living, for that matter, should not be lifted from the shoulders of the plain folks of this country

simply because a few large corporations want to profit from a monopoly. (It is unfair that women should be forced to do without the conveniences of life in their farm homes or in small towns, that men should labor unceasingly as their forefathers when the installation of electricity at those farms would bring ease and happiness.) Frankly, when we stop to think about it, a complete program of rural electrification for the farmers of America would constitute more real honest-to-goodness farm relief than anything else we could do if the rate for the product is kept at a reasonable figure, which is not the case under the present system.

Now, to get back to the questionnaires that I mentioned a moment ago that disclose such glaring discrepancies in rates being charged for electricity in our section of Iowa and that are more or less applicable to all parts of the State. I called the plight of those consumers of electricity to the attention of President Roosevelt in an interview a few days ago when I placed a portion of the documents themselves upon his desk. Naturally he was vitally concerned about their plight and made constructive suggestions that will be helpful to all of us.

The conditions that those questionnaires disclosed are such that they are worthy of consideration to the extent of setting out specifically their results and comparing the prices now being pald for electric energy to those that are being pald under the Tennessee Valley Authority program as promoted by this administration. I do this in order to indicate to you the great benefits that would accrue from a similar program in the Middle West, such as I proposed some time ago in a bill setting up a Missouri Valley Authority project. The specific cases referred to below constitute 25 average examples and I have numbered them so they can be identified in my files.

No.	Appliances used	Electricity consumed	Monthly east from present power company	Cost under T. V. A. rates	Annual cost from present power company	Annual cost under T. V. A. rates	Annual sav- ing under T. V. A. rates
1	Lights in home and barn, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner, fans, water pumps, grindstone	68	\$8.50	\$1.86	\$102.00	\$42.32	\$59.68
2 3	Lights in home, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner, fans, water pump in house	60	7. 43	1.70	89. 16	20. 40	68.76
	house	87	8.36	2.24	100.32	26.88	73.44
4	Lights in house, barn, and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, range, vacuum cleaner, fans, 2 water pumps	293	16.11	4, 43	193, 32	53, 16	140, 16
5	Lights in house, radio, iron, washing machine		2, 95	1.00	35, 40	12.00	23, 40
6	Lights in home, radio, iron, fan, washing machine	29	6.00	1.00	72.00	12.00	60, 00
7	Lights in home and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner, fan, water pump	30	4. 25	1.00	51.00	12,00	39.00
8	Lights in home, barn, and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner, cream separator.	28	1.73	1.00	20.76	12.00	8.76
9	Lights in home, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner, fans, washing machine, water pump	40	5. 51	1. 20	66. 12	24. 40	41.72
10	Lights in home, barn and garage, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner	20	4.79	1.00	57. 48	12.00	45. 48
11	Lights in home, barn and garage, radio, iron, water pump, washing machine	51	5. 15	1.52	61.80	18. 24	43.56
12	Lights in home, barn and garage, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner, fan, washing machine	42	6. 72 1. 91	1.26	80.64	15. 12	65, 52
13	Lights in home and barn, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner, washing machine, waffle iron	22 35	5. 10	1.00	22. 92 61. 20	12.00	10. 92
14	Lights in home, barn and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner, washing machine. Lights in home, barn, and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner, fan, toaster,	30	5. 10	1.05	61, 20	12.60	48.60
	Charger	145	11.60	3, 40	139, 20	40, 80	98, 40
16 17	Lights in home, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner. Lights in home, barn, and garage, radio, iron, hot plate, vacuum cleaner, washing machine,	72	4. 66	1.94	55. 92	23, 38	32, 54
135	clock, cream separator	95	5. 87	2.40	60.44	28, 80	31. 44
18	Lights in home and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner	89	5. 63	2.28	60.36	27. 36	33, 00
19 20	Lights in home and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, range, vacuum cleaner, fan, feed grinder. Lights in home, barn, and garage, radio, refrigerator, iron, range, vacuum cleaner, fan, feed-	183	13. 22	4. 16	168. 64	49. 92	118, 72
2	grinder mangle, water pumps	217	9.66	4.84	115.92	58.08	57.84
21	Lights in home radio refrigerator from washing machine	79	3.44	2.08	41. 28	24.96	16. 32
22	Lights in home, radio, iron, washing machine. Lights in home, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner.	9	1.48	1.00	17.76	12,00	5. 76
23 24	Lights in home, radio, refrigerator, iron, vacuum cleaner	48	4.11	1.44	49. 32	17. 28	32.04
24	Lights in nome, radio, washing machine	10	1.44	1.00	17. 28	12.00	5. 28
25	Lights in home and barn, radio, iron, vacuum cleaner, fan, water pump, emery, grindstone.	68	8. 50	3.04	102.00	36. 48	65. 52

It is evident from the above table that the people of Iowa are being grossly overcharged for their electricity and many are denied entirely the advantages that come with its use because of prohibitive rates. The power interests have attempted to base the latter, not upon the cost of production and distribution, but upon the helpless consumer's ability to pay, and they have issued their watered stocks against their right or their ability to plunder the unprotected users of electric lights and power. In other words, they have issued preferred stocks, against their right to hold you and your children in perpetual economic bondage, and have sold these watered stocks out to innocent investors, invariably under the most flagrant of false pretenses.

This condition is in part the explanation of the high rates in southwestern Iowa and in fact all over the State. Let us compare briefly the cost of electric energy to consumers in our section of the country with the cost of the product to consumers in Tacoma, Wash., where they have a publicly owned plant, worth between twenty and thirty millions of dollars, which they are paying for entirely with earnings from the electricity they sell the same as the Tennessee Valley Authority is doing, and the Government-owned plants in Canada, in order to get a coordinated picture of how much you are being overcharged. It is evident from the above table that the people of Iowa are

owned plants in Canada, in order to get a coordinated picture of how much you are being overcharged.

I learn from the Federal Power Commission that the people of our State used 672,600,000 kilowatt-hours of electric energy in 1934 for which they paid the sum of \$25,258,621.

Under the T. V. A. rates, the cost would have been \$12,778,621, a saving of \$12,480,000 a year.

Under the Tacoma rates, the cost would have been \$13,450,621, espirio of \$11,820,002.

a saving of \$11,808,000.

Under the Ontario, Canada, rates the cost would have been \$10,115,975, a saving of \$15,142,696 a year.

Under the Winnipeg, Canada, rates the cost would have been \$10,624,621, a saving of \$14,634,000 a year.

In other words, you consumers of Iowa have been paying from eleven to fifteen millions of dollars per year in excess of rates charged under the T. V. A., where an effort is being made to get electricity to the people on farms and in cities and small towns at reasonable rates. To simmer this problem down to individuals, I note this average case of a man in my district who is paying \$7.43 for 60 kilowatt-hours of electricity. Under the terms of the Tennessee Valley Authority that would only cost him \$1.69. If he wanted to spend the same amount of money for current under the latter rates, he could buy 632 hours of it. In other words, in addition to having lights in his home, a radio, an electric iron, a vacuum cleaner, a fan, and a water pump in his house; his wife could do all of her cooking, he could light every building on the farm, have plenty of yard lights, pump all of the water for his stock, grind the feed for his herds, and milk his cows at no extra cost. Just think of the drudgery that could be lifted from the shoulders of every farmer and his wife with such a program.

program.

Last year I introduced a measure, commonly called the Missouri Valley Authority bill, with the avowed purpose of setting up a Federal project in our territory similar to the Tennessee Valley Authority, and at the present time Senator George W. Norris, of Nebraska, also has a measure providing for a Mississippi Valley Authority which is a far greater program, and I subscribe to the necessity of it. If we can effect the passage of one of these measures it will mean an adequate supply of electricity for the Middle West at reasonable rates not set for the purpose of paying huge salaries to executives, running to fifty and one hundred thousand dollars per year, and paying interest on watered stock, but set with

a view to amortizing the honest investment of the public's money to the extent of an honest valuation and paying interest on it so that the taxpayers will not only secure cheaper electricity but they will not have to pay higher taxes to offset that advantage.

The Power Trust is charging that the reason the Tennessee Val-

ley Authority is supplying current at rates startlingly lower than their own is due to the fact that proper allowances are not being their own is due to the fact that proper allowances are not being made by the Government for the customary expenses. The only such items left out of the T. V. A. budget is \$100,000 per year salaries and commissions, or fees, for the Hopsons and others of their caliber and interest on watered stock. Is it fair that such charges for the benefit of a few should be added on to the rates of all of you consumers of electricity who far outnumber the above group? Every one of you who are listening in today and who have electricity from a privately owned power company are helping to electricity from a privately owned power company are helping to pay those excessive salaries and high-interest charges.

pay those excessive salaries and nigh-interest charges.

A large Federal power project in the Middle West would mean that your light and power bill of five or six dollars could be reduced to about \$1.50 or \$2. It would mean that the consumers in Iowa as a whole would be saved from eleven to fifteen million dollars annually. It would mean that farm families who cannot afford electricity under existing rates could have the advantages that come with its use. Untold volumes of farm and household that come with its use. Untold volumes of farm and household drudgery could be lifted from the shoulders of rural residents, and children who are driven away from the farm would be inspired to remain there. In short, it would mean the most practical, useful, and invaluable farm relief that could be offered to the Nation, and you people know that just as well as I do, because you are either paying the bill or doing without the electricity right now. Now, it is going to take time to accomplish the above result; and while we are doing it, the power companies are going on using their excessive rates and unjustifiable service charges, and something must be done about it. The rural electrification set-up in Washington is at your service, established for the purpose of

Washington is at your service, established for the purpose of financing 100 percent the construction of farmer-owned lines, after which current can be bought at wholesale from any source of power. Farm communities should look into this proposition before power. Farm communities should look into this proposition before signing any contracts with concerns attempting in feverish haste to promote private lines in order to defeat the purpose of the rural electrification program, which is to afford you a means of buying current much cheaper than you can get it in any other

Next week I am going to discuss this particular angle of the question over this same chain and will give you all of the steps necessary for you to accomplish such a desirable result.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION

(Speech over N. B. C., Friday, June 5, 1936)

It was my pleasure to talk with you briefly over the National Broadcasting Co.'s blue network last week about the New Deal power program, what it has meant to many people in various sections of the United States, and what it will eventually mean to you in the Middle West. It will be most helpful, however, if you will cooperate and press for such a most desirable end that will add to your income. If President Roosevelt had offered nothing else to the American public as an achievement during his administration than his efforts to distribute electricity to the consumers of this Nation at a reasonable charge, he should never be forgotten by future historians.

I was not surprised at the bitter attack upon me and the hue and cry from certain newspapers immediately after my preceding speech on the power question and I am sure you won't be either when you look in their advertising sections and note the powercompany ads. Those publications are always ready and anxious to fly at the throat of anyone who begins to talk about a Federal power project for the Middle West and lower rates for consumers. Of course, when their profits are at stake the people don't count and in their words "earthing goes at a time like that" and in their words "anything goes at a time like that."

One of the major attacks upon me by newspapers who are under the influence of power-company ads or upon anyone else who is attempting to reduce your power rates is to charge that we are Socialists. That is the old standby defense, the same one that was used when toll roads began to go, when the people began to demand publicly owned water fronts and docks, and so forth. Of course, when power-company advertisers speak to newspapers they control and profits are at stake—well "anything goes at a time like

The customary attack upon Federal and municipally owned power projects is that they don't pay taxes. One of the anti-New Deal newspapers in the Middle West that attacked the facts in my recent speech singled out the Tacoma plant I gave as an example and stated that the rates there did not include taxes but that observation was either made without checking the truth of it or the deliberate purpose of misleading you because there is it or the deliberate purpose of misleading you because there is an allowance for taxes. Any argument with reference to the size of the city it serves is as absurd as the balance of the attack when there are innumerable examples of equally as successful projects in smaller communities. Of course, when profits are at stake, in the words of the anti-New Deal newspaper, "anything goes at a time like that."

My figures with reference to what electricity is costing consumers in our territory have been challenged, but the people themselves filed their statements in my office and their observations along with their monthly power bills have been numbered and appear in the chart included in my previous address. If any news-

paper opposing my fight for cheaper power rates for you people wants to deny the facts and figures on the power companies' own receipted bills, their position only becomes that much more absurd and that goes for any statement to the effect that he cost of electricity has been reduced from 25 to 5 cents per kilowatthour. Are you only paying 5 cents per kilowatthour for your current? If you are, you have an exceptional, not an average, contract.

You can expect hostile newspapers and their editors from all over the country to attack me in my fight for cheaper power and those with the largest and most power-company ads will be the most vociferous. The attack will take every conceivable form and some will even stoop to personalities and to criticism of my ability to gather facts and figures from the Rural Electrification Administration, the Federal Power and Trade Commissions, and other sources available to all who are honest and earnest enough to seek out such facts for the sake of urging cheaper power rates for con-sumers and are not guided by the advertising returns that trickle into their pockets.

I can assure the people of our district, State, and Nation that I am going to conduct this fight on a high plane, on the basis of facts, and shall not at any time descend to the level of criticizing the intelligence of my opposition that is supporting the power interests, and that goes for the editors of the publications that have launched their attack against me, be they garagemen, lawyers, doctors, or ministers. No one profession has a monopoly on judgdoctors, or ministers. No one profession has a monopoly on judgment and intelligence. Furthermore, every citizen has a right to gather facts and use them in behalf of the people or himself, whichever he chooses. It will be well, however, as I have told you before, to check the advertising sections of the newspapers that are opposing my effort to secure a Federal power project for the Middle West that will deliver electricity to you for a fraction of what you are paying for it now and almost invariably you will find power-company ads; if not, look at some of their back issues. I have already told you that the consumers of electric energy produced and distributed by the power companies in Iowa are being overcharged \$12.778.621 as compared to Tennessee Valley Authority

produced and distributed by the power companies in Iowa are being overcharged \$12,778,621 as compared to Tennessee Valley Authority rates, which indicates the urgent character of the power question in our section. Now, I want to do these two things during the course of my remarks today, namely, to indicate the feasibility of Federal or municipally owned power-production projects and also to indicate how the Rural Electrification Administration can be of immediate assistance to you through construction of cooperatively owned distribution lines that can be connected for the time being with any source of wholesale power until such time as my prowith any source of wholesale power until such time as my pro-posed Missouri Valley project or Senator Norris' Mississippi Valley project or various municipal steam-operated projects can be placed in operation.

I know there will be those who will say that such an undertaking I know there will be those who will say that such an undertaking is an infringement upon the rights of private property, but I want to ask you this: Is it fair for private capital, representing at most a few thousand investors, to capitalize upon the ability of consumers to pay for a product, such as electricity, that has become of universal importance? We must stop to realize that electric energy on every farm and in every American home would be the greatest boon to civilization that it is possible to imagine. Widespread production of the commodity at rates comparable to the T. V. A. yardstick would make possible the illumination of our public highways, thus eliminating a tremendous volume of night accidents. Furthermore, light is the best policeman we've ever known, laying a heavy hand upon the advancing ranks of crime. Some of you will smile when I talk about lighting all of the American highways and illuminating the dark corners of every city and ican highways and illuminating the dark corners of every city and town, but it is not beyond the realm of fancy under the proper program of power development. You will soon forget who made the above statement, but in years to come you will never forget that someone suggested it and that the dream came true.

someone suggested it and that the dream came true.

The expense of such a proposal from the standpoint of the necessary power as it is produced today and capitalized upon by the Power Trust, with its pyramided holding companies, is impossible. I mention these things briefly to impress upon your mind the fact that a Nation-wide program of wholesale power production would not only lift the drudgery from the shoulders of the American farmer and brighten the homes of the poor but it would curtail the percentage of accidents upon our highways if they were properly lighted, and halt the ever-marching, heavy, slimy feet of crime. Whoever lifts his hand against the advance of this great program of electrifying America with cheap power that the rich and the poor can afford is tampering with a relentless issue that must eventually trample him underfoot, because consumers rich and the poor can afford is tampering with a relentless issue that must eventually trample him underfoot, because consumers and the common people of this land far outnumber those who would capitalize excessively upon their desire for electric service. He who opposes the Federal power program, and the advance of municipally owned production of it, is tampering with an issue that reaches into 20,000,000 homes at the moment, 5,000,000 business houses, and touches 25,000,000 consumers, who are beginning to realize that they have been grossly overcharged for electric lights and power, not to mention the untold millions who will benefit in the future and who will soon lift their voices in a demand for the service. Mark this prediction: Those people are not going to tolerate any effort on the part of selfish interests to

halt the advancing steps of progress.

Now, just a moment for those who are opposed to the Federal power program of the Roosevelt administration and to the proposal for a rapidly spreading movement of municipal and cooperative power plants. They are going to lift their voices and con-

demn the movement as being costly to the taxpayers without, of course, giving the slightest thought to the millions of interested consumers. I want to take, for example, the Wilson Dam in the Tennessee Valley set-up as an example of what is being done, and I will quote a statement of the Army engineers as shown on page 530 of their report (H. Doc. No. 328, 71st Cong., 2d sess.):

"The sales prices for Wilson Dam power necessary to obtain in order to pay 4 percent on the investment in plant and transmission lines, and to cover the cost of operation and maintenance (indefinitely) of these are based upon the known cost of the hydro plant to date, a careful estimate of additional installation at costs of the present installation, and upon estimates of the costs of

of the present installation, and upon estimates of the costs of transmission lines and of operation, depreciation, etc. It is seen, therefore, that these prices are based largely upon known costs, and that an error in the items estimated would affect the sales prices but little. The hydro prices reduced to mills per kilowatthour would be as follows:

Mills per kilowatt-hour

At the switc	hbos	ard	1.352
Transmitted	100	miles	1.993
Transmitted	200	miles	2.310
Transmitted	250	miles	2.467
Transmitted	300	miles	2.625
Transmitted	350	miles	2. 775"
			The Res 2 is 1914

The next question that will be raised will be the matter of distribution. Most of the agents of the power companies will tell you that distribution is the thing that builds up the cost of your power, but listen to this-on page 531 of the same report of the same Army engineers:

"To supply the prospective market under consideration, it is estimated that the average transmission distance would be 250 miles, and based upon transmission-cost data worked up in the Nashville office, a copy of which constitutes a part of appendix G, Nashville office, a copy of which constitutes a part of appendix G, section C, of this report, this would be 1.118 mills per kilowatthour, including line losses. Having the average cost of hydropower at the switchboard, and the average cost of transmission over the average distance, 1.358 plus 1.118 equals 2.470 mills per kilowatthour, equals the average cost of the hydropower delivered at an average distance of 250 miles."

It can be seen from the above that taking all factors into consideration power can be generated and transported 250 miles at a cost of 2.47 mills per kilowatt-hour from the T. V. A. project, so why not a similar arrangement in the Missouri Valley and the Mississippi Valley? You people who are listening in today are just

Mississippi Valley? You people who are listening in today are just as much entitled to that saving over and above the rate you are being charged at present of 4, 6, and 8 cents per kilowatt-hour or more, plus a service charge in many cases, as anyone else.

The outstanding example of the actual cost of generating and

distributing hydroelectric power is that of the municipal light and power plant at Tacoma, Wash., valued at about \$23,000,000 and having an outstanding indebtedness of about \$7,000,000. The balance has been paid out of the revenues derived from the sale of electric energy. Tacoma also has a steam plant for a stand-by or emergency purposes. This light and power system is entirely separate from the city and pays taxes to the municipality just as if it were a private concern. Of course, the power interests will tell you that these projects do not take such things into consideration, but I am pointing out to you specific examples in which they do take these things into consideration and are still producing and distributing power for a fraction of what you are paying for it today. In 1934 Tacoma generated and sold 199,872,994 kilowatthours of electric energy, which it generated and distributed to the ultimate consumers at an average price of 8 mills per kilowatthour, after paying its operating expenses, interest on its indebted-

hour, after paying its operating expenses, interest on its indebtedness, depreciation, and taxes.

Now, I have been talking a little today about other types of municipally owned plants as a possibility for the Middle West until such time as we can set up something similar to the T. V. A. or perhaps as a unit in the permanent plan, and I want to give you this specific example of what is being done in that field. The city of Springfield, Ill., has a publicly owned power system and generates its energy by steam. Although it serves a population of only about 72,000 people, Springfield generated and distributed power to the ultimate consumers in 1934 at an average cost of 1½ cents per kilowatt-hour. Richmond, Ind., with a population of only 33,000 people, generated and distributed power at an average cost of 1½ cents per kilowatt-hour. Hannibal, Mo., a city of 22,000

33,000 people, generated and distributed power at an average cost of 1½ cents per kilowatt-hour. Hannibal, Mo., a city of 22,000 people, with a municipally owned steam plant and distribution system, generated and distributed electricity in 1934 at a cost of 1.27 cents per kilowatt-hour. Now, I could go on and cite other similar cases in large and small towns and cities—all of which go to show that power can be generated and distributed anywhere in the United States at the T. V. A. rates without in any way impairing the values of legitimate investments.

In the remarks I made last week I called to your attention the saving that would be effected to the consumers of the entire State of Iowa through the installation of a Federal power project similar to the T. V. A. totaling approximately \$12,480,000 a year. This week I have gone into a little more detail with reference to municipally operated projects and you can readily figure yourselves how the Tacoma rates and other quoted would effect a substantial saving to consumers in Iowa and the Middle West, running from \$11,000,000 per year for Iowa alone, upward. Before concluding my statement with reference to the cost of operating these projects and the saving effected to the consumers I want to insert at this

point the schedule of T. V. A. rates at Tupelo, Miss., which is a fair

- "First 50 kilowatt-hours per month at 3 cents per kilowatt-hour.
 "Next 150 kilowatt-hours per month at 2 cents per kilowatt-hour.
 "Next 200 kilowatt-hours per month at 1 cent per kilowatt-hour.
 "Excess: Over 400 kilowatt-hours per month at 4 mills per kilowatt-hour
- 'The basic commercial rates to be charged the ultimate consum-
- ers in Tupelo under this contract are as follows:

 "First 250 kilowatt-hours per month at 3 cents per kilowatt-hour.

 "Next 750 kilowatt-hours per month at 2 cents per kilowatt-hour. "Excess: Over 2,000 kilowatt-hours per month at 0.8 cent per
- The basic industrial rates to be charged in Tupelo under this
- contract are as follows:
 "Demand charge: \$1 per kilowatt-hour per month.
- "Demand: Maximum integrated 30-minute period. "Energy charge:
- "First 10,000 kilowatt-hours per month at 10 mills per kilowatt-
- 'Next 25,000 kilowatt-hours per month at 6 mills per kilowatt-
- hour.
 "Next 65,000 kilowatt-hours per month at 4 mills per kilowatt-
- "Next 400,000 kilowatt-hours per month at 3 mills per kilowatthour
- "Excess: Over 500,000 kilowatt-hours per month at 2.5 mills per kilowatt-hour.

The rates I have just given you, which have been referred to by President Roosevelt as the yardstick, will indicate the extent of the benefit to you by a hydroelectric power-production unit in the Middle West, and steam plants should have little, if any,

in the Middle West, and steam plants should have little, if any, difficulty in matching them. In working out the above schedule the T. V. A. was careful to include every item of cost even to the amortization of the cost of the dam itself. They are not selling power below cost as has been charged by the power trusts. They have been so careful to work out a schedule under which the Government would not lose any money that they have provided rates which will, in all probability, be reduced as the years go by. Now, as I said a week ago, all of these proposals of mine for reducing the cost of power and distributing it widely throughout the United States will entail much work and will occupy a considerable amount of time. Under the Missouri Valley bill I have pending it can be extended to the Des Moines River and various other tributaries in years to come. There is an ideal site for a project on the latter stream just north of the capital city. Something should be done at the moment, however, and the Rural

other tributaries in years to come. There is an ideal site for a project on the latter stream just north of the capital city. Something should be done at the moment, however, and the Rural Electrification Administration has a set-up in Washington and a fund that can be loaned to farmers for the building of cooperative lines which will be helpful. I want to outline to you in brief exactly the steps that are necessary in order to take advantage of this portion of the New Deal program:

The first step in setting up a cooperative for the purpose of constructing rural farm lines is for some one of your number to address a letter to Rural Electrification Administration, Washington, D. C., stating that you and your neighbors are interested in establishing such a cooperative set-up, would like to have some literature and such assistance as might be necessary. After you have received a letter and the data, the procedure is to call a mass meeting and familiarize all those interested with the details of the R. E. A. program and the requirements for a loan, which I will outline briefly; but, of course, same should be placed before the group in more or less detail. At your mass meeting a committee should be appointed to solicit the farms which will lie along the proposed line, the purpose being to find out how many of the farmers will take electricity if it is available and to learn of the uses they will make of it.

of the uses they will make of it.

The members of the general organization committee should include one representative from each township or school district, however the county or the area is divided. This will enable each however the county or the area is divided. This will enable each committeeman to appoint a subcommittee for a particular township or area who will make the canvass. The work is thus simplified. The canvass should consist largely of asking the farmers to sign a form signifying their willingness to join the cooperative and receive service whenever the lines are built. There should be a space on the form for the consumer to indicate the type and number of appliances he will probably use. It is not necessary for the said forms to be a binding contract nor should the farmer be required to pay any money to join the cooperative. The mass meeting and the appointing of committees may be under the supervision of the Farm Bureau, the Farmers Union, the Grange, or any other group, or by all or any of them together. R. E. A. attorneys will aid and advise on all legal problems pertaining to the organization of such groups. Again I repeat, address your communication to Rural Electrification Administration, Washington, D. C., and in the case of legal matters indicate Attention: General Counsel. After you have completed this preliminary set-up and survey you can estimate from the tabulated

liminary set-up and survey you can estimate from the tabulated applications the amount of current that will be required to serve applications the amount of current that will be required to serve the lines you desire to build. The data so gathered may be used to draw a map to show the location of each farm. The farms should be numbered to correspond with numbers on the map. Also, the maps should indicate the proposed lines, existing lines, and the proposed source of power. After you have progressed this far and know about how much energy will be required you can approach the nearest municipal plant or private utility and determine whether or not current can be purchased wholesale from these sources; also a general commitment should be received as to the rates at which this current can be purchased. On a number of projects already approved the average sale price for wholesale power ranges from below 1 cent to 2 cents, the latter, of course, being high, but which even so will result in a reduction of your existing charges. Under exceptional conditions a generating plant may be included in the project after it is apparent that such a step is necessary in order to afford the proper service. In the event that said plant entails too great an investment, it is within the province of the Public Works Administration to grant funds to build or extend municipal generating plants.

The above information so gathered by your committee should then be sent to the Rural Electrification Administration office in Washington, D. C., for analysis, which will occupy only a short time. You will notice that it is not necessary for the farmers to spend any money other than their time in solicitation to submit this application. It is advisable also that the cooperative refrain from becoming incorporated until R. E. A. has had an opportunity to judge the feasibility of their project, as well as to study the proposed articles of incorporation and bylaws. There is no need to employ an engineer or a lawyer until R. E. A. has made some indication as to the feasibility of the project.

The question might arise as to how much the R. E. A. will loan on such a proposal. It may provide the full amount of the funds if it is necessary to do so. Generally the location will govern the

Indication as to the feasibility of the project.

The question might arise as to how much the R. E. A. will loan on such a proposal. It may provide the full amount of the funds if it is necessary to do so. Generally the location will govern the cost of building the distribution lines, including the service lines (normally not to exceed 150 feet in length) to the farm home and the customers' meters. Occasionally where there is no adjacent source of power and the size of the projects will warrant it, a short transmission line and substation or a small generating plant may be included as part of the project, which cost the loan will cover. (Consumers' loans for wiring and appliances can be handled in another way. In the case of appliances the Electric Home and Farm Authority, an agency of the Federal Government, Washington, D. C., should be contacted for loans.) Additional information will be furnished to interested parties upon a request addressed to the Washington office of the R. E. A., which I designated earlier in these remarks. If farmers of the United States will take advantage of this program, we can bring about a widespread electrification of farms and the homes thereon. The failure of the existing power companies to so service the consumer is glaring when compared to other nations. In Holland there is practically a 100-percent electrification of farms; in Germany 90 percent of all farms are receiving the benefits of electricity; in Sweden 50 percent are so serviced; but in the United States only 10 percent have the advantages that come with the use of electric energy.

I commend this program to you most highly even though there are many interests in Iowa that are bitterly opposed to it. Even some of the officials of the Extension Department at Ames have indicated a lack of willingness to cooperate for the benefit of farm consumers with respect to the above proposal. I have

Even some of the officials of the Extension Department at Ames have indicated a lack of willingness to cooperate for the benefit of farm consumers with respect to the above proposal. I have also been interested to note of late that the power companies have suddenly become very solicitous of your welfare and have been holding meetings in various sections of the country, offering to build lines to the farmers. Let us remember the old adage, "Beware of the Greeks bearing gifts." I remember back only a few years ago when there was no proposal for cooperative distribution of power by consumers, no suggestion of a Federal power program, that the Power Trust in our country laughed at the request of a farmer for current and told him they were not seeking such farm business. If he still persisted they quoted him a price of \$1,600, \$1,800, or \$2,000 per mile as a line construction cost to stop his ambition to relieve his wife and his family of the drudgery of hard work, and that cost was not a wartime cost either. Now the power companies are anxious to get control of the lines of distribution in our section in order to halt the program of the Rural Electrification Administration in constructing cooperative lines that will reduce the cost of elecstructing cooperative lines that will reduce the cost of elec-tricity to you, even though it will be necessary in many cases to buy the product at wholesale from the power companies until such time as we can set up some of the projects that I am proposing and urging both through the agency of the Federal

such time as we can set up some of the projects that I am proposing and urging both through the agency of the Federal Government, States, and municipalities.

Let us not take too seriously the altruistic tendencies of the power companies in the Middle West that are evidenced by the rates charged for electricity in our section which I pointed out to you in my previous remarks, and which in some instances when service charges are included run to as high as 20 cents per kilowatt-hour. I am reminded that the Commonwealth & Southern Co. also had a similar outburst of generosity. They were buying power at Muscle Shoals at 2 mills a kilowatt-hour, relaying it to the ultimate consumers less than half a mile away at 10 cents a kilowatt-hour, or a difference of 4,800 percent. I suppose they would have said that increase in price was occasioned by the cost of distribution. They were selling it to some farmers in that section of the country at from 30 to 40 cents per kilowatt-hour, making a line charge of \$3.25, exactly what they are doing in many instances in our country now, and then charging them 5 cents a kilowatt-hour for what electricity they used over and above. Of course, they do us one better in our section. They charge us 4, 6, and 8 cents. In the above instance one of the farmers in that section was paying the power company \$4 per month for 25 kilowatt-hours that cost the power company 5 cents at the dam. A few weeks ago the Iowa Manufacturers' Association sent out a letter in which they tried to undermine the benefits of the T. V. A. and prejudice people against it by citing some

prices paid for cows down at Norris City. If any of you members of that association have that letter around dig it out and see if they said anything about that farmer down there who paid that \$4 for electricity that cost the power company 5 cents at the dam before the T. V. A. project went into effect and rates were reduced in accordance with the schedule I have included in these remarks; see if they mentioned the millions of consumers—you people who pay the bill of high electric rates.

The Rural Electrification Administration has already been successful in doing exactly what I have said can be done—aiding farm consumers in constructing lines and in securing contracts for current at wholesale which have materially reduced their electric bills. You can do the same thing yourself. A project is under way in Adams County, Iowa, in our congressional district, and Mr. Morris L. Cooke, Administrator of the R. E. A., informed me in a letter on June 1 that an initial allotment of \$67,500 has me in a letter on June 1 that an initial allotment of \$67,500 has just been made for the construction of rural electrification distribution lines primarily in Shelby County, Iowa. Get in your car and drive over there to talk to some of those farmers. It is contemplated that these funds will be loaned to the Shelby County Rural Electric Cooperative for building these lines which will take electric energy to approximately 225 farm homes in that and adjoining counties.

It is to be hoped that farm consumers throughout the Middle

West will take advantage of this New Deal program and in the meantime will assist the administration in advancing Federal production projects similar to the T. V. A., and State and municipally owned proposals in order that the advantages of cheap electricity can be brought to every farm and every home in

America.

I want the people of our district and any others in any portion of the Middle West who are interested and who want relief from existing high rates or who desire to secure the benefits of electricity to feel at liberty to write to me at any time for information contained in these remarks or to request my assistance in any way. Regardless of my position, be it one of a public official or of a private citizen, I expect to go on with this fight to the end or until such time as the farms and homes of America are properly electrified at reasonable rates based on the T V A ica are properly electrified at reasonable rates based on the T. V. A. yardstick, and the plain people of this Nation receive the benefits of such a program.

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RANKIN. It was stated a while ago that all Members had permission to extend their remarks in the Record up to the date of the last publication of the RECORD for this session. Does this mean more than one insertion?

The SPEAKER. It does.

Mr. RANKIN. I thank the Speaker.

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. McCORMACK. Is the permission to extend remarks, to which the gentleman from Mississippi referred, confined to the Members' own remarks, or under it is a Member permitted to include brief excerpts from articles or statements of others?

The SPEAKER. The unanimous-consent request as submitted by the present occupant of the chair when he was majority leader was that all Members should have the right to extend their own remarks; and the Chair is of the opinion that if it is desired to include in the extension quotations from editorials or other extraneous matter that special permission should be secured.

DEFENDERS OF THE CONSTITUTION-THE SEVENTY-THIRD AND SEVENTY-FOURTH CONGRESSES

Mr. SNYDER of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, the other day, under unanimous consent, I sent to the Printing Office an extension of remarks for insertion in the RECORD, but it was returned to me by the Printer because it exceeded the two-page limitation. The Printer states it will take three and a half pages. I have the estimate from the Printer, and I now renew my request to make this extension,

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. SNYDER of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, some months ago I placed in the RECORD a compiled statement checked by the legislative reference service of the Congressional Library showing the exact data relative to acts of Congress being declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States. I am now bringing this down to date so that the Members, as well as the general public may have access to this contribution.

You will recall that I said that out of the more than | 24,000 acts of Congress that have been passed since George Washington took his oath of office, a very small percent of them were declared unconstitutional. To be accurate, to date there have been 70 acts of Congress declared unconstitutional.

I said at that time, and still say, that this record specifically stamps the United States Congress as being the most efficient and accurate lawmaking body the world has ever experienced. When you make yourself conscious of the fact that perhaps there are in every Congress, 20 or 30 men out of the 435 who are just as sincere, just as well trained and equipped, and just as able and capable of sitting in judgment on legislation as to its constitutionality as any group of Supreme Court judges that were ever appointed—then we grasp the entire picture. Some say that we should have an amendment to the Constitution. That may be. I am not passing judgment on that statement at this time, but I do say that the Constitution, the way it stands, can be interpreted to take care of all of the needs of all of the people all of the time.

There is no use to cry over spilled milk. It is our duty to obey the laws while they are on the statute books. I am going to do that. Every good citizen always obeys the laws. But, as in the case when the Guffey-Snyder coal bill was declared unconstitutional, we immediately went to work and in 2 days' time we had another measure prepared that legal minds say can be interpreted to be constitutional because it dovetails into the decision of the Supreme Court Justice,

Some radio speakers as well as some newspapers gave. and still are giving, false impressions. For instance, I heard one radio speaker, who was aspiring to be a candidate for one of the highest offices within the gift of the people, say that the Democratic Congress was destroying the Constitution by passing acts that were unconstitutional.

Of course, this speaker did not know what he was talking about or he would never have said this. If you will check the acts listed here below, you will find that some 40 of them that have been declared unconstitutional were put on the statute books by the Republican Party, when they had both Houses and the President, and that only some 20 of these were put on the statute books when we had a Democratic Congress and President.

Mr. Speaker, President Roosevelt and the Democratic Congress under his leadership have set up and put into effect for the farmers, the laborers, and the businessmen so many commendable things that space will not permit me to enumerate them. Suffice to say that I for one shall continue my fight for the fundamentals in order that labor may have the right to collective bargaining, the right to wage scales, the right to certain limited hours of labor.

Yes; I shall insist that we shall have the right to protect all laborers from exploiters and at the same time protect women and children from sweatshop abuses.

Following are the acts:

Following are the acts:

1. Act of September 24, 1789 (1 Stat. 81, sec. 13, in part).

Provision that "* * (the Supreme Court) shall have power to issue * * writs of mandamus, in cases warranted by the principles and usages of law, to any * * persons holding office, under authority of the United States' held not to authorize issue of mandamus to the Secretary of State requiring him to deliver to plaintiff a commission (duly signed by the President) as justice of the peace in the District of Columbia.

Marbury v. Madison (1 Cr. 137 (Feb. 24, 1803)).

2. Act of February 20, 1812 (2 Stat. 677, ch. 22).

Provisions for examination into "validity of claims to land * * which are derived from confirmations made * * * by the Governors of the Northwest * * Territory' held not to authorize annulment of title confirmed by Governor

by the Governors of the Northwest * * * Territory" held not to authorize annulment of title confirmed by Governor St. Clair in 1799, nor to validate a subsequent sale and patent by the United States.

by the United States,

Reichart v. Felps (6 Wall, 160 (Mar. 16, 1868)).

3. Act of March 6, 1820 (3 Stat. 548, sec. 8, provise).

The Missouri Compromise, prohibiting slavery within the Louisiana Territory north of 36°30°, except Missouri.

Dred Scott v. Sanjord (19 How. 393 (Mar. 6, 1857)).

Note.—The Missouri Compromise was "declared inoperative and void" by act of May 30, 1854, while the Dred Scott case was pending. was pending.

Act of February 25, 1862 (12 Stat. 345, sec. 1); July 11, 1862 (12 Stat. 532, sec. 1); March 3, 1863 (12 Stat. 711, sec. 3)—all

"Legal-tender clauses", making non-interest-bearing U. S. notes legal tender in payment of "all debts, public and private", so far as applied to debts contracted before passage of the act.

Hepburn v. Griswold (8 Wall. 603 (Feb. 7, 1870); overruled in Knox v. Lee (Legal Tender cases) (12 Wall. 457 (May 1,

NOTE.—The specific notes involved in the case were issued under the act of 1862, and the opinion (p. 613) states the question for determination to be "whether the act of February 25, 1862 * * * is constitutional"; but the other clauses cited, being practically identical, would surely be covered by the decision.

5. Act of March 3, 1863 (12 Stat. 757, ch. 81, sec. 5).

"So much of the 5th section as provides for the removal of a judgment in a State court, and in which the cause was tried by a jury to the circuit court of the United States for a retrial on the facts and law, is not in pursuance of the Constitution, and is void."

Constitution, and is void."

The Justices v. Murray (9 Wall. 274 (Mar. 14, 1870)).

6. Act of March 3, 1863 (12 Stat. 766, c. 92, sec. 5).

Provision for an appeal from the Court of Claims to the Supreme Court; there being at the time a further provision (sec. 14) requiring an estimate by the Secretary of the Treasury before payment of final judgments.

Gordon v. United States (2 Wall. 561 (Mar. 10, 1865)).

(Case was dismissed without opinion; grounds were suggested in United States v. Klein, 18 Wall. 128, 144.)

Act of June 30, 1864 (13 Stat. 281, c. 173, sec. 116), as amended; see below, act of March 2, 1867 (14 Stat. 477, sec. 13).

Same (13 Stat. 284, c. 173, sec. 122), as amended; see below, act of July 13, 1866 (14 Stat. 138).

7. Act of June 30, 1864 (13 Stat. 311, c. 174, sec. 13).

7. Act of June 30, 1864 (13 Stat. 131), c. 174, sec. 13).

Provision that "any prize cause now pending in any circuit court shall, on the application of all parties in interest

* * be transferred by that court to the Supreme Court. * * *"

Court. * * *"

The Alicia (7 Wall. 571 (Jan. 25, 1869)).

8. Act of January 24, 1865 (13 Stat. 424, c. 20).

Requirement of a test oath (disavowing actions in hostility to the United States) before admission to appear as attorney in a Federal court by virtue of any previous admission, held invalid as applied, at any rate, to an attorney who had been pardoned by the President for all offenses during the Rebellion.

Ex parte Garland (4 Wall. 333 (Jan. 14, 1867)).

9. Act of July 13, 1866 (14 Stat. 138), amending act of June 30, 1864.

1864.

Tax on indebtedness of railroads, "* * to whatsoever party or person the same may be payable", as applied to indebtedness to a municipal corporation, incurred under author-

party or person the same may be payable", as applied to indebtedness to a municipal corporation, incurred under authority of the State.

United States v. Railroad Co. (17 Wall. 322 (Apr. 3, 1873)).

10. Act of March 2, 1867 (14 Stat. 477, c. 169, sec. 13), amending act of June 30, 1864 (13 Stat. 281, sec. 116).

Tax on income of "* * every person residing in the United States * * whether derived from * * salaries * * or from any source whatever * * applied to income of State judges.

The Collector v. Day (11 Wall. 113 (Apr. 3, 1871)).

11. Same (14 Stat. 484, c. 169, sec. 29).

General prohibition on sale of naphtha, etc., for illuminating purposes, if inflammable at less temperature than 110° F., held invalid "except so far as the section named operates within the United States, but without the limits of any State."

United States v. Dewitt (9 Wall. 41 (Feb. 21, 1870)).

Act of March 2, 1867 (14 Stat. 539, c. 176, sec. 44), as embodied in Revised Statutes; see below, R. S. 5132.

12. Act of May 31, 1870 (16 Stat. 140, c. 114, secs. 3, 4).

Provisions penalizing (1) refusal of local election officials to permit voting by persons offering to qualify under State laws applies the convertions.

permit voting by persons offering to qualify under State laws applicable to any citizens; and (2) hindering of any person from qualifying or voting, held invalid under fifteenth amendment

ment.

United States v. Reese et al. (92 U. S. 214 (Mar. 27, 1876)).

Act of May 31, 1870 (16 Stat. 140, c. 114), as embodied in Revised Statutes; see below, Revised Statutes 1977, 5507.

Act of June 17, 1870 (16 Stat. 154), as embodied in Revised Statutes of the District of Columbia; see below, Revised Statutes of the District of Columbia 1064.

Act of July 8, 1870 (16 Stat. 210), as embodied in Revised Statutes; see below, Revised Statutes 4937-4947.

13. Act of July 12, 1870 (16 Stat. 235, c. 251).

Provision making Presidential pardons inadmissible in evidence in Court of Claims, prohibiting their use by that court in deciding claims or appeals, and requiring dismissal of appeals by the Supreme Court in cases where proof of loyalty

in deciding claims or appeals, and requiring dismissal of appeals by the Supreme Court in cases where proof of loyalty had been made otherwise than as prescribed by law.

*United States v. Klein** (13 Wall. 128 (Jan. 29, 1873)).

Act of April 20, 1871 (17 Stat. 13, c. 22, sec. 2), as embodied in Revised Statutes; see below, Revised Statutes 5519.

14. Act of June 22, 1874 (18 Stat. 187, sec. 5).

Provision authorizing Federal courts to require production of documents in proceedings, other than criminal, under the revenue laws (the allegations expected to be proved thereby

to be taken as proved, on failure to produce such documents) held invalid as applied to a suit for forfeiture under the

customs laws.

Boyd v. United States (116 U. S. 616 (Feb. 1, 1886)).

15. R. S. 1977 (act of May 31, 1870, 16 Stat. 144).

Provision that "all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make and enforce contracts * * * as is enjoyed by white citizens * * * held invalid under the thirteenth amendment.

thirteenth amendment.

Hodges v. United States (203 U. S. 1 (May 28, 1906)).

16. R. S. 4937-4947 (act of July 8, 1870, 16 Stat. 210), and act of August 14, 1876 (19 Stat. 141).

Original trade-mark law, applying to marks "for exclusive use within the United States"; and a penal act designed solely for the protection of rights defined in the earlier measure.

Trade Mark cases (100 U. S. 82 (Nov. 17, 1879)).

17. R. S. 5132, subdivision 9 (act of Mar. 2, 1867, 14 Stat. 539).

Provision penalizing "any person respecting whom bankruptcy proceedings are commenced * * * who, within 3 months before the commencement of proceedings in bankruptcy, under the false color and pretense of carrying on business and dealing in the ordinary course of trade, obtains on credit from any person any goods or chattels with intent to defraud * * *."

United States v. Fox (95 U. S. 670 (Jan. 7, 1878)).

with intent to defraud * * *."

United States v. Fox (95 U. S. 670 (Jan. 7, 1878)).

18. R. S. 5507 (act of May 31, 1870, 16 Stat. 141, sec. 4).

Provision penalizing "every person who prevents, hinders, controls, or intimidates another from exercising * * * the right of suffrage, to whom that right is guaranteed by the fifteenth amendment to the Constitution of the United States, by means of bribery * * held not authorized by States, by means of bribery * the said fifteenth amendment.

the said fifteenth amendment.

James v. Bowman (190 U. S. 127 (May 4, 1903)).

19. R. S. 5519 (act of Apr. 20, 1871, 17 Stat. 13, ch. 22, sec. 2).

Section providing punishment in case "two or more persons in any State * * * conspire * * * for the purpose of depriving * * * any person * * * of the equal protection of the laws * * * or for the purpose of preventing or hindering the constituted authorities of any State * * * from giving or securing to all persons within such State * * the equal protection of the laws * * * ", held invalid for punishment of conspiracy within a State.

United States v. Harris (106 U. S. 629 (Jan. 22, 1883))

laws * * *," held invalid for punishment of conspiracy within a State.

**United States v. Harris* (106 U. S. 629 (Jan. 22, 1883)).

**Note.—In Baldwin v. Franks* (120 U. S. 678 (Mar. 7, 1887)), an attempt was made to distinguish the Harris case, and apply it to conspiracy against allens, though within a State, and held, the provision was not separable in such case.

20. Revised Statutes of the District of Columbia, section 1064 (act of June 17, 1870, 16 Stat. 154, ch. 133, sec. 3).

Provision that "prosecutions in the police court (of the District of Columbia) shall be by information under oath, without indictment by grand jury or trial by petit jury", as applied to punishment for conspiracy (Callan v. Wilson, 127 U. S. 540 (May 14, 1888)).

21. Act of March 1, 1875 (18 Stat. 336, secs. 1, 2).

Provision "That all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall be entitled to the full and equal enjoyment of the accommodations * * * of inns, public conveyances on land or water, theaters, and other places of public amusement; subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law and applicable alike to citizens of every race and color, regardless of any previous condition of servitude." Section 2 prescribed a penalty for violation.

Held unconstitutional (a) in operation within the States in Civil Rights cases (109 U. S. 3 (Oct. 15, 1883)); (b) in operation outside the States, and therefore void in toto; in Butts v. Merchants' & Miners' Transportation Co. (230 U. S. 126 (June 16, 1913)).

22. Act of March 3, 1875 (18 Stat. 479, ch. 144, sec. 2).

16, 1913)).

22. Act of March 3, 1875 (18 Stat. 479, ch. 144, sec. 2).

Provision that "if the party (i. e., a person stealing property from the United States) has been convicted, then the judgment against him shall be conclusive evidence in the prosecution against (the) receiver that the property of the United States therein described has been embezzled, stolen, or purloined."

Kirby v. United States (174 U. S. 47 (Apr. 11, 1899)).

23. Act of July 12, 1876 (19 Stat. 80, sec. 6, in part).

Provision that "postmasters of the first, second, and third classes * * * may be removed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate."

Myers v. United States (272 U. S. 52 (Oct. 25, 1926)).

24. Act of August 14, 1876 (19 Stat. 141, entire act).

Act for protection of trade-mark rights established by R. S. 4937-4947.

** Trade Mark cases (100 U. S. 82 (Nov. 17, 1879)).

25. Act of August 11, 1888 (25 Stat. 411).

Clause in a provision for the purchase or condemnation of a certain lock and dam in the Monongahela River that

" * * in estimating the sum to be paid by the United States, the franchise of said corporation to collect tolls shall not be considered or estimated * * "

Monongahela Navigation Co. v. United States (148 U. S. 312 (Mar. 27, 1893)).

26. Act of May 5, 1892 (27 Stat. 25, ch. 60, sec. 4). Provision of a Chinese exclusion act, that Chinese persons "convicted and adjudged to be not lawfully entitled to be or

"convicted and adjudged to be not lawfully entitled to be or remain in the United States shall be imprisoned at hard labor for a period not exceeding 1 year and thereafter removed from the United States * * *." (The conviction and judgment thus referred to was by a justice, judge, or commissioner upon a summary hearing.)

Wong v. United States (163 U. S. 228 (May 18, 1896)).

27. Joint resolution of August 4, 1894 (28 Stat. 1018, No. 41).

Provision authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to approve a second lease of certain land by an Indian chief in Minnesota (title to which land was vested in the lessor's ancestor by art. 9 of a treaty with the Chippewa Indians) held not to affect validity of first lease.

Jones v. Mechan (175 U. S. 1 (Oct. 30, 1899)).

28. Act of August 27, 1894 (28 Stat. 553-560, secs. 27-37).

Income-tax provisions of the Tariff Act of 1894. "The tax imposed by sections 27 to 87, inclusive * * so far as it falls on the income of real estate and of personal property, being a direct tax within the meaning of the Constitution, and, therefore, unconstitutional and void because not apportioned according to representation, all those sections, constitution and, therefore, unconstitutional and void because not apportioned according to representation, all those sections, constituting one entire scheme of taxation, are necessarily invalid." (158 U. S. 601, 637.)

Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co. (157 U. S. 429 (Apr. 8, 1895), and rehearing (158 U. S. 601 (May 20, 1895)).

29. Act of January 30, 1897 (29 Stat. 506).

Prohibition on sale of liquor "* * * to any Indian to whom allotment of land has been made while the title to the same shall be held in trust by the Government * * *."

Matter of Heff (197 U. S. 588 (Apr. 10, 1905)); overruled in United States v. Nice (241 U. S. 591 (1916)).

30. Act of June 1, 1898 (30 Stat. 428).

Section 10, penalizing "any employer subject to the provisions of this act" who should "threaten any employee with loss of employment * * because of his membership in * * * a labor corporation, association, or organization."

(The act applied "to any common carrier * * * engaged in the transportation of passengers or property * * from one State * * * to another State * * ", etc.)

Adair v. United States (208 U. S. 161 (Jan. 27, 1908)).

31. Act of June 13, 1898 (30 Stat. 459).

Stamp tax on foreign bills of lading in War Revenue Act.

Fairbanks v. United States (181 U. S. 283 (Apr. 15, 1901)).

32. Same (30 Stat. 460).

Tax on charter parties, as applied to shipments exclusively from ports in United States to foreign ports.

United States v. Hooslef (237 U. S. 1 (Mar. 22, 1915)). (See note to next case.) tioned according to representation, all those sections, consti-

note to next case.)

33. Same (30 Stat. 461).

Tax on policies of marine insurance, as applied to insurance during voyage to foreign ports.

Thames & Mersey Insurance Co. v. United States (237 U. S.

Thames & Mersey Insurance Co. v. United States (237 U. S. 19 (Apr. 5, 1915)).

NOTE.—The Revenue Act of 1898 was repealed April 12, 1902, and the Hvoslef and Insurance Co. cases were claims brought under a refunding act of 1912 to recover amounts claimed to have been illegally collected.

34. Act of June 6, 1920 (31 Stat. 359, § 171).

Section of the Alaska Code providing for a six-person jury in trials for misdemeanors.

Rasmusser v. United States (197 U. S. 516 (Apr. 10, 1905)).

Rasmussen v. United States (197 U. S. 516 (Apr. 10, 1905)).

35. Act of March 3, 1901 (31 Stat. 1341, § 935).

Section of the District of Columbia Code granting the same right of appeal, in criminal cases, to the United States or the District of Columbia as to the defendant, but providing that

District of Columbia as to the defendant, but providing that during trial.

United States v. Evans (213 U. S. 297 (Apr. 19, 1909)).

36. Act of June 11, 1906 (34 Stat. 232, ch. 3073).

The act provided that "every common carrier engaged in trade or commerce in the District of Columbia * * * or between the several States * * * shall be liable to any of its employees * * * for all damages which may result from the negligence of any of its officers * * * or by reason of any defect * * * due to its negligence in its cars, engines * * * roadbed", etc.

Held invalid in two cases involving employees engaged in moving trains in interstate commerce—in Employers' Liability

Held invalid in two cases involving employees engaged in moving trains in interstate commerce—in Employers' Liability Cases (207 U. S. 463) (Jan. 6, 1908) (but upheld as to the District of Columbia in Hyde v. Southern Ry. Co., 31 App. C. C. 466; and as to Territories, in El Paso & Northeastern Ry. Co. v. Gutierrez, 215 U. S. 87).

37. Act of June 16, 1906 (34 Stat. 269, sec. 2).

Provision of Oklahoma Enabling Act restricting relocation of the State capital prior to 1913.

Coyle v. Oklahoma (221 U. S. 559 (May 29, 1911)). (The case specifically upheld the validity of a State law of 1910 relocating the capital, in defiance of the act of Congress of 1906).

38. Act of February 20, 1907 (34 Stat. 899, sec. 3).

Provision in the Immigration Act of 1907 penalizing "whoever * * shall keep, maintain, control, support, or harbor in any house or other place, for the purpose of prostitution * * any alien woman or girl, within 3 years after she shall have entered the United States."

Keller v. United States (213 U. S. 138 (Apr. 5, 1909)).

39. Act of March 1, 1907 (34 Stat. 1028).

Provisions authorizing certain Indians "to institute their suits in the Court of Claims to determine the validity of any acts of Congress passed since * * * 1902, insofar as said acts * * * attempt to increase or extend the restrictions upon alienation * * of allotments of lands of Cherokee citizens * * *", and giving a right of appeal to the Suppress Court preme Court.

muskrat v. United States; Brown & Gritts v. United States (219 U. S. 346 (Jan. 23, 1911)).

40. Act of May 27, 1908 (35 Stat. 313, sec. 4).

Provision making locality taxable "all land [of Indians of the Five Civilized Tribes] from which restrictions have been or shall be removed"—in view of the Atoka Agreement, embodied in the Curtis Act of June 28, 1898, providing tax exemption for allotted lands while title in original allottee, not exceeding 21 years.

Choate v. Trapp (224 U. S. 665 (May 13, 1912)).

41. Act of August 19, 1911 (37 Stat. 28).

A proviso in section 8 of the Federal Corrupt Practices Act

A proviso in section 8 of the Federal Corrupt Practices Act fixing a maximum authorized expenditure by a candidate for Senator "in any campaign for his nomination and election" held invalid as applied to a primary election.

Newberry v. United States (256 U.S. 232 (May 2, 1921)).

42. Act of June 18, 1912 (37 Stat. 136, sec. 8).

Part of section 8 giving the Juvenile Court of the District of Columbia concurrent jurisdiction of desertion cases (which were, by law, misdemeanors punishable by fine or imprisonment in the workhouse at hard labor for 1 year).

United States v. Moreland (258 U.S. 433 (Apr. 17, 1922)).

43. Act of March 4, 1913 (37 Stat. 988, part of par. 64).

Provision of the District of Columbia Public Utility Commission Act authorizing appeal to the United States Supreme Court from decrees of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals modifying valuation decisions of the Utilities Commission. mission.

Keller v. Potomac Electric Power Co. et al (261 U. S. 428

(Apr. 9, 1923)).

44. Act of September 1, 1916 (39 Stat. 675, c. 432, entire).

The original child labor law, providing "that no producer * * * shall ship * * in interstate commerce * * * any article or commodity the product of any mill * * in which within 30 days prior to the removal of such product therefrom children under the age of 14 years have been employed or permitted to work more than 8 hours in any day, or more than 6 days in any week * * *"

in any day, or more than 6 days in any week * * *."

Hammer v. Dagenhart (247 U. S. 251 (June 3, 1918)).

45. Act of September 8, 1916 (39 Stat. 757, sec. 2 (a) in part).

Provision of the income-tax law of 1916 that a "stock dividend shall be considered income, to the amount of its cash value."

Eisner v. Macomber (252 U. S. 189 (Mar. 8, 1920)).

Same, p. 765, sec. 10 as amended—see below, act of October 3, 1917.

Act of August 10, 1917, as amended—see below, act of October 22,

1919.

46. Act of October 3, 1917 (40 Stat. 302, sec. 4, 303, sec. 201 and 333, sec. 1206 (amending 30 Stat. 765, sec. 10); and Act of February 24, 1919 (40 Stat. 1075, sec. 230, and 1088, sec.

301).

Income and excess-profits taxes on income of "every corporation", as applied to income of an oil corporation from leases of land granted by the United States to a State, on admission, for the support of common schools, etc.

Burnet v. Coronado Oil & Gas Co. (285 U. S. 393 (Apr. 11, 1993)).

Burnet v. 1932).

1932).

47. Same; 40 Stat. 316, sec. 600 (f).

The tax "upon all tennis rackets, golf clubs, baseball bats, * * balls of all kinds, including baseballs * * * sold by the manufacturer, producer, or importer as applied to articles sold by a manufacturer to a commission merchant for exportation.

as applied to articles sold by a manufacturer to a commission merchant for exportation.

Spalding & Bros. v. Edwards (262 U. S. 66 (Apr. 23, 1923)).

48. Act of October 6, 1917 (40 Stat. 395, c. 97, in part).

The amendment of sections 24 and 256 of the Judicial Code (prescribing the jurisdiction of district courts) "saving * * * to claimants the rights and remedies under the workmen's compensation law of any State."

Knickerbocker Ice Co. v. Stewart (253 U. S. 149 (May 17, 1920))

1920)).

49. Act of September 19, 1918 (40 Stat. 960, c. 174).

Specifically, that part of the minimum-wage law of the District of Columbia which authorized the Wage Board "to ascertain and declare * * * (a) standards of minimum wages for women in any occupation within the District of Columbia, and what wages are inadequate to supply the necessary cost of

and what wages are inadequate to supply the necessary cost of living to any such women workers to maintain them in good health and to protect their morals * * *."

Adkins et al. v. Children's Hospital and Adkins et al. v. Lyons, 261 U. S. 525 (Apr. 9, 1923).

Nore.—The minimum-wage law applied to minors as well as women, and in section 14 to minors exclusively, but it is generally assumed that the Adkins decision invalidated the act in its entirety.

the Supreme and inferior courts of the United States . the compensation received as such * judge in office when the act was passed) "" as applied to a

Evans v. Gore, 253 U. S. 245 (June 1, 1920).

Miles v. Graham (268 U. S. 501, June 1, 1925) held it invalid
as applied to a judge taking office subsequent to the date of the act.

Same; page 1075, section 230; see above, act of October 3, 1917 (40

Stat. 302, sec. 4).

51. Same; page 1097, section 402 (c).

That part of the estate tax providing that "gross estate" of a decedent should include value of all property "to the extent of any interest therein of which the decedent has at any time made a transfer, or with respect to which he had at any time created a trust, in contemplation of or intended to take effect in possession or enjoyment at or after his death (whether such transfer or trust is made or created before or after the passage of this act), except in case of a bona-fide sale * * *" as applied to a transfer of property made prior to the act and intended to take effect "in possession or enjoyment" at death of grantor, but not in fact testamentary or designed to evade taxation.

Nichols, Collector, v. Cooledge et al., Executors (274 U. S.

531 (May 31, 1927)). Act of February 24, 1919, title XII (40 Stat. 1138, entire title) 52. Act of February 24, 1919, title XII (40 Stat. 1138, entire title).

The Child Labor Tax Act, providing that "every person * * operating * * * (b) any * * * factory (etc.) * * in which children under the age of 14 years have been employed or permitted to work * * shall pay * * in addition to all other taxes imposed by law, an excise tax equivalent to 10 percent of the entire net profits received * * for such year from the sale * * * of the product of such * * factory * * * "

Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co. (child-labor tax case) (259 U.S. 20 (May 15, 1922)).

53. Act of October 22, 1919 (41 Stat. 298, sec. 2), amending act of August 10, 1917 (40 Stat. 277, sec. 4).

Section 4 of the Lever Act, providing in part "that it is hereby made unlawful for any person willfully * * * to make any unjust or unreasonable rate or charge in handling or dealing in or with any necessaries * * *" and fixing a penalty, held invalid to support an indictment for demanding a sum for certain necessaries which the seller knew "was an unjust and unreasonable rate."

United States v. Cohen Grocery Co. (255 U.S. 81 (Feb. 28,

United States v. Cohen Grocery Co. (255 U. S. 81 (Feb. 28,

1921)). 54. Same

54. Same.

That provision of section 4 making it unlawful "to conspire, combine, agree, or arrange with any other person to * * * exact excessive prices for any necessaries" and fixing a penalty, held invalid to support an indictment.

Weeds, Inc. v. United States (255 U. S. 109 (Feb. 28, 1921)).

55. Act of August 24, 1921 (42 Stat. 187, ch. 86 entire).

(a) Section 4 (and interwoven regulations) providing a "tax of 20 cents a bushel on every bushel involved therein, upon each contract of sale of grain for future delivery, except * * * where such contracts are made by or through a member of a board of trade which has been designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as a 'contract market' * * ."

Hill v. Wallace (259 U. S. 44 (May 15, 1922)). This decision covered section 4 and other sections so far as applicable, but the Court stated: "There are sections of the act to which under section 11 (the separability clause) the reasons for our conclusion as to section 4 and the interwoven regulations do not apply * * *."

(b) Section 3, providing "That in addition to the taxes now

clusion as to section 4 and the interwoven regulations do not apply * * *."

(b) Section 3, providing "That in addition to the taxes now imposed by law there is hereby levied a tax amounting to 20 cents per bushel on each bushel involved therein, whether the actual commodity is intended to be delivered or only nominally referred to, upon each * * option for a contract either of purchase or sale of grain * *."

Trusler v. Crooks (269 U. S. 475 (Jan. 11, 1926)).

56. Act of November 23, 1921 (42 Stat. 261, sec. 245, part).

Provision of Revenue Act of 1921 defining net income of life-insurance companies as gross income less (1) interest on tax-

insurance companies as gross income less (1) interest on tax-exempt securities and (2) an amount equal to the excess over exempt securities and (2) an amount equal to the excess over this interest on tax exempts of 4 percent of the mean re-serves—i. e., abating the deduction (4 percent of mean re-serves) allowed from taxable income in general by the amount of interest on tax exempts, and so according no relative ad-vantage to the owners of the tax-exempt securities. National Life Insurance Co. v. United States (277 U. S. 508

(June 4, 1928)).

57. Act of June 10, 1922 (42 Stat. 634).

A second attempt to amend secs. 24 and 256 of the Judicial Code, relating to jurisdiction of district courts, by saying "to claimants for compensation for injuries to or death of persons other than the master or members of the crew of a vessel, their rights and remedies under the workmen's compensation law of any State

Industrial Accident Commission of California v. Rolph et al., and Washington v. Dawson & Co. (264 U. S. 219 (Feb. 25,

58. Act of June 2, 1924 (43 Stat. 313), as amended by act of February 26, 1926 (44 Stat. 86, sec. 324).

The gift-tax provisions of the Revenue Act of 1924, as applied to bona-fide gifts made before passage of the act.

Untermeyer v. Anderson (276 U. S. 440 (Apr. 9, 1928)).

59. Revenue Act of June 2, 1924 (43 Stat. 322, sec. 600 in part).

Excise tax on certain articles "sold or leased by the manufacturer", measured by sale price [specifically, "(2) * * * motorcycles. * * * 5 per centum"] as applied to sale of motorcycle to a municipality for police use.

Indian Motorcycle Co. v. United States (283 U. S. 570 (May 25, 1931))

Indian Motorcycle Co. v. United States (283 U. S. 570 (May 25, 1931)).

60. Act of February 26, 1926 (44 Stat. 70, sec. 302, in part).

(a) Second sentence of section 302 defining, for purposes of the estate tax, the term "made in contemplation of death" as including the value, over \$5,000, of property transferred by a decedent, by trust, etc., without full consideration in money or money's worth, "within 2 years prior to his death but after the enactment of this act", although "not admitted or shown to have been made in contemplation of or intended to take effect in possession or enjoyment at or after his death."

Heiner v. Donnan (285 U. S. 312 (Mar. 21, 1933)).

(b) Same, section 701 in part (44 Stat. 95).

Provision imposing a special excise tax of \$1,000 on liquor dealers in States where such business is illegal.

U. S. v. Constantine (296 U. S. 287 (Dec. 9, 1935)).

61. Act of March 20, 1933 (48 Stat. 11, sec. 17, in part).

Clause in the Economy Act of 1933 providing "* all laws granting or pertaining to yearly renewable term insurance are hereby repealed", held invalid to abrogate an outstanding contract of insurance.

ance are hereby repealed", held invalid to abrogate an outstanding contract of insurance.

Lynch v. United States (292 U. S. 571 (June 4, 1934)).

62. Act of May 12, 1933 (48 Stat. 31).

Agricultural Adjustment Act (not considering the amendatory act), providing for processing taxes on agricultural commodities and benefit payments therefrom to farmers.

U. S. v. Wm. M. Butler, Receiver of Hoosac Mills Corp. (297 U. S. 1 (Jan. 6, 1936)).

63. Act of June 16, 1933 (48 Stat. 200, sec. 9 (c)).

(a) Clause of the oil regulation section of the National Industrial Recovery Act, authorizing the President "to prohibit the transportation in interstate * * * commerce of petroleum

* * produced or withdrawn from storage in excess of the * * produced or withdrawn from storage in excess of the amount permitted * * by any State law * * " and prescribing a penalty for violation of orders issued thereunder.

Panama Refining Co. et al. v. Ryan et al. (293 U. S. 388 (Jan. 7, 1935)).

Amazon Petroleum Corporation et al. v. Ryan et al. (293

U. S. (Jan. 7, 1935)).

(b) Same, title I, except section 9.

Provisions relating to codes of fair competition, authorized to be approved by the President in his discretion "to effectuate

to be approved by the President in his discretion "to effectuate the policy" of this act.

Schechter Poultry Corporation v. United States (295 U. S. 495, May 27, 1935).

64. Act of June 16, 1933 (48 Stat. 307, sec. 13).

Temporary reduction of 15 percent in retired pay of "judges (whose compensation, prior to retirement or resignation, could not, under the Constitution, have been diminished)", as applied to circuit or district judges retired from active service (but still subject to perform judicial duties) under the act of March 1, 1929 (45 Stat. 1422).

Booth v. United States (together with Amidon v. United States) (291 U. S. 339 (Feb. 5, 1934)).

65. Act of April 27, 1934 (48 Stat. 646, sec. 6, amending sec. 5 (1) of Home Owners' Loan Act of 1933).

Provision for conversion of State building and loan associations into Federal associations, upon vote of 51 percent of

Provision for conversion of State building and loan associations into Federal associations, upon vote of 51 percent of the votes cast at a meeting called to consider the action.

Hopkins Federal Savings & Loan Association v. Cleary (296 U. S. 315, Dec. 9, 1935).

66. Act of May 24, 1924 (48 Stat. 798).

Provision for readjustment of municipal indebtedness.

C. L. Ashton, petitioner, v. Cameron County Water Improvement District No. 1 (decided May 25, 1936, No. 859).

67. Act of June 27, 1934 (48 Stat. 1283, ch. 868, entire).

The Railroad Retirement Act, establishing a detailed compulsory-retirement system for employees of carriers subject to

pulsory-retirement system for employees of carriers subject to the Interstate Commerce Act.

the Interstate Commerce Act.

Railroad Retirement Board v. Alton R. R. et al. (May 6, 1935—No. 566, October Term, 1934).

68. Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1289, c. 369).

The Frazier-Lemke Act, adding subsection (s) to § 75 of the Bankruptcy Act, designed to preserve to mortgagors the ownership and enjoyment of their farm property, and providing specifically, in paragraph 7, that the bankrupt left in possession has the option at any time within 5 years of buying at the appraised value—subject meanwhile to no monetary obligation other than payment of reasonable rental. gation other than payment of reasonable rental.

Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford (295 U. S. 555,

(May 27, 1935)).
69. Act of Aug. 24, 1935 (49 Stat. 750).
Agricultural Adjustment Act amendments.
Rickert Rice Mills v. Fontenot (297 U. S. 110 (Jan. 13, 1936)).

70. Act of Aug. 30, 1935 (49 Stat. 991).

Bituminous Coal Conservation Act of 1935—Guffey-Snyder Coal Stabilization Act.

James A. Carter v. Carter Coal Co. (decided May 18, 1936, Docket Nos. 636 and 651).

Note.-Aside from the few instances where an entire act, or a complete title, etc., have been expressly held unconstitutional, the

question of the exact scope of the decisions is frequently one of considerable difficulty. It is not always a matter simply of striking out; often it is to introduce qualifications or limitations. The indications given in the above list are, therefore, suggestive only. Any such list must be used with the utmost care, bearing in mind the established rule, that a decision against the validity of an act of Congress goes no further than is absolutely required by the case at bar.

THE WALSH-HEALEY BILL

Mr. AYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Montana?

There was no objection.

Mr. AYERS. Mr. Speaker, I shall not be a candidate for reelection to this honorable body. In retiring, Mr. Speaker, let me say to you that it has been a distinct honor to have served under you as majority floor leader and as Speaker, and also that it has been a distinct honor to have served under the late Speaker Byrns and the late Speaker Rainey, and let me add and say to the membership in general that I consider it has been the greatest honor of my life to have served as a Member of this body. [Applause.]

At all times during my service it has been my purpose to work with and for the best interests of the administration. and I have at all times used my every effort to promote all beneficial legislation for the producers, the farmers, the laborers, and the toilers of this Nation. I have consistently supported the administration except in such instances when I was convinced that it was not doing the best thing for the producers, the farmers, the laborers, the toilers, and the veterans of the Nation; and in such instances when I had to make a choice, which, indeed were few, I chose to go with and cast my ballot for the producers, the farmers, the laborers, the toilers, and the veterans of the country. [Applause.]

PROOF OF PIE IS IN THE EATING

The Roosevelt administration, of which I have been a part, has worked wonders for the country and for the Government. Mr. Speaker, the proof of the pie is in the eating. The proof of the success of this administration is in the effect upon the well-being of the country. In the impending contest this Democratic administration will have the support of millions who formerly classified themselves as Republicans. This will come about by reason of the effect that this administration has had upon the well-being of the people. [Applause.]

Mr. Speaker, the opposition to the President does not invite a comparison between what he has done and what the opposition desired. Have you noticed that none of his critics invite a comparison between the condition of the farmer and of the laborer and of the toiler under the present administration and under the past administration? Nor do they attempt to compare what he and his administration have done with what they advocate. They simply say, "Let us try it."

Now, Mr. Speaker, let me suggest that, in the words of an eminent critic of the President and of his administration, "Let us take a look at the record."

A WORD FOR THE TOILING MASSES

Mr. Speaker, my time will not permit extended remarks this afternoon, and since this is the last time I will appear before this House of Representatives, please permit me in my last speech to say a few words for the toiling masses.

THE WALSH-HEALEY GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS BILL

The Walsh-Healey bill will come before the House before final adjournment and in behalf of that bill I desire to leave with the House a few facts which I trust will aid my colleagues in the passage of this bill. It is now known as S. 3055, as amended by the House Judiciary Committee.

PRESENT CONDITIONS HAVE BECOME A NATIONAL, SOCIAL, AND ECONOMIC PROBLEM

At the instance of the Judiciary Committee, Hon. Frank Healy, former Chief of the Government Contracts Division, made an investigation since the N. R. A. decision, of 3,507 firms in 46 different States, contracting and doing business volving 1.515.486 employees.

This investigation disclosed that 1,309, or a little over 37 percent, of these contracting firms have increased their hours of labor; of these, 804 firms, or nearly 33 percent, had made hour increases of less than 15 percent, and 505 firms, or a little over 14 percent, had increased their hours from 15 percent to nearly 23 percent.

Of the total firms investigated, 1,480, or a little over 42 percent, have reduced their wages from 10 to a little over 22 percent, and many of them were among those listed above which had also increased hours.

One hundred and forty-seven, or 4.2 percent of the firms, refused to furnish any information.

The investigation also disclosed that the child-labor regulations as had been provided by the N. R. A. were being disregarded in many, many instances. Horrible, Mr. Speaker, horrible

Mr. Speaker, this has become a social and economic problem of national proportions. These evils must be and can be corrected by legislation. [Applause.]

WALSH-HEALEY RILL NOT UNCONSTITUTIONAL

Senator Walsh of Massachusetts and Congressman Healey of the same State, introduced in their respective Houses a bill to correct this situation. It passed the Senate and came to the House, where for a long time it reposed in the Judiciary Committee. During this time cries of unconstitutionality went up from all sides which favored chiseling capitalist and manufacturing interests as against the laborers, but if the Supreme Court ever settles anything, it must have settled that question in its majority decision last Monday when it declared the New York minimum wage law unconstitutional.

In that majority opinion, the Supreme Court said:

This Court has found not repugnant to the due-process clause statutes fixing rates and charges to be exacted by business impressed with a public interest, relating to contracts for the performance of public work, prescribing the character, methods, and time of payment of wages, fixing hours of labor.

With all the harm that minimum-wage-scale decision did and with its gross conflict with other decisions, yet we are entitled to take from it the quotation above, and assume that the Walsh-Healey bill is constitutional, for that is the last word of the Supreme Court on the subject of Government contracts.

EVILS OF PRESENT LAW HAVE DEVELOPED BID BROKERS

Mr. Speaker, in order to impress upon you and the honorable membership of this House the necessity for the passage of the Walsh-Healey bill, let me call to your attention. in addition to the facts already mentioned, the fact that under existing law and the interpretations made thereof, the Government has no say between bidders, and it must award the contract to the lowest so-called responsible bidder. Responsible bidder means a bidder who can furnish a bond to the effect that he can deliver the specified product at the price bid. The responsible bidder does not have to be a manufacturer, he does not have to be a processor, nor does he have to be a producer. Ah, Mr. Speaker, he does not even have to be a dealer in the article upon which he bids nor does he have to show any qualification for the handling, distributing, or knowledge of the character of the article upon which he bids. He may be the height of a speculating floater; he does not have to be even a taxpayer nor a citizen.

This way of letting Government contracts has developed a system of bid broker which has become ridiculous and detrimental to bona-fide industry, trade, and laboring and producing people of this Nation. The bid broker may be a man without a factory, without a plant, without a reduction or development works, without a mine, and, above all, he may be a man without any experience, even as a dealer, in the subject of the contract upon which he bids. He may be a man only with an office, and that office may be under his hat or in his pocket, and he may not be an employer of

with the Government, and covering 354 industries, and in- | a single solitary person. He is just a bid broker for profit at the expense of somebody else, and, indeed, ofttimes he is.

BID BROKER AND BONDING COMPANIES HAVE DEVELOPED A RACKET

This program which has been followed has developed into a racket, and without the Walsh-Healey bill it will gradually grow worse and worse. The bonding companies go along because of the profit they will make and of their consciousness of their influence on either the dealer or the producer to take over the bid broker's contract.

Mr. Speaker, let me give you some simple and concrete examples in this instance. The Government is probably the biggest coal buyer in America and, under the present law, it must let coal contracts to the lowest responsible bidder. In many instances the bidder has nothing but a bonding company back of him, and that only in order that he qualify as to responsibility. He is not a mine operator and he is not a dealer, but he can qualify if he says in his bid that he can comply with the specifications and then put up a bond. He gets the bid, the bonding company puts up the bond, and then he and the bonding company can go to the dealer or the producer and put the deal over at a profit to those concerned, and, of course, at a loss to the fellow who goes underground and mines the coal.

ANOTHER GOVERNMENT SAMPLE OF BID BROKERS

The Civilian Conservation Corps contracts annually for about \$300,000,000 worth of equipment and clothing for the C. C. C. camps. They buy thousands of crosscut saws, wheelbarrows, shovels, and like tools annually. These purchases are made from the lowest responsible bidder. The requirement is that the bidder say that he can live up to the specifications and furnish a bond to deliver the goods. He may not, and in many instances does not, know a crosscut saw from a circular saw; nor does he know a wheelbarrow from a bicycle; nor does he know a shovel from a pitchfork; yet he gets the bid if he gives a bond that he can live up to the specifications as prescribed.

THIS RACKET GOES TO BIDS FOR MATERIAL ON PEDERAL BUILDINGS

Mr. Speaker, it has come to a point where a man can go in and bid on furnishing equipment for a post-office building or on furnishing the plumbing and heating equipment for a Federal building, and all he has to do is to show that he is the lowest bidder according to the specifications and his qualifications are based upon that and his ability to furnish a bond. He may not know anything in the world about post-office equipment. He may not know a lock box from the general-delivery window; and as to plumbing, he may not know a wash bowl from a urinal-yet if he is the lowest bidder under the specifications and can furnish a bond, he gets the contract. As support for this statement, I invite you, Mr. Speaker, and the Members of this House to read the decision in Thirteenth Comptroller Generals' Opinions, page 121.

THIS IS HOW THE WORKING MAN IS "TOOK" UNDER THE PRESENT SYSTEM

Here are some of the things that have resulted from this system: The bid brokers qualify by having the lowest bid and furnishing their bonds under the contract for C. C. C. equipment, or for C. C. C. clothing, or for post-office equipment, or for plumbing material, or for Government coal for buildings, Government institutions, or on the Indian reservations, and the like, and then they go to the manufacturer. the dealer, or the mine operator and make a deal whereby the article has to be manufactured or mined and delivered for less than actual cost.

Of course the bidder and the manufacturer or the mine operator, as the case may be, are making their profit, but it is made by actually bearing down the cost of labor. I do not say this of all manufacturers and of all mine operators, but there are some; and there are plenty of them who are disposed to brush aside any and all implied obligations and who do not hesitate to employ any and all tactics in the way of wage reduction and the establishment of maximum hours

and the use of child labor and the failure to provide proper | on last Monday held they are powerless to do. I invite the and adequate places to work in order that they and the bid broker may make theirs at the expense of the toiler.

GOVERNMENT ACTUALLY HINDERING ITS OWN PROGRAM

This also places this type of chiseling employer, manufacturer, mine operator, or operator of sweatshops in an advantageous position over the legitimate and fair manufacturers. mine operators, and employers when it comes to bidding on Government contracts. In other words, the Government, under the present system, is actually a party to a destruction of the very social and economic problem it is now trying to correct.

REAL PURPOSE OF THE WALSH-HEALEY BILL

The Walsh-Healey bill is designed to require persons and firms taking contracts with the Government to conform to decent and reasonable labor conditions. This bill fixes a maximum day of 8 hours and a maximum week of 40 hours and provides for time and a half for overtime. That is getting down to regular union scales which all legitimate contractors will undoubtedly agree to.

This bill also provides for prevailing wages in the locality where the work is done. I had hoped that the bill would provide for prevailing union-wage scales, and I hope that it may yet be amended to that effect. That is the only weakness in the bill.

The bill prohibits the use of convict labor and child labor, and it provides that all work shall be done in plants, factories, and mines in accordance with the local State safety and sanitary inspection laws.

Above all, the bill provides that the contractor must be a manufacturer, producer, or regular dealer in the material, supplies, articles, or equipment which is the subject of the

The bill provides for the use of American goods in all contracts and expressly makes the buy-American act a provision precedent to the letting of a contract.

Farm, dairy, and nursery products processed for first sale by the original producer are exempted from the bill.

None of the provisions of the act can be evaded by subcontractors, and real penalties are provided for any and all violations of the act. The administration of the act is under the Department of Labor, with adequate authority for speedy decisions.

The bill establishes labor benefits which have long been admittedly necessary.

WALSH-HEALEY BILL IS CONSTITUTIONAL

As to the constitutionality of this bill, I respectfully call your attention, Mr. Speaker, not only to the quotation I have made from the Supreme Court decision of last Monday, but I also refer to One Hundred and Twenty-ninth United States Reports, page 207, and Two Hundred and Sixth United States Reports, page 246, where the Supreme Court has upheld the validity of the theory of legislation affecting labor hours, wages, and working conditions, in which the Government is a party to the subject matter of the contracts effected. [Applause.]

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD on the Walsh-Healey bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Montana?

There was no objection.

AMEND THE CONSTITUTION TO RESTORE TO THE STATES THE POWER TO ENACT LEGISLATION DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL IN THE NEW YORK MINIMUM-WAGE CASE

Mr. PETTENGILL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD on the subject of the minimum-wage decision of the Supreme Court.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

Mr. PETTENGILL. Mr. Speaker, I attach a statement given to the press the day Speaker Byrns died. The matter is of very great importance. The Constitution should be amended so that the States may do what the Supreme Court is amended so that the States may do what the Supreme Court is amended to the date of the date of the states to act either singly or by concerted action through interstate compacts to outlaw chiseling employers, who would cut prices by destroying the human values at the base of the economic pyramid.

earnest consideration of my colleagues to this subject.

The New York minimum-wage law for women and minors was held unconstitutional by reason of the fourteenth amendment. Fundamentally, therefore, all that is needed to be done is to repeal or restrict so much of the fourteenth amendment as stands in the way of State legislation of this

In other words, for the purpose under consideration, we ought to go back to the Constitution as it existed before the fourteenth amendment was passed.

In the same way that we passed and later repealed the eighteenth amendment as giving too much power to the Nation and restricting too much the power of the States, so in this instance, we have found that the fourteenth amendment has too much restricted the power of the States. The remedy, therefore, is essentially the same regardless of the language used to accomplish the result.

Our fathers believed in "an indestructible union of sovereign States." A return to that concept, and a correction of departures from it places the problem in its true light.

At the moment I do not discuss the economic advisability of minimum-wage legislation. There are many who do not favor it, especially for male adults. They believe that the minimum prescribed by statute tends to become the maximum in actual practice. There is much more that can be said for and against such legislation with reference to men and women adults, as well as minors.

But surely there must be somewhere a sovereign power capable of attempting to solve the problem, and through trial and error, experiment and experience, work toward its sound and just solution. That is all I am arguing for now-to place legal tools in the hands of State legislatures so that they may deal with the problem.

My statement follows:

The decision of the Supreme Court holding invalid a statute of the State of New York dealing with minimum wages for women of the State of New York dealing with minimum wages for women and minors presents an issue of profound importance. Other decisions have held that Congress cannot regulate conditions of labor within the States. It has now held that the States are also practically powerless to deal with the same subject.

Several courses are open. One is to cuss the Court. I shall not join in any such futility. I respect the Court and the Constitution. The Court did its duty as it saw it and we should do our duty as we see it.

Another course is to smend the Constitution by giving Congress.

Another course is to amend the Constitution by giving Congress the power to legislate on intrastate matters such as those now involved. That is open to two objections. One is that Congress is not as well fitted to deal with these matters over a vast country, with countless diverse conditions, as are the legislatures of the several States.

The second objection to any attempt to consolidate such powers at Washington is the practical one of the difficulty of getting the States to grant Congress that power. That is demonstrated by actual experience with the pending child-labor amendment. This amendment was submitted for ratification 12 years ago this month and is still not ratified.

If, with respect to child labor, as to which there is no large vested interest and with a strong humanitarian appeal, it is impossible to get ratification by the States, can it be expected that the States, north and south, east and west, industrial and agricultural, will readily confer like power to deal with their own labor, both adults, men and women, as well as minors?

The third and I think the practical and sensible course, is

The third, and I think the practical and sensible course, is to provide, in effect, that State legislation such as that condemned by the Court shall not be held invalid as contrary to the Constitution. In other words, override the decision of the majority and hold valid the views of Justices Hughes, Brandeis, Stope and Cardozo Stone, and Cardozo.

It must be apparent that many States would ratify such an amendment were the power to legislate in local matters left in their own hands, to be exercised as they see fit, which would oppose an amendment which would take all such powers from the States and transfer them to Washington. The amendment I propose would be entirely consistent with the American tradipropose would be entirely consistent with the American tradi-tion of States' rights and would avoid many highly controversial questions. To grant to the Federal Government new and far-reaching powers is one thing, but to restore to the States powers which the minority of the Court holds they have always possessed ought not to do violence to the convictions or prejudices of any large number of our citizens.

Action is imperative. The question very possibly involves the survival of private enterprise and the American system of government. As Justice Stone said, "A wage insufficient to support the workers * * * may affect profoundly the entire economic

structure of society."

The question is so important that both parties should take it out of politics by adopting planks at the conventions to be held this month pledging their members, both in Congress and in the State legislatures, to the immediate submission and ratification of such an amendment. I hope a large number of my colleagues will join me in memorializing the resolutions committees of both

parties to adopt such a plank.

It is possible, of course, that State legislation can still be drafted that will meet the objections of the majority of the Supreme Court. That effort can go forward. It is apt, however, to be so circumscribed as not to meet the practical necessities of the situation.

I repeat that there is nothing here that is disrespectful of the Court. The Constitution itself provides for its own amendment. In fact, two amendments, the eleventh and sixteenth, have been passed for the very purpose of meeting, in a constitutional way, adverse decisions of the Supreme Court.

I shall not attempt at the moment to physical the product.

I shall not attempt at the moment to phrase the needed amendment. Essentially, however, it is to restore to the States the power to deal with this subject which they possessed prior to the passage of the fourteenth amendment.

MOTHER'S DAY

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to revise and extend my own remarks in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks, I insert the following address delivered by me before the convention of the Polish Women's Alliance at Washington, D. C., May 10, 1936:

Mother's Day, Mme. Chairman and delegates of the Polish Woman's Alliance, is but 1 day of the 365 upon which she who bore us is glorified at gatherings such as this.

For me, and I hope for most of us, Mother's Day is every one of the days of the year, not one day prescribed by custom. Every time we are blessed with the wholesome thought of mother we should dedicate not only the day but the precious moment which absorbs our thought.

We are all convinced that motherhood is the crowning clory of

absorbs our thought.

We are all convinced that motherhood is the crowning glory of womankind; more than that we are certain that Nature chose woman for the prime place in this world of ours.

Motherhood sanctifies the everyday woman, elevates her to a plane which no mortal man in all his earthly accomplishment can ever hope to attain. In childhood it seemed to us we understood instinctively the greatness and significance of motherhood. The kindness of our mothers, the gentleness and self-sacrifice of which we were the beneficiaries, undoubtedly made a fundamental and lasting impression upon us, though appreciation in its fullest sense can only be realized long after maturity, when through are and can only be realized long after maturity, when, through age and experience, we have learned the three dimensions—depth, width, and length—which the term motherhood embraces.

In children there is no difference; no distinctions are made by parents as between boys and girls. But the equiplane of the human offspring is soon unbalanced by Nature in favor of the daughter if and when she marries and bears a child. She becomes the

if and when she marries and bears a child. She becomes the sacred vessel which God Himself designed and charged to propagate and perpetuate the race. However sweet, innocent, and lovely she may have been in her virginity, never could she approach such radiance and glory as are hers when God's mandate in wedlock is carried out—when she brings forth her first-born.

However lowly her station in life may be, motherhood raises woman to her highest estate. In queen or pauper motherhood is glorious, promising, an endless romance which may be realized when this mite of humanity at her breast matures, perhaps, into a great scientist whose discoveries may revolutionize and simplify the lives of the peoples of the world. In her arms she may be fondling an inventor whose contributions may dwarf the accomplishments of an Edison or of a Moscicki. As a mother she may be privileged to guide and actually direct her son toward supereminence in the of an Edison or of a Moscicki. As a mother she may be privileged to guide and actually direct her son toward supereminence in the field of poetry and literature. The fullabys she sings to her baby may be the inspiration which is needed to attain the pinnacle of fame in the operatic world. The voice of a Sembrich or a Caruso in all their acknowledged glory may be unworthy of even a comparison with that of her baby. The boundlessness of a mother's love, her constancy and idealism may be of the same force and effect upon her own babe as that which gave stimulus and fervency of faith to the countless saints throughout the ages.

The inexplicable something about motherhood inspires her husband and father, rekindles the love in his breast, forges a bond of loyalty and of devotion which perpetuates the family ties and promotes genuine happiness. And as in life, so also after the angel of death shall have escorted the wife and the mother to the throne of the Creator she shall be remembered, loved, and revered with greater ardor and constancy than her sister who departs from this earthly sphere as she came, without the blessed contribution of a

The pages of history record the names of great men—Copernius and Chopin, Sobieski, Napoleon, Foch, Pershing, Paderewski, Conrad, Shakespeare, and Sienkiewicz. The might and fame of these, however, is dwarfed by the greatness of their mothers.

Washington, Lincoln, Wilson, and Roosevelt have made for themselves an imperishable name; without the pain and sacrifice of motherhood the world would never have known the greatness of these men. In fact, the history of our own beloved country may not have been written as we know it today. The destinies of all of us may have been different were it not for the mother of some great man of our country.

The world would, indeed, be poorer and a great people might still be subject to the tyranny and oppression of powerful foes were

The world would, indeed, be poorer and a great people might still be subject to the tyranny and oppression of powerful foes were it not for the birth of Woodrow Wilson, who declared for a free and independent Poland, with access to the sea. Many years before this same ideal which prompted the great wartime President to so declare himself was bred into the very being of the patriot, soldier, and statesman, Marshal Joseph Pilsudski. Who would deny the influence of the noble mother upon the benevolent dictator, the father of regenerated Poland? The stories she told him, the prayers she taught him, the songs he heard her sing impressed little Joseph with the lost glories of his beloved Poland and inspired him with the determination to restore them to his fatherland.

A contemporary and patriotic coworker of this great historic figure, Stanislaw Patek, whom many of us were privileged to know as the Ambassador of his Government and as a genial friend and host, is to me living proof of the greatness of motherhood as reflected in her son.

reflected in her son.

A barrister of great ability, he gave himself wholeheartedly for the defense of the patriotic heroes of Poland who faced banishment and prison in far-off Siberia. He himself endured unjust imprisonment while defending others in the unfriendly and corrupt political courts of an alien oppressor.

Pasteur and Lister, Murphy and Mayo; where would they have been without their guiding mothers? It is my fervent belief that this world of ours depends upon motherhood, not only for the perpetuation of the race but also upon her guiding influence for the happiness which honesty and good character only can promote among us. What is most important in this world for woman? What is most important for all of us? That woman should become a great leader among her own sex or a profound, recognized scien-What is most important for all of us? That woman should become a great leader among her own sex or a profound, recognized scientist? Should she produce as God intended or should she follow an inherent personal urge to supersede some man in the post or posttion which he attained, or should she abandon her family and seek a career, chasing the political will-o'-the-wisp in the hope that she may assume her place among men as a diplomat, a Member of Congress, or even as President?

I say to you that to subjugate motherhood to any other purpose I say to you that to subjugate motherhood to any other purpose or calling is to cheat herself, and more, to cheat the world. Women who cease bearing prematurely because other impulses dominate their time may be depriving the world of a Caruso or of a Newman. Some people stress the influence of women in politics, their wholesome contribution in this field so important in our daily life. I do not deny some material unlift in this regard, but I am prone to be critical as to the ultimate value of woman in politics. Under like circumstances woman is just as weak and deficient as is her brother.

brother.

Feminists contend that a woman loses nothing and gains a great deal by her devotion to politics. I wish I could agree with that viewpoint, although in some individual cases I will concede a point. As a whole, however, womanhood obsessed with politics and affairs foreign to her calling and constitution sacrifices far more than she

God gave me a little girl about 4 weeks ago; prayerfully I awaited ther arrival. What are my plans for her future? What do I look upon her as being? Just a little mother, a mother of the future. The mother who bore her and I, her father, plan to bring her up and prepare her for the moment when her destiny shall have been fuffilled—when she, too, shall be a mother.

To mention politics in the same breath with motherhood seems

To mention politics in the same breath with motherhood seems almost a sacrilege. It becomes necessary, however, to do so in order to illustrate a forceful point of my discourse.

Many people believe that a woman must vote to protect herself and her family. God grant the day will never come when woman and her brood will be dependent upon her own vote for protection. The question of the right of a woman to vote is not debatable; it is a sacred, inherent right altogether too long denied. The exercise of the franchise is a duty as well as a privilege which woman must willingly and faithfully discharge.

I hold to the theory that a mother, besides bearing a son or daughter, is the dominating influence throughout the life of her child: and if she trains her daughter for motherhood and her son

child; and if she trains her daughter for motherhood and her child; and if she trains her daughter for motherhood and her son for the responsibilities of a citizen and a substantial father, politics will at once be purged of demagogues and scoundrels. The son of today, the man of tomorrow, if properly trained by his mother, will vote to protect his mother and sister, his sweetheart and later his wife and daughter. Neglect your children for politics or for any other equally insignificant reason and you jeopardize your own and the security of the Nation. A woman cannot protect herself against man unless she trains him to be gentle, fair, and honest. Next to motherhood, woman's most important duty is to properly formulate the plastic character of man and to direct it toward humanity's advancement.

Which in your estimation would be the greater role which might be played at will by the world-famous Amelia Earhart; that of a

long-distance, nonstop fiyer, a record breaker in a man-made plane, with calibrators, two-way radio communication, blind-flying instruments, gliding blindly behind a powerful motor upon an invisible radio beam; all of these improvements created by the man child of some noble woman, or would she be greater in the role of a mother to a great designer, builder, and flyer of the future? To my notion this woman flyer accomplished nothing, proved nothing, advanced no new theory or contributed any new development to aeronautics. All she did was to fly solo, high, long, and far. This any man with a little training and the instruments she had to guide her could do, but no man could give to the world what a woman of her pioneering courage could—a son or a daughter with promise of future accomplishment and greatness.

woman of her pioneering courage could—a son or a daughter with promise of future accomplishment and greatness.

Mme. Sklodowska Curie, said to be the world's greatest woman, was greater as a mother than as a scientist. It was the combination of the two which made her the peer of all famous women.

If I were asked to name the world's greatest living woman, I would spontaneously declare for Ernestine Schumann-Heink. Would I be swayed in this decision by her musical fame or extraordinary artistic ability which charmed millions of people throughout the world? Not by these qualifications alone; others have been blessed with unusual voices, charm, and magnetism. However, no other great artist could fill her place in my heart, because she is the mother of one daughter and five sons.

God hath blessed her abundantly for her faithfulness and periodic journeys into the valley of the shadows, for each time she returned

God hath blessed her abundantly for her faithfulness and periodic journeys into the valley of the shadows, for each time she returned she was revitalized, strengthened, extending her range higher and lower upon the musical scale to more effectively charm idolizing millions of her admirers. Think of it, this genial soul, this glorious mother at the age of 75 continues an operatic career as though she were a sprightly maid in her teens. Compare this artist with another great contemporary, Ignace John Paderewski, whom we all love. On the basis of ability, magnetism, fame, attraction of the multitude, and they are equals until the word motherhood is linked to Schumann-Heink, then her contemporary is placed in the to Schumann-Heink, then her contemporary is placed in the background.

Why is motherhood such an inspiration and joy to a husband? with is motherhood such an inspiration and joy to a husband. Is it because it brings with it immediate compensations for the uncertainties of the long months prior to the birth of the heir? Not at all; the secret lies in the fact that when the wife becomes a mother she at the same time elevates her husband to the sublime state of proud fatherhood and the promise of a bright future which

is linked to every born infant.

If I live a century, I shall never forget what a sight I beheld when I entered the room of the maternity hospital where my oldest son was born There in her most glorious mood, radiant and happy, fresh as a rose, was the mother of my boy. Only a few hours before she was but a wife, loyal and steadfast, a real helpmate, to be sure; together we fought a relentless, unwilling world, but she was, nevertheless, until her elevation to motherhood, only a wife. My love for her has not diminished or faded as the years wore on. Quite the contrary, it has grown in undiminished fervor because of the babies she bore me.

In conclusion let me say as a tribute to my own beloved mother, God rest her soul, that whatever I amount to, whatever I hope to be, what good there is in me, I credit to her whom God gave me as a mother.

THE SUPREME COURT EXTENDING ITS POWER AT THE EXPENSE OF THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS

Mr. CROSS of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to quote certain excerpts from decisions of the Supreme Court.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. CROSS of Texas. Mr. Speaker, under the second paragraph of section 2 of article III of the Constitution there is delegated to Congress in unequivocal language the power to deny to the inferior Federal courts the right to pass on the constitutionality of acts of Congress and also the right of the Supreme Court to pass upon the constitutionality of an act of Congress on any case coming before the Supreme Court on appeal, so that only a sovereign State could attack the constitutionality of an act of Congress by an original suit in the Supreme Court.

On January 28 I addressed this body advocating H. R. 9478, a bill introduced by me to effectuate this purpose. Since then that measure, and those of us who endorse it, have been attacked by two of our most brilliant and eloquent colleagues, one of whom [Mr. Hollister] hails from the capitol of the famous Buckeye State, the other, my distinguished friend [Mr. Cox], from the peanut-clad hills of ancient Georgia. Their zeal and fury was such that Job must have had them in mind when he declared:

Their necks shall be clothed with thunder. • • • The glory of their nostrils terrible. • • • They shall paw the air and rejoice in their strength. • • • They shall swallow up their

enemies with fierceness and rage. * * The quiver shall rattle against them, the glittering spear and shield.

And so, the prophetic brain of Job, looking down the centuries visioned and depicted in this graphic language what was to happen when these two gallant plumed knights were to indict as heretics, convict, draw and quarter in your very presence a number of your meekest and most timid colleagues. You heard with what frightfulness their quivers rattled as they thundered through the neck. You saw the terrible glory of their nostrils as they pawed the air and rejoiced in their strength. And then you also saw with what flerceness and rage they swallowed us up. As they made their devastating charges upon us, you saw upon their left arm that mystic shield woven in the silence of the cobwebbed cloisters of our immaculate Supreme Court. Woven under the spell of such incantations as "commerce among the States", and being charged with "Liberty League dynamics" smashes into unconstitutional fragments every law of Congress tending to establish economic and social justice. And then as they were cruelly demolishing us, you saw with what marvelous skill they wielded that famous glittering spear, welded in the occult fires of yonder dread hallowed oracle by the nine black-robed fates as they chanted those magical words, "due process of law", and at the touch of which the rights of States vanish as the mist before the sun.

Mr. Speaker, having somewhat recovered from the shock of such "sound and fury", I wish to again in a modest way present some additional reasons why this bill should be enacted into law.

Mr. Speaker, the Federal Government is one of delegated powers, consisting of three coordinating departments, the legislative, executive, and judicial. Of these the Constitution recognizes the legislative as being the most important, delegating to it the power and duty to enact laws, exercising their judgment to do so within the scope of the Constitution, under which the country is to function. Constitution recognizes the executive as the next in importance, and delegates to it certain rights and prerogatives; while it delegates to the judiciary the duty to construe and pass upon the rights of litigants as they exist under the laws as passed. But there is nothing to be found in the Constitution that any more authorizes the judicial branch of the Government to nullify the acts of Congress than there is for the legislative branch of the Government to nullify the decisions of the courts in rendering judgment between litigants.

A number of the delegates who served in the Constitutional Convention had been educated in England, and all of them were versed in English jurisprudence, being as they were, prior to the Revolution, loyal subjects of their mother country. They were familiar with English history and knew how its people had suffered as the result of the Tory courts nullifying the acts of Parliament prior to the Bill of Rights of 1688. They knew since that time no court had dared to nullify an act of Parliament. They never dreamed that the court they were creating would ever dare presume to go back a hundred years and arrogate unto themselves the right to nullify the acts of Congress and thus play the role of the Tory courts that existed in England prior to 1688, and cite as their authority for so doing the very clause, "due process of law", which was transplanted out of the English Bill of Rights into our Bill of Rights, and which was placed in the English Bill of Rights to put an end to the high-handed judicial tyranny of Tory courts in nullifying acts of Parliament. It was to prevent them from depriving citizens of their life, liberty, or property except in accordance with rules and regulations prescribed by Parliament.

It is patent had it been intended by the framers of the Constitution that the Court was to have the authority to nullify acts of Congress, the power would not only have been specifically delegated to it but they would never have specifically delegated to Congress the power to deny to the Court the jurisdiction to so do, as is done in unequivocal language of section 2 of article III.

There is nothing that so completely dispells the general impression that the Supreme Court in its decisions are not actuated by their own economic and political views as an examination of the cases in which they have assumed the authority to declare acts of Congress unconstitutional. At the time the now famous case of Marbury against Madison was decided in 1803, it is interesting to note that the judges who composed that Court were disciples of the Federalist Party which was the exponent of the wealthy class of that day and time and who feared that the Representatives of the people might enact laws detrimental to their interests. At the time this decision was handed down the Federalist Party had been defeated, and the Democrats under Jefferson had come into control of the country.

In this case President Adams had appointed Mr. Marbury a justice of the peace of the District of Columbia just before leaving the White House, and Marbury applied for a writ of habeas corpus to the Supreme Court to compel Mr. Madison, Mr. Jefferson's Secretary of State, to deliver to him his commission. Before the case was heard, the law authorizing the Supreme Court to issue a writ in such a case had been repealed, so that at the time the Supreme Court heard the case it had become a moot question, and the Court under every rule of practice should have dismissed the application, but the Court seized upon this opportunity in an ex-parte proceeding to get a precedent to hold an act of Congress unconstitutional for the purpose, no doubt, of using it as a precedent to hold any act of Congress unconstitutional which might be passed that in their opinion would be detrimental to the moneyed interests.

In the next case in which an act of Congress was held unconstitutional by the Supreme Court, in order to do so again acted contrary to the fixed rule of long-settled practice. This was in the notorious Dred Scott case decided in 1857. When this case reached the Court it held that the lower court had no jurisdiction. Having so determined, under every rule of practice the case should have been dismissed. But by a divided Court it seized upon the opportunity to hold the Missouri Compromise Act of 1820 unconstitutional, and this in the face of the Constitution as it then existed, and in violation of the rights of the free States, and held in effect that all the States were compelled to permit the institution of slavery. In order to accomplish this purpose they resorted to the fifth amendment of the Constitution known as the due-process clause. This clause was placed in the English Bill of Rights in 1688 for the purpose of stopping the life-appointed Tory courts from depriving citizens of their life, liberty, and property contrary to the procedure provided for them to follow under the laws enacted by Parliament. Up until this case the Court had never intimated that this clause authorized them to declare an act of Congress unconstitutional.

Although the Supreme Court, under Marshall as Chief Justice, in 1803 first assumed the authority to hold an act of Congress unconstitutional, the decisions of the Court during his reign acceded to Congress far broader powers in legislation than is acceded in its more modern decisions. As time passed the Court, grasping for more and more power, has restricted more and more the field in which Congress can legislate unless that legislation happens to be in accord with their economic and political views. In the case of McCulloch v. The State of Maryland (4 Wheat.), decided in 1819, in which an act of Congress, chartering and setting up what was known as the Bank of the United States, was attacked as being unconstitutional because there was no delegation of power in the Constitution for Congress to set up such a bank with the Government taking one-fourth of the stock and this so-called Bank of the United States was to be operated as a private institution for profit. Chief Justice Marshall, in delivering the opinion, had this to say:

This could not be done by confining the choice of means to such narrow limits as not to leave it in the power of Congress to adopt any which might be appropriate, and which were conducive to the end. This provision is made in a constitution intended to endure for ages to come, and consequently, to be adapted to the various crises of human affairs. To have prescribed the

means by which government should, in all future time, execute its powers, would have been to change, entirely, the character of the instrument, and give it the properties of a legal code. It would have been an unwise attempt to provide, by immutable rules, for exigencies which, if foreseen at all, must have been rules, for exigencies which, if foreseen at all, must have been seen dimly, and which can be best provided for as they occur. To have declared that the best means shall not be used, but those alone without which the power given would be nugatory, would have been to deprive the legislature of the capacity to avail itself of experience, to exercise its reason, and to accommodate its legislation to circumstances. If we apply this principle of construction to any of the powers of the Government, we shall find it so pernicious in its operation that we shall be compelled to discard it * * *

The result of the most careful and attentive consideration bestowed upon this clause is, that if it does not enlarge, it cannot be construed to restrain the powers of Congress, or to impair the right of the legislature to exercise its best judgment in the selection of measures to carry into execution the constitutional powers of government. If no other motive for its insertion can be suggested, a sufficient one is found in the desire to remove all doubts respecting the right to legislate on that vast mass of incidental powers which must be involved in the Constitution, if that instrument be not a splendid bauble • •.

We think the sound construction of the Constitution must allow

We think the sound construction of the Constitution must allow to the National Legislature that discretion, with respect to the means by which the powers it confers are to be carried into execution, which will enable that body to perform the high duties assigned to it, in the manner most beneficial to the people. * * * Where the law is not prohibited—that is, not specifically denied to the Court by the Constitution—and is really calculated to effect any of the objects entrusted to the Government, to undertake here to inquire into the degree of its necessity, would be to pass the line which circumscribes the judicial department and to tread on legislative ground. This Court disclaims all pretentions to such a power. * * a power.

In the Legislature of the Union alone, are all represented. Legislature of the Union alone, therefore, can be trusted by the people with the power of controlling measures which concern all, in the confidence that it will not be abused.

It has been said by some that the Court in this case conceded broad powers to Congress because the legislation was in accord with their economic and political views, and earnestly desired by the major financiers of that day.

In keeping with the broad powers conceded to Congress in this case of McCulloch against The State of Maryland, decided in 1819, is the case of the Veazy Bank against Fenno (75 U.S.), decided in 1869, where an act of Congress levying a tax of 10 percent upon the circulating notes of State banks and which, of course, was levied for the patent purpose of driving them out of circulation, Chief Justice Chase in handing down the opinion of the Court sustaining the constitutionality of the act, used this language:

The power to tax may be exercised oppressively upon persons, but the responsibility of the legislature is not to the court, but to the people by whom its members are elected.

In the so-called Child Labor case (259 U.S.) decided in 1921, in which an act of Congress was attacked as being unconstitutional because it levied a tax of 10 percent on the net profits of employers who use children as employees, and which act, of course, affected interstate commerce in affecting the price of products produced, Chief Justice Taft held this act unconstitutional although it was stated in the act that it was "An act to provide revenue, and for other purposes." The act of Congress upheld in the Veazy Bank against Fenno case was attacked on the same ground on which this act was attacked. In the case of Veazy against Fenno the financiers were anxious that the law be held constitutional that the circulation of notes of State banks be suppressed, while in the other the employers of children were anxious that the law be held unconstitutional. In this case Justice Clark dissented.

The case of Pollock v. Farmers Loan & Trust Co. (157 U. S. 429, and 158, 601), decided in 1895, grew out of the income-tax law passed in 1894 in compliance with the demand in the Democratic platform upon which Cleveland had been elected. The power of Congress under the Constitution to enact such legislation as the Constitution then stood had been settled for nearly a hundred years by a decision of the Supreme Court in the case of Hilton v. United States (3 Dall. 171), decided in 1796. The same objections to this so-called carriage tax were raised and urged as were raised and urged in the case of Pollock against Farmers Loan & Trust Co. Congress passed an income-tax law in 1861, which continued | in force until 1873. This act was attacked as being unconstitutional in the case of the Pacific Insurance Co. v. Soule (74 U. S. 446), decided in 1868. The Court, with the Hilton case as a precedent, held that act constitutional. All the questions were raised and argued in this case and in the Hilton case that were raised and argued in the case of Pollock

against the Farmers Loan & Trust Co.

Organized wealth attacked the act of 1894 with great bitterness as being socialistic and communistic and an assault on property. They had many of the ablest lawyers in the country employed to get the Court to reverse the position that it had laid down and maintained for a hundred years. When the case of Pollock against The Farmers Loan & Trust Co. was first argued Justice Jackson was sick and the Court divided 4 to 4, which would have meant that the decision of the lower court that had held the act unconstitutional would have been sustained. However, when Justice Jackson returned to the bench the Court granted a rehearing, and while Justice Jackson voted to sustain the act as being constitutional, Justice Shiras, who had voted to sustain the act as being constitutional in the first decision, changed his opinion and in the second decision voted with the four who had voted against its constitutionality in the first instance, so that the case was held unconstitutional by a 4-to-5 decision. Excitement ran high and Justice Shiras was charged with being corrupt and having been bribed. Justice Harlan, in his dissenting opinion, among other things, had this to say:

I cannot resist the conviction that its (a majority of the Court) opinion and decree in this case virtually annuls its previous decisions in regard to the powers of Congress on the subject of taxation, and is therefore fraught with danger to the Court, to each and every citizen, and to the Republic. * * If the permanency of its conclusions is to depend upon the personal opinions of those who from time to time may make up its membership, it will inevitably become a theater of political strife, and its action will be without coherence or consistency. without coherence or consistency. • • • Is the judiciary to supervise the action of the legislative branch

of the Government upon questions of public policy? • • •
The safety and permanency of our institutions demand that each department of Government shall keep within its legitimate

Break down this belief in judicial continuity, and let it be felt that on great constitutional questions this Court is to depart from the settled conclusions of its predecessors, and to determine them all according to the mere opinion of those who temporarily fill its bench, and our Constitution will, in my judgment, be bereft of value and become a most dangerous instrument to the rights and

liberities of the people. * * * Such a result is one to be deeply deplored. It cannot be regarded otherwise than as a disaster to the country. The decree now passed dislocates—principally, for reasons of an economic nature—a sovereign power expressly granted to the general Government and long recognized and fully established by judicial decisions and legislative action.

This decision resulted in the sixteenth amendment to the Constitution which is as follows: "The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration." And which was finally ratified by the necessary three-fourths of all the States in 1913. But organized wealth had succeeded, as a result of this decision, in escaping their just burdens of government for a period of 19 years.

In the case of Evans v. Gore (253 U.S.), decided in 1920, the majority of the Court handed down a decision that should forever cause them to blush with shame. In this case a majority of the Court held that Congress could not, under this amendment to the Constitution, pass any law requiring the Court to pay an income tax. This in spite of the fact that this amendment had been recently adopted and ratified by the necessary three-fourths of the 48 States with a population of more than a hundred million people living at the time of the decision. In this respect unlike the original Constitution that had been adopted some 150 years ago by three-fourths of the Thirteen Original States, with a population of less than 4,000,000 of people and long since dead. A majority of the Court in this case held the act of Congress applying an income tax to Federal judges was unconstitutional; in other words, that the Constitution was unconstitutional or that they were above the Constitution.

This, too, in the face of the fact that under the income-tax law on the statute books from 1861 to 1873 the Court, with all citizens, had been called upon and did pay the income tax. The majority opinion of the Court was delivered by Justice Van Devanter, who is still on the bench. In this case Justices Holmes and Brandeis dissented, and in their dissenting opinion used this language:

The decision below (the lower court had held that the act was constitutional) seems to me to have been right for two distinct reasons: That this tax would have been valid under the original Constitution, and that if not so, it was made lawful by the sixteenth amendment * * . To require a man to pay the taxes that all other men have to pay cannot possibly be made an instrument to attack his independence as a judge. I see nothing in the purpose of this clause of the Constitution to indicate that the judges were to be a privileged class, free from bearing their share of the cost of the institutions upon which their well-being if not their life depends.

And yet when we dare to criticize such decisions we are denounced by the Liberty League and its satellites as communists and public enemies.

And then we all know the story of the Legal Tender cases, how the creditor class brought every influence and pressure to bear to get the Legal Tender Act passed by Congress in February 1862 declared unconstitutional in order to collect from debtors gold and silver dollars in lieu of paper dollars which they had loaned, and in that way make them pay in real values twice what they had borrowed. In the case of Hepburn v. Griswold (8 Wallace), decided in 1869, they succeeded in getting the Legal Tender Act declared unconstitutional by a divided court of 4 to 3. And in order to get rid of this pernicious decision, President Grant rushed a bill through Congress increasing the Court to nine judges and placing on the bench two lawyers who it is understood agreed beforehand that they would decide with the three dissenting judges in the Hepburn case, in the next case to come before the Court, which they did, and the act was then held constitutional, the decision being a 5-to-4 decision.

In 1921 the Congress passed an act, the purpose of which was to regulate the buying and selling of future contracts on the grain exchanges. The constitutionality of this act was attacked in the case of the Chicago Board of Trade v. Olson (U. S. 262) and decided in 1922. It was contended that these contracts, purchases, and sales being made by parties in the State of Illinois, were purely intrastate transactions and beyond the power of Congress to regulate. Chief Justice Taft, in delivering the opinion of the Court sustaining the constitutionality of the act, used this

The question of price dominates trade between the States. Sales of an article which affect the country-wide price of the article directly affect the country-wide commerce in it.

In 1933 the Congress enacted what is known as the National Industrial Recovery Act, setting out in the act the deplorable conditions of the country, and that as a result of the emergency this legislation was enacted to regulate interstate commerce by regulating the wages to be paid by employers to labor in certain industries. This act was attacked as being unconstitutional, and in the case of the Schechter Corporation v. United States (295 U.S.) the Court in that decision holding the act unconstitutional said:

In determining how far the Federal Government may go in controlling intrastate transactions upon the ground that they "affect" interstate commerce, there is a necessary and well-established distinction between direct and indirect effects. The precise line can be drawn only as individual cases arise, but the distinction is clear in principle.

Extraordinary conditions do not create or enlarge constitutional

There can be no more question but what the amount of wages paid to the employees of a factory or other industries as much affects interstate commerce as does the price paid for grain on the floor of a grain exchange. The Court in the Schechter case makes the statement that there "is a well-established distinction between direct and indirect effects." The precise line can be drawn only as individual cases arise. This leaves Congress in the dark and places the Court in a position to declare or not any act passed by Congress as unconstitutional, as they may take a notion to say that it has a "direct" or "indirect" effect upon interstate commerce. Besides, in holding that "extraordinary conditions do not create or enlarge constitutional powers", they take a reverse position from that of Chief Justice Marshall in the case of McCulloch against The State of Maryland, and a number of other decisions.

In the recent case of the Great Northern Railway Co., petitioner, v. J. J. Weeks, State Tax Commissioner, decided on the 3d of February 1936, the majority of the Supreme Court for the first time assumed to act as the guardian of major corporations and to order the taxing authority of a State to lower the value at which the State authority had assessed it for taxes. Not that it was assessed higher than property in general or that it is not assessed according to the standard upon which other such property is assessed. This decision strikes at the very heart of the sovereignty of the States. This was a 6-to-3 decision, and Justices Stone, Brandeis, and Cardozo dissented from the majority decision. Justice Stone, who wrote the minority opinion, ably points out the effect of this decision, and, among other things, says:

The feature of the decision which is especially a matter of concern is that for the first time this Court is setting aside a tax as a violation of the fourteenth amendment on the ground that the assessment on which it is computed is too high, without any showing that the assessment is discriminatory or that petitione is in any way bearing an undue share of the tax burden imposed on all property owners in the State.

Assessment for taxation is a quasi-judicial act, and the tax assessment has the quality of a judgment (citing a number of authorities). Even if the valuation of the Board be erroneous, the errors of a State judicial officer, however gross, whether of law or of fact, are not violations of the Constitution and are not open to review in the Federal courts merely because they are errors. If overvaluation, even though gross or intentional, were, without more, held to infringe the fourteenth amendment, every taxpayer would be at liberty to ask the Federal courts to review a State tax assessment upon the bare allegation that it is grossly excessive, and without showing that it does more than subject

The him to taxation on the same basis as every other taxpayer. * * Taxation is but a method of raising revenue to defray the expenses of government, and of distributing the burden among those who must bear it. The taxpayer cannot complain of the tax burden which he has to bear, who shows no inequality in the application of it. * *

The burden of a property tax like the present is distributed by applying a rate of tax to the assessed valuation of all taxable Variation of either, without discrimination, affects the property. Variation of either, without discrimination, affects the amount of the tax but not the equality of its distribution. The activities and expenses of government, over which the State has plenary control, do not cease in time of depression. They may increase. The State may meet those expenses by raising the valuation of taxable property, or by raising tax rates, or both, without infringing any constitutional immunity. Here the State, so far as appears, is raising the needed revenue and distributing the burden as in previous years, by continuing old valuations. How-ever high those valuations may be, if not discriminatory, they impose no unequal share of the tax burden on petitioner and cannot be said to be arbitrary or oppressive in the constitutional

The States need not fear the destruction of their sovereign rights by Congress, the Members of which are citizens of the various States and who must at short intervals account to and be elected by the voters of the various States, but this decision clearly shows that they are being deprived of their sovereign rights by the nine men who constitute the Supreme Court.

A majority of the Supreme Court in the case of the St. Joseph Stockyards Co., appellant, against the United States and the Secretary of Agriculture, decided on the 27th of April 1936, in this decision holds:

When the legislature acts directly, its action is subject to judicial scrutiny and determination in order to prevent the transgression of these limits of power. The legislation cannot preclude that scrutiny or determination by any declaration of legislative finding.

This action resulted from the Secretary of Agriculture acting under the law passed by Congress authorizing him to ascertain the facts and to regulate the rates to be charged in stockyards. This was also a decision by a divided court,

the dissenting Justices being Justices Stone, Cardoza, and Brandeis. Justice Brandeis delivered the dissenting opinion, and in doing so used this language:

This Court has consistently declared in cases arising under the Interstate Commerce Act that to "consider the weight of the evidence is beyond our province" [citing authorities], and that courts have no concern with the correctness of the Commission's reasoning, with the soundness of its conclusions of fact, or with

the alleged inconsistency of the findings with those made in other proceedings [citing authorities]. * * *

The history of this case illustrates that regulation cannot be effective unless the legality of the rates prescribed may, if contested, be determined with reasonable promptness. Six and onetested, be determined with reasonable promptness. Six and one-half years have elapsed since the Secretary of Agriculture con-cluded that the rates of this utility were so high as to justify inquiry into their reasonableness, and nearly 2 years since entry of his order prescribing the reduced rates. In the judgment of the lower court and of this Court the attack upon the order reducing them was unwarranted. But the rates of 1929 have remained in force; and, despite the supersedeas and injunction bonds, there will be practically no redress for the wrong done to the business community throughout the long years in which to the business community throughout the long years in which excessive rates have been exacted. * * *

Chicago telephone rates: On September 13, 1921, the Illinois Commerce Commission, the regulating body, issued an order that the company show cause why its rates should not be reduced. The hearing began November 17, 1921, and closed July 31, 1923. On August 16, 1923, the commission entered an order reducing the rates, to become effective October 1, 1923. Before that date enforcement was enjoined by the Federal court on a bill which charged that the rates prescribed were confiscatory. On April 30, 1934, this court sustained the validity of the rate order entered August 16, 1923. Thus the rates became effective 12½ years after the commencement of the investigation and nearly 11 years after they were prescribed. Chicago telephone rates: On September 13, 1921, the Illinois they were prescribed.

The case of James W. Carter, petitioner, v. Carter Coal Co., George L. Carter as vice president and director of said company, et al., decided in May 1936, is a case in which the constitutionality of an act recently passed by Congress was attacked, which act was commonly known as the Guffey Coal Act, and set out the fact that the industrial life of the country was largely dependent upon this product and its uninterrupted production and transportation in interstate commerce. That both the production and transportation were frequently interrupted by labor troubles, such as strikes. The purpose of this act was to prevent the uninterrupted production and transportation of this product by getting rid of cutthroat competition through fixing minimum prices and minimum wages. Six of the nine judges held this act to be unconstitutional, while three in their dissenting opinion held it to be constitutional.

The majority of the Court took the position that Congress had no constitutional authority to regulate interstate commerce by regulating the price of the product, as the price of a product had no direct relation to interstate commerce. It is difficult to reconcile this view of the majority of the Court with the decision in the Chicago Board of Trade v. Olson (U. S. 262) decided in 1922. Chief Justice Taft in sustaintaining an act of Congress having to do with transactions on the Chicago Board of Trade affecting the price of grain

The question of price dominates trade between the States. Sales of an article which affect the country-wide price of the article directly affect the country-wide commerce in it.

Justice Cardozo handed down the dissenting opinion as to the constitutionality of the Guffey Coal Act, in which dissenting opinion Justices Brandeis and Stone concurred.

In 1933 Congress passed a law known as the Agricultural Adjustment Act setting out the distressed bankrupt condition of agriculture and the fact that it was a primary industry of the Nation upon which the welfare of the country depended. That among other things the act was to regulate interstate commerce in agricultural products. In the case of the United States of America, petitioner, against William M. Butler, and others, receivers of Hoosac Mills Corporation. decided on the 6th day of January 1936, this act was attacked on the ground that it was unconstitutional, and a majority of the Court so held. In holding this act unconstitutional the majority holds agriculture is not for the general welfare

by the State. The majority decision uses this language:

Powers not granted are prohibited. None to regulate agricultural production is given, and therefore legislation by Congress for that purpose is forbidden.

The majority opinion in this case neither harmonizes with that of the Chicago Board of Trade against Olson or with McCulloch against the State of Maryland. In the case of the Chicago Board of Trade against Olson, Chief Justice Taft held that where contracts entered into with the State of Illinois on the Chicago Grain Exchange affected the price of grain and consequently interstate commerce, Congress had the power to act. In the case of McCulloch against Maryland the Court held although there was no power delegated to Congress to set up a chartered bank and to take onefourth of the capital stock, the bank to be run and operated by individuals for private profit, that Congress nevertheless had the power so to do and sustained the act as being constitutional. Justice Stone, in writing the dissenting opinion, concurred in by Justices Brandeis and Cardozo, used this language:

I think the judgment should be reversed.

A lower court having held it unconstitutional.

The present stress of widely held and strongly expressed differences of opinion of the wisdom of the Agricultural Adjustment Act makes it important, in the interest of clear thinking and sound result, to emphasize at the outset certain propositions which should have controlling influence in determining the validity of the act. They are:

1. The power of courts to declare a statute unconstitutional is subject to two guiding principles of decision which ought never to be absent from judicial consciousness. One is that courts are concerned only with the power to enact statutes, not with their wisdom. The other is that while unconstitutional exercise of power by the executive and legislative branches of the Government is subject to judicial restraint, the only check upon our own exercise of power is our own sense of self-restraint. For the removal of unwise laws from the statute books appeal lies not to the courts but to the ballot and to the processes of democratic government. * * * ernment.

It must be remembered that legislators are the ultimate guardians of the liberties and welfare of the people in quite as great a degree as the courts. • • • degree as the courts.

Courts are not the only agency of government that must be assumed to have capacity to govern. Congress and the courts both unhappily may falter or be mistaken in the performance of their constitutional duty.

And he might have added, the people, through the ballot box, can make Congress correct its mistakes, but are helpless to make the Supreme Court correct its mistakes.

The Legislature of the State of New York enacted a law fixing the minimum wage to be paid to women and children when employed in certain businesses and industries. Its purpose was to prevent sweatshop employers working women and children and paying them less than a fair and reasonable wage for the services rendered and less than sufficient to meet the minimum cost of living. This act was attacked in the case of Frederick L. Morehead, petitioner, against People ex rel. Joseph Tipaldo, the decision being handed down on the 1st of June 1936. This act was attacked on the ground that it was in violation of the "due process of law clause" of the fourteenth amendment of the Constitution. The opinion in this case was a 4-to-5 decision, Justice Butler delivering the opinion for the majority, in which he says:

This Court's opinion shows (pp. 545, 546): The right to make contracts about one's affairs is a part of the liberty protected by the due-process clause. Within this liberty are provisions of contracts between employer and employee fixing the wages to be paid. In making contracts of employment, generally speaking, the parties have equal right to obtain from each other the best terms they can by private bargaining.

And then he concludes his opinion sustaining the view of the lower court which held the act unconstitutional with these words:

It is plain that the judgment in the case now before us must

And yet four of the nine judges were convinced that it

of the country and that it is a local matter subject to control | four, Chief Justice Hughes, who, in delivering his dissenting opinion, said:

> I am unable to concur in the opinion in this case. of the difference between the statutes involved, I cannot agree that the case should be regarded as controlled by Adkins v. Children's Hospital (261 U.S. 525). And I can find nothing in the Federal Constitution which denies to the State the power to protect women from being exploited by overreaching employers through the refusal of a fair wage as defined in the New York statute and ascertained in a reasonable manner by competent authority.

> statute and ascertained in a reasonable manner authority.
>
> When there are conditions which specially touch the health and well-being of women, the State may exert its power in a reasonable manner for their protection, whether or not a similar regulation is or could be applied to men. The distinctive nature and function of women—their particular relation to the social welfare—has put them in a separate class.
>
> She becomes an object of public interest and care in order to preserve the strength and vigor of the race.

In the statute before us, no unreasonableness appears. The end is legitimate and the means appropriate. I think that the act should be upheld.

While Justices Stone, Cardozo, and Brandeis agreed with Chief Justice Hughes, Justice Stone in handing down the dissenting opinion, joined in by Justices Cardozo and Brandeis, says:

There is grim irony in speaking of the freedom of contract of those who, because of their economic necessities, give their service for less than is needful to keep body and soul together. • • • It is difficult to imagine any ground, other than our own personal economic predilections, for saying that the contract of employment is any the less an appropriate subject of legislation than are scores of others, in dealing with which this Court has held that legislatures may curtail individual freedom in the public interest.

interest. * * *

So far as the requirement of due process is concerned, and in the absence of other constitutional restriction, a State is free to adopt whatever economic policy may reasonably be deemed to promote public welfare, and to enforce that policy by legislation adapted to its purpose. The courts are without authority either to declare such policy, or, when it is declared by the legislature, to override it. If the laws passed are seen to have a reasonable relation to a proper legislative purpose, and are neither arbitrary nor discriminatory, the requirements of due process are satisfied, and judicial determination to that effect renders a court functus and judicial determination to that effect renders a court functus

That declaration and decision should control the present case That deciaration and decision should control the present case. They are irreconcilable with the decision and most that was said in the Adkins case. They have left the Court free of its restriction as a precedent, and free to declare that the choice of the particular form of regulation by which grave economic maladjustments are to be remedied is for legislatures and not the courts. * *

It is not for the courts to resolve doubts whether the remedy by wage regulation is as efficacious as many believe, or is better than some other, or is better even than the blind operation of uncontrolled economic forces. The legislature must be free to choose unless government is to be rendered impotent. The fourteenth amendment has no more embedded in the Constitution our preference for some particular set of economic beliefs than it has adopted, in the name of liberty, the system of theology which we may happen to approve. The judgment should be reversed.

This decision clearly shows the cleavage of the economic and political views that exist among the members of the Supreme Court; the majority being of that school that feel that the employer should have the right to take advantage of the necessities of his employees and work them at starvation wages. While the minority, in keeping with their political and economic views, feel that the employees are entitled to be protected from such exploitation by the arm of the State.

Thus this Court's sacrosanct halo, the product of inspired propaganda, is completely dissipated by these patriotic dissenting opinions. They reveal to us how through the years this Court by assuming the role of the Tory courts of England prior to 1688 has shackled the legislative and executive branches of the Government until they are impotent to carry out the will of the people and protect them in their liberties and from the depredations of monopolistic greed.

Truly the alias for this country's Nemesis is the Supreme Court. From its cloisters came a decision that for 4 long years dyed the battlefield with blood of the Nation's best and bravest. It has been a shield for monopoly and oppression. was plain that the act was constitutional, and among the It has created a "no man's land" and commissioned sweatshop bosses to enslave helpless women and children. But I vision the coming of a better day when this Congress aroused to a sense of its patriotic duty will by exerting its authority under the second paragraph of section 2 of article III of the Constitution, dethrone this judicial oligarchy and issue another proclamation of emancipation that will wipe out this "no man's land" of sweatshop slavery.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. COSTELLO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my colleague, the gentleman from California [Mr. Hoeppel] may extend his remarks in the Record and include therein certain letters, affidavits, extracts, and newspaper items referring to his appearance before the House Committee on Military Affairs considering West Point appointments. He has obtained an estimate from the Public Printer.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

Mr. MAPES. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, can the gentleman give us some idea how extensive these letters and affidavits are?

Mr. COSTELLO. They will probably take two or three pages of the Record, although I do not know the exact amount of space required.

Mr. MAPES. Not more than two or three pages?

Mr. COSTELLO. I could not say the exact number of pages.

Mr. SNYDER of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I object.

TRANSMOUNTAIN WATER DIVERSIONS HAVE BEEN LONG RECOGNIZED AS PART OF A WELL-ESTABLISHED NATIONAL POLICY

Mr. LEWIS of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, in view of the ruling of the Chair in regard to the incorporation of short extracts in the Record, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record, and to include therein certain short excerpts from Supreme Court decisions, statutes, and other official documents.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

Mr. LEWIS of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the Record, I include the following:

"Transmountain water diversions" have been the subject of some recent discussions. In the Congress and elsewhere questions have been raised, from time to time of late, conconcerning projects in the far West to divert water from one watershed to another. It has been inaccurately implied that transmountain water diversions are something novel and of doubtful economic soundness. It has even been erroneously suggested that a definite national policy concerning such projects has not yet been established by the Federal Government and that before any such projects can properly be approved an entire national policy should first be determined.

Any such questions, implications, or suggestions reflect a lack of familiarity with long-established local customs, laws, and the decisions of courts concerning waters in the far Western States and a lack of familiarity with the fact that the United States Government—always scrupulously conforming to such local customs, laws, and court decisions—has throughout many years, by repeated affirmative acts and declarations, frequently approved and aided projects to divert water from one watershed to another.

Obviously each project involving a transmountain diversion of water must be appraised, as should every other project, upon its own individual merits based upon careful surveys to determine its engineering and economic feasibility. Furthermore, plans for every such project should, wherever necessary, provide for the construction of such compensating or replacement reservoirs as may be requisite to protect fully and adequately all existing water rights on the stream from which the water is to be diverted.

However, in the far West, such projects have long since become familiar and commonplace. Their economic soundness is established beyond question. They are the foundations for the prosperity of vast, highly productive, and long-

cultivated agricultural regions. They are the bases upon which rest the very existence of large cities. Even on the Atlantic seaboard such projects have their counterparts, chiefly for supplying water to towns and cities; but, as recent doubts and queries as to national policy have all concerned projects in the far West, this discussion will be confined to the region beyond the Missouri River.

In fact transmountain water diversions—that is, diverting water from one watershed to another—have long since been recognized, approved, and frequently acted upon by all three branches of the Federal Government—legislative, judicial, and executive—as part of a well-established national policy.

Inasmuch as it will presently be shown in detail hereinbelow that both the Congress and the Supreme Court of the United States have recognized, time after time, the validity and supremacy of local customs, laws, and the decisions of courts relating to the control, appropriation, use, and distribution of water for irrigation and other beneficial purposes, it is pertinent to inquire at the outset as to just what are such local customs, laws, and the decisions of courts.

APPROPRIATION DOCTRINE CONCERNING WATER HAS SUPERSEDED RIPARIAN DOCTRINE IN STATES OF FAR WEST

Seventy-five or eighty years ago, when agriculture was first undertaken by American settlers in regions now included in Colorado and neighboring States where irrigation is practiced, it was realized that the common-law doctrine of riparian rights in regard to the waters of natural streams was not applicable to conditions in those regions. Consequently the common-law doctrine of riparian rights, or riparian doctrine, that a riparian landowner was entitled to have waters of a natural stream continue to flow as they had flowed from time immemorial, subject to the reasonable uses of other riparian landowners, was rejected; and there was formulated and adopted the doctrine of prior appropriation, or appropriation doctrine, under which he who first diverts the water of a natural stream and applies such water to beneficial use, regardless of the locus of such application to the beneficial use, acquires a prior right or priority to the extent of such use against all subsequent appropriators up and down the stream.

This doctrine, sometimes called the Colorado doctrine, enunciated by the Supreme Court of Colorado Territory, was embodied in the constitution when Colorado was admitted to the Union. Sections 5 and 6 of article XVI of the Colorado Constitution—adopted in 1876 and never amended as to these sections—are as follows:

SEC. 5. Water, public property: The water of every natural stream, not heretofore appropriated, within the State of Colorado, is hereby declared to be the property of the public, and the same is dedicated to the use of the people of the State, subject to appropriation as hereinafter provided.

SEC. 6. Diverting unappropriated water—Priority: The right to direct the unappropriated water of any natural stream to be reficiel.

SEC. 6. Diverting unappropriated water—Priority: The right to divert the unappropriated waters of any natural stream to beneficial uses shall never be denied. Priority of appropriation shall give the better right as between those using the water for the same purpose; but when the waters of any natural stream are not sufficient for the service of all those desiring the use of the same, those using the water for domestic purposes shall have the preference over those claiming for any other purpose, and those using the water for agricultural purposes shall have preference over those using the same for manufacturing purposes.

This appropriation doctrine has since been fully elaborated and defined by the courts of Colorado and other neighboring States. (Yunker v. Nichols (1872) (1 Colo. 551); Schilling et al. v. Rominger (1878) (4 Colo. 100, 103); and a host of other later cases in Colorado and other far western States.)

In order to preclude misapprehension, it should be pointed out that the so-called California doctrine—which differs from the Colorado doctrine in some respects not pertinent to this discussion, but which may be said in general to be a hybrid of the appropriation doctrine and the riparian doctrine—prevails in the States of California, Oregon, Washington, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Texas, Kansas, Nebraska, and Oklahoma. The Colorado doctrine, as hereinabove outlined, is the settled law prevailing in the States of Colorado, Arizona, Idaho, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming.

As a corollary to this Colorado doctrine, and very early in the development thereof, the prevailing local custom and practice that a prior appropriator had the right to divert water from one stream across an intervening divide to lands tributary to a different stream, or even tributary to a different river system, was recognized, acknowledged, and approved and enunciated as a principle of law by the courts of Colorado and other States and Territories where the socalled Colorado doctrine prevails.

Furthermore, this principle of law approving transmountain water diversions has been repeatedly reaffirmed by decisions of the courts, for example: Coffin et al. v. Left Hand Ditch Co. (1882) (6 Colo. 443, 449-450); Thomas v. Guiraud (1883) (6 Colo. 530, 532); Hammond v. Rose (1888) (11 Colo. 524; 19 Pac. 466); Oppenlander v. Left Hand Ditch Co. (1892) (18 Colo. 142, 144; 31 Pac. 854); Wyoming v. Colorado (1921) (259 U.S. 419, 466-467). See also Connecticut v. Massachusetts (1931) (282 U. S. 660, 671-672); New Jersey v. New York (1931) (283 U.S. 336, 342, 343).

It is, and long since has been, the settled law of Colorado and of neighboring States that the water of a natural stream may be diverted and conveyed across an intervening divide for the irrigation of lands in the valley of another stream (Oppenlander v. Left Hand Ditch Co., supra).

CONGRESS AND UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAVE REPEATEDLY RECOG-NIZED AND APPROVED THE VALIDITY OF LOCAL CUSTOMS, LAWS, AND COURT DECISIONS IN RESPECT TO APPROPRIATION, CONTROL, USE, AND DISTRIBUTION OF WATER, INCLUDING TRANSMOUNTAIN WATER DIVERSIONS

Also very early in the period of initial settlement of the region now comprised within the so-called irrigated-land States of the far West the Congress recognized and approved, as respects the public domain, so far as the United States are concerned, the validity of the local customs, laws, and the decisions of courts in respect to appropriation of water and to its control, use, and distribution. This recognition and approval has been repeatedly reaffirmed by subsequent acts of Congress and opinions of the United States Supreme Court: Act of Congress of July 26, 1866 (c. 262, sec. 9; 14 Stat. L. 253; Rev. Stat. 2339; U. S. Code, title 43, sec. 661); act of Congress, June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. L. 388, sec. 8; U. S. Code, title 43, sec. 383). Gutierres v. Albuquerque Land Co. (1902) (188 U. S. 545, 553); Kansas v. Colorado (1906) (206 U. S. 46, 92-93, 94-95); Wyoming v. Colorado (1921) (259 U. S. 419, 465.)

By way of example: The Reclamation Act-act of June 17, 1902, chapter 1093, section 8; 32 Statutes at Large 390; United States Code, title 43, section 383—expressly provides:

Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as affecting or in-Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as affecting or intended to affect or to in any way interfere with the laws of any State or Territory relating to the control, appropriation, use, or distribution of water used in irrigation, or any vested right acquired thereunder, and the Secretary of the Interior, in carrying out the provisions of this chapter, shall proceed in conformity with such laws, and nothing herein shall in any way affect any right of any State or of the Federal Government or of any landowner, appropriator, or user of water in, to, or from any interstate stream, or the waters thereof.

TRANSMOUNTAIN WATER DIVERSIONS CONTEMPLATED BY COLORADO RIVER COMPACT AND BOULDER CANYON PROJECT ACT

Furthermore, the principle and policy of transmountain water diversions was expressly recognized in the Colorado River Compact, signed at Santa Fe, N. Mex., November 24, 1922, and approved by the Congress in the so-called Boulder Canyon Project Act (act of Dec. 21, 1928, c. 42, sec. 13; 45 Stat. L. 1064; U. S. C., 1933 Supp., title 43, sec. 617 (1)).

In article II of the Colorado River Compact, subparagraph (b) is as follows:

The term "Colorado River Basin" means all of the drainage area of the Colorado River system and all other territory within the United States of America to which the waters of the Colorado River system shall be beneficially applied.

And subparagraph (f):

The term "Upper Basin" means those parts of the States of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming within and from which waters naturally drain into the Colorado River system above Lee Ferry, and also all parts of said States located without | which contemplates diverting water from the Colorado River

TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS OF WATER RECOGNIZED AND APPROVED BY the drainage area of the Colorado River system which are now or shall hereafter be beneficially served by waters diverted from the system above Lee Ferry.

Subparagraph (g) of article II of the compact is as follows:

(g) The term "Lower Basin" means those parts of the States of Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah within and from which waters naturally drain into the Colorado River system below Lee Ferry, and also all parts of said States located without the drainage area of the Colorado River system which are now or shall hereafter be beneficially served by waters diverted from the system below Lee Ferry.

By article IV, paragraph (c), of the compact it is provided:

(c) The provisions of this article shall not apply to or interfere with the regulation and control by any State within its boundaries of the appropriation, use, and distribution of water.

As required by the Constitution of the United States (art. I, sec. 10), the consent of the Congress was given in advance to the negotiation of the Colorado River compact (act of Aug. 19, 1921, 42 Stat. L. 171); and by the Boulder Canyon Project Act (act of Dec. 21, 1928, c. 42, sec. 13; 45 Stat. L. 1064; U. S. C., 1933 Supp., title 43, sec. 617 (1)) the Colorado River compact was expressly approved.

Furthermore, by section 18 of said Boulder Canyon Project Act (c. 42, 45 Stat. L. 1065; U. S. C., 1933 Supp., ch. 43, sec. 617q) it is provided:

Nothing herein shall be construed as interfering with such rights as the States now have either to the waters within their borders or to adopt such policies and enact such laws as they may deem necessary with respect to the appropriation, control, and use of waters within their borders, except as modified by the Colorado River compact or other interstate agreement.

It thus appears that by repeated acts of Congress a definite national policy, from which there has never been the slightest deviation, was long since determined upon, to wit, that the Federal Government shall proceed in conformity with the local customs and laws and the decisions of courts of the respective States in relation to the control, appropriation, use, and distribution of water used in irrigation; and, further and more specifically, the Congress has directed that the Secretary of the Interior, in carrying out the provisions of the Reclamation Act, shall proceed in conformity with such laws. As we have already seen, transmountain water diversions are valid under the customs, laws, and the decisions of courts in Colorado and neighboring States.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAVE CONSISTENTLY CONFORMED TO POLICY OF FAR WESTERN STATES WHICH APPROVE TRANSMOUNTAIN WATER DIVERSIONS

Furthermore, the Department of the Interior, of course, has at all times consistently and without question complied with this reiterated mandate of the Congress; and, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation, has uniformly and universally conformed to the policy of the respective States which permit and approve of transmountain water diversions.

The Bureau of Reclamation has completed, is now constructing, and is preparing to construct, several projects involving transmountain water diversions, and has made and is now making, and is preparing to make, surveys for other such projects.

TRANSMOUNTAIN WATER DIVERSION PROJECTS CONSTRUCTED BY RECLAMATION BUREAU

For example, the so-called Strawberry Valley project in Utah, completed many years ago by the Bureau of Reclamation, diverts water from the Strawberry River, a tributary of the Colorado River, by a tunnel to a branch of the Spanish Fork River which flows into Great Salt Lake, which is in a closed basin completely out of the Colorado River drainage area. In addition, the Sanpete project, also in Utah, now under construction by the Bureau of Reclamation, involves the diversion of water from the Colorado River to a branch of the Sevier River, completely out of the Colorado River drainage area. Also, the full development, not yet under construction, of the Provo River project in Utah, involves boring of the Duchesne Tunnel, over 5 miles in length, to divert water from the Colorado River to the Provo River.

Among the investigations for transmountain diversions now in progress is the so-called San Juan-Rio Chama project in Colorado to the Rio Grande River in New Mexico. This is being carried on as part of the participation by the Bureau of Reclamation in a study of the Rio Grande Basin for the National Resources Committee and is being financed by a combination of Bureau of Reclamation allotments plus a contribution by the National Resources Committee.

ALL-AMERICAN CANAL

Pursuant to the authorization of the Boulder Canyon Project Act, the Bureau of Reclamation is now constructing the so-called All-American Canal, which will have a capacity to divert a maximum of approximately 10,000 cubic feet per second, being the equivalent, if operated every day throughout the year, of about 7,200,000 acre-feet of water annually, from the Colorado River, 80 miles to Imperial Valley and 130 miles to Coachella Valley and adjacent areas in California, to be distributed by 1,700 miles of canals and laterals for the irrigation of about 1,000,000 acres. Strictly speaking this is not a transmountain diversion in that no ranges of mountains are to be tunneled and the lands to be irrigated, are, in the largest geographical sense, within the Colorado River drainage area. Nevertheless this project involves cutting for 10 miles through a ridge of sand hills, the deepest cut being over 100 feet. The lands to be irrigated drain not into the Colorado River but into the socalled Salton Sea, the surface of which is 244 feet below sea level. None of the water diverted by this project can by any possibility ever find its way back by return flow to the Colorado River. Moreover, part of the plans for the All-American Canal project involve a not unlikely extension of the canal to the Pacific coast to supplement the municipal water supply of San Diego to the extent of 155 cubic feet of water per second.

COLORADO RIVER AQUEDUCT OF METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

The largest, most expensive, and most ambitious transmountain water diversion now being undertaken in the United States is the much-needed project to supply the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. Under this project, water of the Colorado River will be taken, by approximately 242 miles of main aqueduct and 150 miles of feeder lines, from Parker Dam, which is located about 155 miles below Boulder Dam, to Los Angeles and 12 other cities and towns in southern California. The water will be pumped by successive stages to a total elevation of 1,617 feet and will pass through several ranges of hills and mountains by means of 29 tunnels, totalling 92 miles in length, the longest of which tunnels is 18 miles. When ultimately developed to its full capacity, this project will deliver to Los Angeles and vicinity 1,050,000 acre-feet per year, which is equivalent to about 1,500 cubic feet per second or about 1,000,000,000 gallons of water per day, completely out of and far from the Colorado River drainage area, to the Pacific coast of southern California.

SUBSIDIZED BY IMMENSE LOANS AND GRANTS FROM R. F. C. AND P. W. A.

The actual work of construction of the Colorado River aqueduct for the benefit of the metropolitan water district of southern California is being carried on by the district and not by the Bureau of Reclamation. However, of the bond issue of \$220,000,000 authorized by the district the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has agreed to purchase \$91,000,000, of which \$69,628,000 has been taken over up to the present time.

Furthermore the Public Works Administration, on or about November 2, 1934, made an allotment of \$2,000,000—of which \$1,500,000 was a purchase of bonds and \$500,000 a grant—to the metropolitan water district for the purpose of subsidizing this project so necessary for the towns and cities of southern California.

Therefore both the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the Public Works Administration have given their approval to transmountain water diversions by subsidizing with immense loans and grants this greatest of all such projects.

SUMMARY

To summarize: The Congress, by repeated enactments, has declared that the policy of the National Government is to

comply with the laws of the respective States as to the control, appropriation, use, and distribution of water used for irrigation and other beneficial purposes; and has directed that the Secretary of the Interior shall proceed in conformity with such laws. The principle and policy of transmountain water diversions from one watershed to another was recognized and approved by the constitution, laws, and decisions of courts of Colorado and of other neighboring States; it has been repeatedly recognized by decisions of the United States Supreme Court; it is expressly recognized and approved in the Colorado River compact, which in turn was expressly consented to and approved and the policy of the respective States again expressly and carefully safeguarded in the Boulder Canyon Project Act of the Congress.

Pursuant to the mandate of the Congress to comply with the laws of the respective States, the Department of the Interior, through its Bureau of Reclamation, has constructed several transmountain water diversions and has made and is now making surveys for others. Finally, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the Public Works Administration, by large loans and grants of money from the Federal Treasury, have subsidized the largest transmountain diversion ever undertaken in the United States.

CONCLUSION

It is therefore apparent that by affirmative action of each of the three branches of the Federal Government—legislative, judicial, and executive—an entire national policy in regard to diverting water from one watershed to another has long since been fixed and determined as approving such transmountain water diversions. Such national policy is no longer open to question by the Federal Government.

DEDICATION OF THE BUFFALO (N. Y.) FEDERAL BUILDING

Mr. MEAD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record, and include therein a speech delivered by the Fourth Assistant Postmaster General at Buffalo, N. Y., on the occasion of the laying of the cornerstone of the post-office building.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. MEAD. Mr. Speaker, under permission granted me this afternoon to extend my remarks in the Record, I am inserting the address of Hon. Smith W. Purdum, Fourth Assistant Postmaster General, at the cornerstone exercises of the new Federal building in Buffalo, N. Y., on May 29, 1936.

The address is as follows:

It is an honor and a real pleasure to be here today to join with the citizens of Buffalo in the laying of the cornerstone of your new Federal building. The Postmaster General has asked me to convey to you his personal greetings and his sincere regret that other engagements made prevented him from being personally present on this important occasion in the history of one of the finest cities in his home State.

He shares your pride and gratification that this long-needed Federal facility is beginning to rise and take form—this building which will house the United States courts, the internal revenue and other Government agencies, as well as a modern well-equipped unit for the transaction of postal business.

The need for this building has been apparent for many years. The second largest city in the State, one of the ranking commercial ports of the world, an important manufacturing center, with unusual diversification of industries, and, above all, favored with an intelligent and progressive citizenship, the growth of Buffalo through the years being outstanding among American cities.

The growth of your city is well reflected in the increase of the postal receipts. The postal receipts of your Buffalo post office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1835, were \$6,490, while those receipts for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1935, 100 years later, amounted to \$3,825,598.

And with its business expansion the city of Buffalo has preserved those elements of beauty and charm that make it attractive to all who visit this hospitable community. Its fine residential sections, its well-developed park system and other public improvements, and its splendid educational facilities bespeak a fine civic spirit.

I recall that Buffalo gave to the Nation one of its outstanding Presidents, who brought to that high office the same intense interest in the welfare of the people, sincere devotion to public duty, and inteligent and progressive leadership that characterized the service he rendered to the city of Buffalo and to the State of New York. I am happy to pay my humble tribute to that great American statesman, Grover Cleveland.

Buffalo may well be proud of the contributions which its sons

and daughters have made to your State and to the Nation.

Its representatives in the National Congress down through the

Its representatives in the National Congress down through the years have been fine examples of American citizenship, and this includes your present postmaster, Hon. Daniel A. Driscoll, who, as Congressman from Buffalo from 1909 to 1917, served as efficiently as he is today discharging the duties of his present position.

I also have in mind your present representation in Congress, Hon. James M. Mean, Hon. Alfred Better, and Hon. Walter G. Andrews, all serving on very important committees.

The chairmanship of the very important Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads of the House carries with it a heavy responsibility, hard work, and necessarily an intimate knowledge of the postal system of our country; that governmental activity which is more closely interwoven with the business and social life of our people than that of any other branch of the National Government. It is necessary in the performance of duty for us in the Post Office Department at Washington to have frequent contact with the chairman of that committee and his associates; we have with the chairman of that committee and his associates; we have high esteem for him as a man and great respect for his outstanding ability, splendid judgment, and thorough knowledge of the various branches of the Postal Service.

His discharge of the duties of that arduous position has won

for him a measure of esteem and respect that comes to few men in public life. Conscientious to a high degree and ever mindful of the welfare of his fellow men, regardless of position in life, his service not only as chairman of that important committee but as a representative of the citizens of his district has been outstanding.

representative of the citizens of his district has been outstanding. It is eminently fitting that he is here today as we lay the cornerstone of this public building which he has so long and so consistently advocated, and it is, indeed, a great pleasure for me to have this opportunity to pay this sincere tribute to your Congressman and my friend, the Honorable James M. Mean.

I am also happy to see that we have the pleasure of having with us today his colleagues, Hon. Alfred F. Beitter and Hon. Walter G. Andrews, both of whom have been continuously interested in this project since its inception. I know that they also share with the citizens of Buffalo an intense feeling of satisfaction and accomplishment on this occasion which marks another forward step in plishment on this occasion which marks another forward step in

plishment on this occasion which marks another forward step in the progress of your community.

When Congress, by the act of June 19, 1934, placed Federal building construction under the control of the Treasury Department and the Post Office Department, an allotment of funds was made by the Secretary of the Treasury and the Postmaster General for this project. It is estimated that, including the cost of a site and miscellaneous expenditures, the cost of the project will approximate two and a quarter millions of dollars. When completed, it will be a lasting monument to the genius of its designers, Edward B. Green & Son and Bley & Lyman, of Buffalo, who prepared the plans in conjunction with the Supervising Architect of the Procurement Division of the Treasury Department, and to the industry of its builders, the Fleisher Engineering & Construction Co., of St. Paul, Minn., under the supervision of the Procurement Division, which is represented here in Buffalo by its construction engineer, Mr. Robert A. Greenfield. A. Greenfield.

We must not, however, lose sight of the fact that without the hands of labor this building could never pass the blue-print stage. Without the physical efforts of the lumbermen in the forest who fell the trees, to the miners, to the men in the stone quarries, to the fell the trees, to the miners, to the men in the stone quarries, to the workers in the mills and factories, perhaps, hundreds of miles from Buffalo, and the mechanics and artisans directly employed in its construction, this fine architectural concept could not come into being. In laying this cornerstone today it is fitting that we pay sincere tribute to those in Buffalo or far from your good city, the result of whose labor will be a magnificent structure, to be appreciated through the years by all who have occasion to use it.

This new building is one of several hundred being erected under the present administration throughout the length and breadth of the Nation and in its Territories and island possessions.

The act of June 19, 1934, authorized the expenditure of \$65,000,000

the Nation and in its Territories and island possessions.

The act of June 19, 1934, authorized the expenditure of \$65,000,000 for public-building construction at places to be selected by the Secretary of the Treasury and the Postmaster General. Three hundred and sixty-one projects were selected for consummation under this authorization. At present 74 of these projects have been completed and 214 have been placed under contract and are now in course of construction. In 17 cases bids have been opened or invited. In the remaining cases under the \$65,000,000 program, comprising less than 15 percent of the total in number, plans are well under way and it is believed that it will be possible to ask for construction bids in the immediate future.

Under the act of August 12, 1935, 353 building projects were set up. This program has progressed to the extent that it is expected 90 percent of the projects will be under contract within the next few weeks. Already sites have been selected in 290 of the cases where the acquisition of land was necessary. Contracts have been awarded in 38 cases and in practically all the remaining cases plans are nearing completion.

are nearing completion.

are nearing completion.

The laying of the cornerstone of a new Federal building is a noteworthy occasion. It is an important event to the citizens of your splendid city, county, and State, and to our Nation. Such an event is so representative of our great Republic, for our people, regardless of creed, condition in life, or party affiliation, gather together and give testimony by their presence and voice to their fidelity, loyalty, and love for our National Government and its institutions. It indicates that within our hearts we feel an appreciation that we are marching on and on rightly and properly, carrying on the work which was planned so well by our forefathers who in years ago so strongly and wisely laid the foundation, and

today we are reaping the benefits of their wisdom for we are living in the greatest country and under the greatest Government in the world.

It gives me much pleasure and I am sure that it is a source of gratification to the fathers and mothers here to see so many young men and women present. These young people will in due time take up the work and carry on when we drop out of the picture; therefore, it behooves us to so conduct ourselves at all times and to let speech in private and in public be such that it will be of value

our speech in private and in public be such that it will be of value to the youth of the land.

We do not erect a building in a day. The stonemason and bricklayer lay one stone and one brick at a time, carefully and accurately, true to the plumb line.

Likewise, life is comparable to a building; it takes years to fully develop the human-being structure; and in that building let us build wisely and well to the end that our men and women will be of sterling integrity and of the highest character.

Today you have an opportunity to note the most important feature in connection with this building—its foundation—which is so laid and built that it will carry the superstructure for years and years to come. Likewise, in our lives and in our Government, we should be builders, building wisely, strongly, and well. we should be builders, building wisely, strongly, and well.

As the poet has said:

"I watched them tear a building down, A gang of men in a busy town, With a ho-heave-ho, and a lusty yell, They swung a beam and the side wall fell.

"I asked the foreman, 'Are these men skilled And the kind of men you hire to build?' And he laughed and answered and said, 'No, indeed; just common labor is all I need.

"'Why, I can easily wreck in a day or two What builders have taken years to do.' I thought to myself as I went my way, Which of these roles have I tried to play?

"Am I a builder who works with care, Shaping my deeds by rule and square, Or am I a wrecker who walks the town, Content with the labor of tearing down?"

It is my belief that of all the governmental activities to be housed in this structure, those which are closest to the lives of our people are the postal establishment and the United States courts. The postal establishment is one to render an important daily service in every city, village, hamlet, and rural section of our land, coming into direct contact with the lives of all our citizens. The United into direct contact with the lives of all our citizens. The United States courts render a service of justice to all, regardless of creed, color, or position in life, as indicated in that wonderful oath to which all United States judges subscribe before entering upon their

which all United States Judges subscribe before entering upon their duties and which is, in part, as follows:

"I do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me, according to the best of my abilities and understanding, agreeable to the Constitution and laws of the United States, and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all appries foreign and departic."

United States, and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic."

I feel that the people of this country are builders, building for contentment and happiness. Sometime ago the clouds were dark and the roads heavy. Distress and suffering were seen throughout the land. Conditions are better now and still improving. One of the truest barometers of improvement in business conditions is increase in the postal receipts, and we have been witnessing for several years past a constant and steady increase in those revenues. The farmer is getting good prices for his products; the factories are resuming operations, and many of them have gone on full time; the banking situation is better than it has been for many years past.

years past.

years past.

Our people are more contented now; there is cooperation between employer and employee in such degree that general good feeling prevails.

All of these accomplishments and many more, which time does not permit me to mention, have been under the able and farsighted leadership of that great American whose heart beats in sympathy with the heart of every man, woman, and child in this land, regardless of creed, position in life, or political affiliation; and to him we should give the best that is in us; to our great President Franklin D. Roosevelt. Franklin D. Roosevelt.

I thank you.

JEREMIAH O'BRIEN

Mr. HAMLIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD on Jeremiah O'Brien, who pulled down the first British flag that was taken in the Revolutionary War.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maine?

There was no objection.

Mr. HAMLIN. Mr. Speaker and my colleagues of the House, I want to use a few minutes of the valuable time of this House to speak of a naval hero who today is without a monument to his name, but one was authorized to him by the Massachusetts Senate last Thursday. I do not claim

that his capture of the British ship Margueretta, in hand-to-hand fighting with pitchforks, axes, swivel and flintlock guns, showed more courage or typified better the spirit of the American Navy than did John Paul Jones of the Bon Homme Richard, or Howe of the Constitution, Decatur of the Philadelphia, Farragut of the Hartford, Perry in Put in Bay, or Wainwright of the Gloucester.

All of these are of the same stamp; but what I do claim is that this captain was the first captain to stand against the proud mistress of the seas, and was the first American to haul down the British flag before our patriot Army and Navy had even been started. His act was more than personal courage; it was the first challenge of the American Navy to the world—it was the first naval victory for the patriots in the Revolutionary struggle, and it aroused our patriot fathers like a trumpet blast.

The life story of Capt. Jeremiah O'Brien is the short and simple annals of the poor. Born in Kittery, Maine, 1744, he died in 1818, while collector of customs at Machias. I love to read of the noble patriot, brave, honest, liberty loving all his life. We do not need to skip any lines in his life. Briefly told, he with five brothers, a lion's brood of the Louisburg patriot father, Norris, with some 55 Machias patriots, rushed and took the Unity, a British lumber sloop, and sailed down the bay. Another captured sloop, the Polly, ran aground, so O'Brien informed his men they would not be reinforced, and asked if any wished to leave. Three left amid jeers. Captain Moore, of the Margueretta, now shouted that a nearer approach of the Unity meant his firing. O'Brien shouted "Surrender!" It was met by a sheet of flame from the British vessel, and John MacNeil fell, the first sailor to die for the Stars and Stripes.

These Machias patriots now lashed the vessels together, boarded the Britisher, and with pitchforks, axes, anything and everything they could lay their hands on, began the first naval battle of the Revolutionary War. The gallant young Commander Moore was killed. It was a gruesome battle. Over the slippery deck Captain O'Brien sprang to the halyards and pulled down the British flag, carried it back to Machias amid bonfires and hurrahs, and hoisted it on the Machias flagpole. That flagpole had had on it a patriot flag before this, but not the Stars and Stripes, and yet O'Brien was sacrificing, for later the old flag, which has cost so much and which means so much, and which every man and woman in this Nation should be glad to salute and reverence, or if they are not proud to do it at any time, should depart from its folds which protect them.

Later, July 12, O'Brien captured two British schooners and took them to Boston, and later by the provincial House of Representatives was appointed commander of the American Navy, and his few vessels were to patrol from Cape Ann to Nova Scotia. Ship after ship he captured for the patriots until in 1780 his ship, *Hannibal*, was taken by two British vessels and he was confined in a Jersey prison ship, and later sent to the awful Mill Prison in England, from which he escaped in 1781. He kept the faith.

Again he captained the patriot ship *Tiger*, and captured vessels from the enemy fleet, fought and fought until the close of the Revolutionary struggle. He was more than a brave patriot sailor. He had a noble, generous character, as we should suspect heroes do have. He had sympathy for his men always and pleaded for pensions for them, and during his life gave a home to the gallant Negro, Richard Earl, who fought with him on the slippery decks of the *Marqueretta*.

Such men as Jeremiah O'Brien have made the American Navy the envy of the world and today, in the soil of the land he freed, on the shores of the sea on which he fought, rest the bones of the dear old naval patriot. Yes; his remains are there in the old Machias graveyard, but his noble and courageous spirit has gone to the God who gave it.

UNLAWFUL RESTRAINTS AND MONOPOLIES

Mr. UTTERBACK submitted a conference report and statement on the bill (H. R. 8442) to amend section 2 of the

act entitled "An act to supplement existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes", approved October 15, 1914, as amended (U. S. C., title 15, sec. 13), and for other purposes.

UTAH DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION

Mr. MURDOCK. Mr. Speaker, recently I asked unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the Record and include therein a speech delivered by the Governor of Wyoming at the Democratic State convention in Utah on May 23. This was returned to me by the Public Printer because it took up more than two pages of the Record.

I now renew my request to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and include the speech referred to, notwithstanding the fact that it will occupy more than two pages of the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. MURDOCK. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the Record, I include the following speech delivered by Hon. Leslie A. Miller, Governor of Wyoming, before the Democratic State convention of Utah, at Ogden, Utah, May 23, 1936:

To be invited to address you at such a time is an honor which I fully appreciate. You have congregated here to discuss momentous questions—questions which are concerned with the welfare of the people of your State and of the Nation at large. It is clear to me simply by looking over this gathering that you realize the importance of the decisions you are to make and that also you are attacking your problems with enthusiasm and confidence.

It would not do for me, an outsider, to undertake to advise you with regard to local situations and State candidates. I am going to take advantage, however, of the opportunity to speak just a brief word about the standing your State enjoys among her sister States by reason of the conduct in office of some of the men the Democratic Party of Utah has chosen to honor. Governor Blood is widely known as a man of capability and integrity; he has the respect of his fellow Governors in an unusual degree. Your Senators and Congressmen occupy a prominent place in the councils of the Nation. Senators King and Thomas are called upon to speak before audiences in all parts of the country, which is a testimonial to their effectiveness. Wyoming's Representative in Congress, Mr. Green, has told me of the very high esteem in which he holds Representatives Murdock and Robinson, and of their very fine work for Utah and the West in general. Accordingly, Utah is entitled to compliments and congratulations for the superior type of statesmanship she has produced.

This convention, I take it, will be a proper time to fire the opening gun in the approaching national campaign, and you will expect me to discuss some of the things which will be in our minds until the results of the November election are known. I do not know just what contribution I can make, but I feel rather deeply with regard to some of the propaganda now being broadcast throughout the country, and so I will devote most of the time allotted me to a discussion of what I deem to be the inconsistencies of the position adopted by our Republican opposition and by some of the banking and industrial leaders of the country.

LET'S CAST A SPELL

I suppose that most of you when boys and girls enjoyed as I did reading fairy tales and stories of hobgoblins, and so you get quite a kick from reading the kind of material being sent out from Republican headquarters to the press of the land in an attempt to frighten the American citizenship. You remember the tales of our childhood into which entered some possessor of an Aladdin's lamp who could cast a spell over people? I sometimes wish I could cast such a spell and roll back the mantle of time for some of these propagandizing Republicans, bringing to them again the full realization of what the days preceding the Roosevelt administration were like. I should like to have them once more experience the fear which was in their hearts every night when they went to bed that the money in their bank accounts might not be there when they awakened the next morning; that they might again feel the despair and the hopelessness which was universal in the hearts and the minds of the great mass of our people, the ranches and the farmers, the home owners, and the businessmen as a whole; that they might again visualize the specter of hungry men and women, of beggars lurking in groups on every street corner and asking for food at the doors of homes.

And then when full realization of those conditions of 1932 and early 1933, which you understand could be greatly elaborated upon, had been brought to their minds and they were feeling again just as they did in those days, I would break the magic spell and bring them suddenly back to the present that they might reconsider the condemnatory statements they are making

with respect to the acts of the Roosevelt administration. with respect to the acts of the Roosevelt administration. I believe that, if this could be done, those among them who are honest and who have at heart the basic public welfare would cease their activities with the press and thank their lucky stars that a change has been brought about, and that President Roosevelt in the White House had the courage to do what was necessary to save the homes, the farms, and the industries of America from the financial and social chaos which so gravely threatened the very foundations of our country in those dark days of Mr. Hoover.

REPUBLICAN CONSISTENCY?

Honest people who can and will recall the actual conditions which then prevailed will not sincerely question the cost of the measures brought to bear in relief of our common problems. Our opponents, if they considered the facts seriously and honestly, could not even raise the question of the national debt with any degree of consistency for it is a matter of record that since 1933 the listed stocks and bonds upon the exchanges, due to industrial and business recovery, have increased in value far beyond the amount of the increase in the national debt. The investing public of the United States alone could pay off that increase and much more from the added values of their holdings and still be far better off financially than they were before the present admin-

istration took office.

By means of congressional acts guided by President Roosevelt, millions of homes and farms have been refinanced and thus saved to their owners. There is no way of calculating the values which have been saved to the country through the operations of the Home Owners' Loan Corporation and Federal Farm Credit Administration, but the preserving of values as a result of the President's interest in the fundamental prosperity of the people has meant more to the salvation of our form of Government than anything else which could be accomplished by a national adminishment

istration.

But those high in the councils of the Republican Party, I am sorry to say, are not sincere, and they are not consistent. Unfortunately for the real well-being of the country, those who contend against President Roosevelt control a major part of the Nation's press and so they can spread their propaganda without effective opposition in many sections. Not only do sinister influences operate through the press, but they work in other directions as, for example, through the bankers.

THE INGRATITUDE OF BANKERS

We should all like to believe that people generally are grateful, We should all like to believe that people generally are grateful, but when I hear of what the majority of bankers are saying against the Roosevelt administration I cannot avoid the thought that gratitude is not one of their attributes. When I recall that the bankers who are making the loudest outcry against conditions as they are today are the same bankers who sold to us back in the days before the depression billions of dollars of German marks, worthless South American bonds, and the watered stocks of vast combinations of capital in the public-utility and other fields in this country, I wonder just how gullible the American people will be in the final analysis. When I hear of some of the attacks which emanate from the financial interests, my mind goes back to the be in the final analysis. When I hear of some of the attacks which emanate from the financial interests, my mind goes back to the dark days of March 1933 when a condition had come upon the banks of this Nation which made drastic action imperative if the credit structure were to be saved and if the bank deposits of our people were to be made once more secure. In an unprecedented line of action President Roosevelt, with one stroke of his pen, closed all of our banks pending the enactment of emergency legislation by the Congress and the restoration of the confidence of our citizenship in hapking institutions. In the history of this regislation by the Congress and the restoration of the conneches of our citizenship in banking institutions. In the history of this land a no more unconstitutional act was ever performed by any President, and yet I venture the assertion that few, if any, bankers at that time raised the clamor about unconstitutionality. On the contrary, these people recognized President Roosevelt as a veritable savior and offered up their heartfelt thanks that he had the courage to do the thing necessary to right a condition which, if left to run its course, meant nothing other than the utter financial destruc-

its course, meant nothing other than the utter financial destruction of the Nation.

Following this action by the President, applauded by every banker, what transpired? Under the provisions of the emergency legislation, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation put millions and hundreds of millions of dollars into the capital structures of banks, and they were shortly reopened in an entirely sound condition. In due time legislation was enacted to provide for deposit insurance, whereby the deposits of individuals and corporations up to \$5,000 are insured against loss. This, perhaps, was the greatest single act in history for the protection of our people in their ordinary everyday financial affairs. Thus were the banks of the country saved and restored to usefulness; and I say now, with all the emphasis at my command, that no class of people in this land should be more grateful to the President of the United States, Franklin D. Roosevelt, than the bankers. Theirs is not the right to criticize and to cry "unconstitutionality."

of the United States, Franklin D. Roosevelt, than the bankers. Theirs is not the right to criticize and to cry "unconstitutionality." The ordinary demands of decent gratitude dictate their course, and that course is approval and cooperation, not antagonism.

A point which our banker friends discourse upon is that the Government has engaged in too many lending activities. I am sure no branch of the administration has made a loan which it will not willingly sell to any banker who desires to take it over. It was the refusal of bankers to lend which made it necessary for the Government to enter that field. The financial institutions of the country can take the Government out of the business of financing homes and farms any time they desire to do so.

WHAT DO THEY MEAN, POLITICS?

In another direction our Republican friends are more than ordinarily inconsistent. I refer to their announced position that unemployment relief should be handled by the States and local unemployment relief should be handled by the States and local communities. I believe there are a great many astute minds in the Republican Party and those people know, if they have any sense of judgment at all, that to have Federal funds expended solely under the direction of State authorities is the one certain way to plunge relief into partisan politics. I have had enough to do with relief matters to make this a very positive statement, and so I am sure that in this regard the Republican politicians are not really honest with the people.

Truly amazing among Republican inconsistencies and one which

are not really honest with the people.

Truly amazing among Republican inconsistencies, and one which has amused many people, has been the appointment by their national chairman, Mr. Fletcher, of a "brain trust." We can all recall the satire and ridicule with which Republicans greeted the employment by President Roosevelt in the early days of his administration of some highly trained minds to assist him in bringing order out of the existing economic debacle. Had anyone actually taken stock in their lamentations they would have done so in a conviction that, contrary to what we had all theretofore believed.

taken stock in their lamentations they would have done so in a conviction that, contrary to what we had all theretofore believed, trained minds were unnecessary and probably we could have gotten along without our institutions of higher education. But lo and behold, the Republicans have seen fit to imitate, and we are advised that a group of 57 college professors has been prevailed upon by Mr. Fletcher to advise him what is wrong with the country. I do not know just what this figure of 57 has to do with the certain 57 varieties with which we are so familiar, but I do know they are in a pickle.

Our friends of the opposition like to talk and use up a great deal of newspaper space in an effort to confuse our minds with regard to the existent deficit in our fiscal affairs. Do they really believe the people have such short memories they will not recall the deficits of the last 2½ years of the Hoover administration? Great deficits were piled up then, and the national debt was added to in no inconsiderable sums. As a matter of fact, the deficit in the ordinary activities of government in the last 30 months of Mr. Hoover amounted to \$1,794,000,000, whereas in the first 37 months of the present administration there was a surplus in the expenditures for the same activities.

Except as a matter of comparing figures, it is difficult to measure

Except as a matter of comparing figures, it is difficult to measure Except as a matter of comparing figures, it is difficult to measure what, if anything, was accomplished by the Hoover administration as contrasted with the Roosevelt regime. For example, the Republicans in those days apparently could see merit only in advances and loans to the large corporations and banking houses. Roosevelt has loaned to the farmers, the home owners, and to municipalities. We cannot find in the record of this administration anything to compare with the \$90,000,000 loan of the Republicans to the Dawes bank in Chicago, which it is now admitted will probably entail a final loss of \$50,000,000. It will not be consistent for Republicans to discuss their record and accordingly we will hear little about it unless we, as Democrats, recall that record to the minds of the voters. A very large part of the increase in the national debt under Roosevelt can be attributed to loans made to political subdivisions for public improvements, which loans will political subdivisions for public improvements, which loans will surely be repaid. When we come to a final reckoning, it is certain the total losses in loans made under the previous administration will be staggering, but I am confident the record of this administration in the same respect will be something in which we can

tration in the same respect will be something in which we can take a great degree of pride.

It is interesting to inquire from whence all this money came that went into the increase of the national debt. The Government has simply borrowed money at a low rate of interest from those of our own citizens in our own country who had it to lend, and has used that money to employ millions of men who had to have it in order to live and clothe and feed their families. All of it was put immediately into circulation and practically none of it has left our shores—the country has not been impoverished in the least. The critics of the Roosevelt administration would have you believe that it has been wasted, lost entirely. Quite the contrary is true.

contrary is true.

We have spent a net sum of some three or four billion dollars (the increase in the national debt less the loans which will be repaid) to pull the United States out of the depression which was handed to us by a Republican administration, the worst depression the country has ever experienced. But look, we spent \$20,000,000,000,000 in the World War. That money was burned up—worse than that, it was used to destroy life and property. The comparatively small amount expended during the present administration has been used to build rather than to destroy, to clothe the naked, to feed the hungry, and to maintain the self-respect of our own citizens. It has not been wasted—it has been invested in human life and character. life and character.

BALANCED BUDGETS

Perhaps it would be pertinent here to say a word about balanced budgets. It was no trick at all for the previous Republican administration to unbalance its Budget and thereby create a formidable deficit, but in Republican minds a similar procedure by Democratic administration should not be countenanced. Budget has been exceeded for the very simple reason that as the depression advanced human needs demanded attention, and the Federal Government was in no different situation than is a private individual in like circumstances. People everywhere were required to call upon their reserves and to spend beyond their immediate incomes. It is important indeed that these budgets of individuals be brought to a balanced state, and this can only be done through increased general prosperity. When that condition is arrived at, be brought to a balanced state, and this can only be done through increased general prosperity. When that condition is arrived at, and, happily, we are approaching the day, it will be easy to make the curtailments in Federal expenditures necessary for the balancing of the National Budget. No one regrets the necessity for emergency expenditures more than Democrats, and no one will be quicker to cut expenses once the emergency has passed. In the meantime the Democratic leadership will have the courage to adhere to its policy of drawing upon reserves of finance and of credit if that course is required to protect people in this land from the miseries of helpless destitution. the miseries of helpless destitution

CREDIT AND INTEREST

This fear the Republicans would build up about what we owe is another evidence of inconsistency. It is not what the average man owes that frightens him; it is the lack of ability to pay. If a businessman owes \$50,000 and he finds that by borrowing \$25,000 more he can double his revenue and by refunding his whole indebtedness he can cut his total interest charges to what they were before or less, he considers it good business to make the loan. were before or less, he considers it good business to make the loan. This is just exactly what the present administration has done. Admittedly, it has increased the net national debt to the extent of three or four billion dollars; but by doing so—by putting men to work in public enterprise and in private industry—it has increased the prosperity of the people, almost doubled the national income, and has more than doubled its own revenue. To be specific, the total Government revenue during the last fiscal year of the Republican administration was \$2,000,000,000. In 1935 it had practically doubled, and at the rate taxes are now being paid the Federal revenue for 1936 will be more than four and one-half billion dollars. It is much more agreeable to pay taxes on a good income than to pay no taxes on no income.

Another thing: During the last three Republican administrations the New York banks, generally speaking, determined the rate of interest to be paid on Government bonds. Not so during the present administration—the rate of interest has been dictated by Washington, not by New York, with the result that by refunding the old high-rate bond issues into new issues at low rates the Government is now saving hundreds of millions of dollars in interest annually. The record indicates that the annual interest on our present higher bonded indebtedness is many millions of dellars the general large them the way on the lower debt when President Roose.

interest annually. The record indicates that the annual interest on our present higher bonded indebtedness is many millions of dollars less than it was on the lower debt when President Roosevelt took office.

Republican critics have been attempting in recent months to make us believe that the credit of the National Government is imperiled. The fact is it has never been better. Recently the Government offered a bond issue of about \$1,500,000,000, the largest offer ever made at one time and the lowest rate of interest on a comparable issue. The amount offered was oversubscribed

seven times in one day.

The quoted prices of Government bonds appearing on the finan-

The quoted prices of Government bonds appearing on the financial pages of metropolitan newspapers give us to understand that these securities are listed never lower than 101 and they have been as high as 118. During Mr. Hoover's administration these bonds sold as low as 82, yet the eminent gentleman says now that the Government's credit is endangered.

Incidentally, it may be worth mentioning that the practice of making generous refunds and rebates to certain gentlemen and corporations influential in political affairs has been discontinued. In the 9 years during which Mr. Mellon served as Secretary of the Treasury under three Republican Presidents, the total incometax refunds amounted to about \$3,000,000,000, by which you can see the beneficiaries were refunded an amount which very closely approximates the net cost of recovery during the 3 years of the Roosevelt administration. Roosevelt administration.

NO COMPLAINT IN 1933

Our Republican friends complain of "Government in business." There was no such complaint in the spring of 1933. What the bankers and the captains of industry who are now so loud in their denunciations of the administration then feared was the complete denunciations of the administration then leared was the complete collapse of our industrial structure. They feared something even worse than that—they feared the destruction of the morale of the people; they feared revolution. To save the situation they were willing to go even to the extent of openly advocating a dictatorship. "What we need is a Mussolini", was the cry of a prominent Republican on the floor of the United States Senate. Agriculture was prostrate; there were 13,000,000 unemployed men and women, was prostrate; there were 13,000,000 unemployed men and women, and even children were tramping the streets, hitchhiking along the highways, and riding the freight cars; mills and factories were idle; farmers' strikes were organized; banks from one end of the country to the other were falling like houses of cards; and hunger and want were spreading over the country like a pestilence. The men who are now complaining of "Government in business" were then begging the President to do something—do anything to save the country from ruin. "Spend, spend", was the public slogan. "Save us lest we perish!"

country from ruin. "Spend, spend", was the public slogan. "Save us lest we perish!"

The President did do something and he did it quickly. It was not possible to strike out along one avenue, but many different lines of effort necessarily were explored. The results you know. lines of effort necessarily were explored. The results you know. The news columns every day give proof to our regained ground in industry. People are more secure in their daily lives and consequently happier. The administration has indicated an interest in men who labor in a degree hitherto not displayed by men entrusted with public affairs. The President has decreed that there shall be no child labor in the United States if governmental measures can prevent. He has advocated shorter hours of labor for all who work with their hands, with no diminution in wages. He

believes that higher earnings, whether for the man who works in industry or for the farmer, are essential to the prosperity of the

what of the future? It is this interest of the administration in the restoration of the well-being of people which is put to the test by the criticisms originating in Republican sources. We will or we will not save what has been gained. We will go forward, or we will go backward; and it is well that today we make plain to all the people the two choices they are offered.

The Republicans look to the past. Democrats look to the future, and because our party has this forward vision it expects to meet by appropriate action every crisis that may arise in human

affairs. It has used the constitutional power of the Federal Government to create employment, to stabilize the prices of farm products and livestock; it has used and will continue to use the power of the Federal Government to extend to the common people the blessings and advantages of modern invention and progress. It has used and will continue to use the power of the Federal Government to raise the standard of wages, to im-prove living conditions. It has used and will continue to use the power of the Federal Government to provide for the comfort and security of the aged and distressed, to protect children from the demoralizing and degenerating effect of shop and factory employment, and to insure to them the inalienable right to enjoy the benefits of the schoolroom, the playground and the sunshine.

The Democratic Party through this administration has given un-precedented stability to the banks; it has made secure the \$25,000,000,000 of deposits in those institutions; by the regulation of security exchanges it has made safe the investments of the people; it has restored prosperity to the farmer, the workingman, the merchant, and the manufacturer; it has saved hundreds of thousands of farms and homes; it has constructed vast permanent improvements; and it has made it once more desirable to be a citizen of the United States.

Shall this great humanitarian work go on? That is the ques-Shall this great humanitarian work go on? That is the question the American people are to answer in the coming campaign. Shall the work that has been begun be continued under the leadership of the man who started it, or shall he be succeeded by "just an ordinary President"? I am confident of the reply which Utah will make to this question. I find it easy to believe that on the 3d of November with a great avalanche of votes the citizens of this State will say, "Utah appreciates what you have done and casts her vote to continue you in your work, and we will give you the assistance you need in electing a Democratic State administration and Democratic Representatives in the National Congress." tion and Democratic Representatives in the National Congress.'

THE TRUE WAY TO CIVIC GREATNESS-SOUTH CAROLINA'S WAY TO WEALTH AND POWER

Mr. McSWAIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to include as an extension of my remarks an address delivered by myself at the University of South Carolina on June 3 of this year.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. McSWAIN. Mr. Speaker, in 1801 the Legislature of South Carolina, in order to promote learning and to solidify sentiment between the so-called lower section and the upper section of the State, chartered the South Carolina College, which opened its doors in 1805 and held its first commencement in 1806. The capital had been established in the center of the State about 15 years prior thereto, and now the college was established in the center of the State at the capital, Columbia, in order to be equally accessible to the youth from all parts of the State. We must remember that at that time there were no railroads and none were dreamed of by socalled practical men. The roads were not highways, but narrow trails of mud and dust in quick succession. Small streams were forded, larger streams were bridged, and the largest streams were crossed by ferryboat.

Through all these intervening years the institution, then called South Carolina College, has continued in its original mission of educating and training the youth from all parts of the State and from all walks of life, and, especially, in cementing friendships and in removing provincial feeling. Many truly distinguished educators have headed that institution as president and have taught as professors. Last week at the commencement of this institution, now legally known as the University of South Carolina, Dr. Leonard T. Baker retired from the presidency of the university after many years of faithful and constructive service. Succeeding Dr. Baker as president is Dr. J. Rion McKissick, who takes over the reins of administration with the confidence and good wishes of thousands of friends. We who love the university because of what it has done for us, and more especially because of what it has done for the good of our

State through its 130 years of active service, predict for Dr. McKissick a career of great usefulness in his leadership of the university. Dr. McKissick has the scholarship, the character, and the personality essential to success in a field of such varied demands and complex problems. I cordially join with his thousands of admirers and friends in bidding him Godspeed in his great opportunity for service.

On Wednesday, June 3, 1936, by the previous invitation of the faculty, I delivered the commencement address. I had chosen as my subject the idea expressed by these words: "South Carolina's Way to Wealth and Power." By the authority of the House, I am now printing that address as part of my remarks:

Mr. President, trustees, faculty, students, and friends of the University of South Carolina, just 43 years ago this very month I heard Dr. James H. Carlisle, then 68 years of age, addressing a graduating class at Wofford College, recite some of the wonderful progress that he had witnessed in his lifetime, enumerating especially the steam railroad, the telegraph, the telephone, harvesting machines, electric lights, electric motors, anaesthetics and aspetics to the steam of the steam

machines, electric lights, electric motors, anaesthetics and aseptics in surgery, as well as antiseptics generally. Among other things he said substantially the following:

"Young men, what I have seen is but a forecast and prophecy of what you are sure to see."

These words, spoken by a great teacher, a sincere patriot, and a Christian gentleman, with all the solemnity of a clear conviction, stuck in my memory, and I then marveled that mere man should have such firm faith in things to come. But subsequent developments have confirmed his prediction. If young men see visions, then the dreams of some old men do not all relate to the past but reveal things yet to be. Truly Dr. Carlisle was a seer and a prophet on that memorable day. Have not we who heard him then seen automobiles come, tens of thousands of them, come and go over the face of the earth? Have we not seen movie-tone pictures suiting the words to the action? Have we not witnessed the radio reducing the words to one community? Do we not daily witness airplanes speeding in all directions and at speeds three and four times as fast as any steam train can make? Do we not know of thousands of other machines reflecting by their marvel-ous action the intelligence of their builders? Verily, the words of the good Dr. Carlisle have been fulfilled.

the good Dr. Carlisle have been fulfilled.

It is a characteristic of original and progressive minds to look forward and to forecast the outline of coming events. One of the earliest and most original progressives of America was Benjamin Franklin. In calling attention to the possibilities of scientific

progress, he said:

"It is impossible to imagine the heights to which may be carried, in a thousand years, the power of man over matter. We may perhaps learn to deprive large masses of their gravity and give them levity, for the sake of easy transportation. Agriculture may diminish its labor and double its produce; all diseases may by sure means be prevented or cured, not excepting that of old age, and our lives lengthened at pleasure even beyond the antediluvian standard."

Thomas Paine, whose pamphlet The American Crisis was de-clared by George Washington to be more valuable to the cause of the Revolution than a new levy of troops, sketched an outline of the Revolution than a new levy of troops, sketched an outline of political economy embracing universal education, the abolition of poverty, the reform of criminal law, pensions for the aged, the reduction of armaments, and international peace. We must admit that the world has not yet caught up with this then derided dream of Paine, pictured in his Rights of Man, but certainly the world is on its way.

A LOOK INTO THE FUTURE

I am greatly tempted to repeat today the prophetic words of Dr. Carlisle. I am sure the fields of invention and discovery have not been exhausted. Reports come to us almost daily of researches being conducted in hundreds of laboratories in this country and in other countries, searching out the profoundest truths concerning the manifestations about us of what we call matter. As these so-called elements of matter are broken into smaller elements and combined and recombined in different proportions, marvelous results are being accomplished, and, doubtless, still more wonderful things are in store. The most powerful telescope ever made is being put in place. Daily new evidence comes to us of the miracles of surgery. In the light of what we have seen come to pass during these 43 years, in the light of what we know is going on in scientific research, all inspiring faith in the ever-widening vistas of science and in the progress and betterment of the human race, I am emboldened to suggest for the especial consideration of the young people who may hear these words or of those who may hereafter read them, that the next 43 years will witness still more marvelous and wonder-working hear these words or of those who may hereafter read them, that the next 43 years will witness still more marvelous and wonder-working discoveries and inventions than I have witnessed during the past 43 years. The burden of this address will be, therefore, to urge all the young people of South Carolina to be on the alert, and to become personally identified with this great forward movement for the betterment of mankind, and thus contribute most powerfully to placing our beloved State in the front ranks of the American Commonwealth of States.

PROGRESS IS PART OF OUR "MANIFEST DESTINY"

With this background, with a knowledge of the progress of the race since prehistoric times, can we not safely make prophecy today as

Dr. Carlisle did 43 years ago? I venture to say that we can, and am thus emboldened by my fixed faith in the progress of science. I believe that multiplication of inventions is inevitable, and I believe that the law of development is in fact the law of life. This progress of mankind, this faith in a greater destiny for the race, does not rest upon any force or influence imposed from above or exerted from the outside. Whence, then, this hope for the betterment of the race? It is found only in the minds and hearts of men and women, of individuals, of single separate human beings, inspired with the belief that all things, all machines, all methods, all laws, all social conditions, all moral forces, all spiritual life, may be bettered. tered, may be deepened, may be strengthened, and so must continue to increase.

"Men, my brothers, men the workers, ever reaping something new; That which they have done, but the earnest of the things that they shall do."

THE KEY TO THE GATEWAY OF PROGRESS

What is the method of progress, the mode of procedure, the way of this bigger, better, wider life? Inevitably we must proceed from the known to the unknown. All that we know as a result of the scientific methods of studying nature so ably expounded by Lord Bacon, has come step by step, by observation, by experimentation, by analysis, and by recombination. All that we have learned existed from the beginning. The marvels of electricity, of the radio, and of radio-activity were not created by man, but merely uncovered by man's faithful searching. Just as mathematical symbols and formulas indicate relations that have always existed, so the progress made by men in science, and in the application of science to the useful arts, was the mere discovery of what hitherto had been merely covered and needed only covery of what hitherto had been merely covered and needed only the genius of man, guided by the knowledge of man and driven by the ambition of man, to be revealed to all men.

KNOWLEDGE OF THE PAST NECESSARY TO DISCERN THE FUTURE

Upon one face of the magnificent Federal Archives Building in Washington these words are inscribed: "What is past is prologue." In a sense, The Archives is a mausoleum of the records of past events. In another sense, it is the womb from which springs the great future. This surging, ever-changing, many-sided life about us which we call civilization is the fruit of the past but it is also the seed of the future. Edmund Burke wisely remarked that civilization constitutes the covenant between the dead, the living, and the unborn. Knowing the past, as partially recorded by printed word and picture, understanding at least partially the present by our constant contacts with present forces, we may present by our constant contacts with present forces, we may seek to influence effectively that future which so deeply concerns every right-minded person. Indeed, we all hold in trust what we have inherited in the form of knowledge and culture, and what we may add thereto by our own efforts as trustees under God for our children and their posterity.

"WHAT CONSTITUTES A STATE?"

We in South Carolina are too apt to despair, to bewall our lack of rich natural resources, such as coal, oil, natural gas, copper, iron, lead, tin, sulphur, zinc, silver, and so forth. The once virgin soil has been largely depleted and much of it completely lost by remorseless erosion. But why should we live us those without hope? It is in our power, it is within ourselves, to produce something vastly more valuable, something infinitely more precious than much fine gold and all the material things that gold stands for and can buy. What then is our hope? It is for us to produce persons, people, men and women so far superior in character, in intellect, and in their faith in progress that South Carolina will stand among her sister States even as Athens did throughout all Greece. These superior men and women, these individuals capable of standing upon their own intellectual feet, buttressed by strong moral character, and filled with faith that progress can and will come, can raise up our States as a city set upon a hill. Such men and women will make improvement and bring advancement in all lines, in agriculture, in art, and in the arts, in literature, in science, in industry, in medicine, in engineering, and in every useful phase of human endeavor. We in South Carolina are too apt to despair, to bewail our endeavor.

INTELLIGENT PLAN ESSENTIAL TO COORDINATED PROGRESS

Let us agree upon a plan, by a State-wide program, a long-time program, for the development of such men and such women. It would mean constant insistence on the highest standards of character everywhere, in public and in private, clear thinking, backed by intellectual honesty and progressive, forward-looking attitude of mind and heart. Such a program would not necessarily involve more and bigger or more luxurious schoolhouses and college buildings. Money alone cannot work miracles in the fields that we are contemplating, but all must concentrate on these essential we are contemplating, but all must concentrate on these essential first things, of character, intellect, and faith in the possible betterment of all things. These simple essentials must become popular demands; rewards of all kinds should go to these, and these only. In the bringing about of this mental and spiritual attitude, in the production of this right public opinion, we must invoke and employ the combined influences of home, of the school, of the church, of the press, and of all social contacts and forces.

PARTNERSHIP WITH GOD INSURES TRUE SUCCESS

There can be no genuine and lasting character without taking God into partnership, or, rather and more properly, without going into partnership with God. This essential and indispensable relationship to God does not mean sectarianism or pronouncing theological dogmas or conforming to some man-made orthodoxy. It is a fellowship between man and God that is supersectarian and

above creeds and dogmas. President Roosevelt never said a truer thing in his life than these words, uttered on February 23, 1936:
"No greater thing could come to our land today than a revival of the spirit of religion—a revival that would sweep through the homes of the Nation and stir the hearts of men and women of all nomes of the Nation and stir the hearts of men and women of an faiths to a reassertion of their belief in God and their dedication to His will for themselves and for their world. I doubt if there is any problem—social, political, or economic—that would not melt away before the fire of such a spiritual awakening."

All men of experience who have any vision proceeding from inner light, confess that wayward "Bobby" Burns was right when be said:

"When on life we're tempest tossed, With conscience but a canker, A correspondence fixed with Heaven Is sure a noble anchor."

A CHANCE TO SUCCEED FOR EACH BOY AND GIRL

It is now useless for anyone to sit with folded hands. There is some new field for everyone to conquer. It is idle to make the long existing economic depression an excuse for doing nothing. long existing economic depression an excuse for doing nothing. It is true college graduates and high-school graduates and business-college graduates cannot step out and easily find, as they formerly could, employment at good salaries. To say that education and character are vain because they bring no quick returns in salaries is to put a dollar mark on every diploma and to convert moral character into a letter of credit. Unfortunately, character, superior intellectuality, and abounding faith in our betterment have been too often debased by such low standards, and thereby rendered largely nugratory. largely nugatory.

SELF-RELIANCE AND INDIVIDUAL INITIATIVE

Hence, our State needs what the Nation needs and, in fact, what the world needs, and that is more universal and stronger individual initiative, and a greater sense of personal responsibility for success. Within this generation the general attitude toward government has changed, and, I fear, changed for the worse. People are too prone to look to the Government alone for leading and guidance and support. They seem to think there is some mysteriguidance and support. They seem to think there is some mysterious power, some superior knowledge, some inexhaustible resource in Government. Government is nothing but a word of abstraction representing the corporate activities of the whole people. It has only what the people give it, and has only the intelligence of those set up to govern. Every person now has and always will have a chance to succeed when success is measured in terms of character, of intellect and faith in mankind. Every person has a chance to build that better mousetrap, to write that better book, to make that better farm, to invent that better machine, to sing that better song, or to paint that better picture. When we stop looking for the Government to lift us up, and cease to seek only a desk in somebody else's business, and when we begin to rely upon our own efforts, to plan our own lives, to build for ourselves those more stately mansions of the mind and soul, to become a State of more superior individuals each with abundant self-reliance, each with the power of initiative, and each capable self-reliance, each with the power of initiative, and each capable of laboring patiently with faith in the final fruition, each resolved to take infinite pains to do well the job in hand, then men everywhere will lift up their eyes and say that South Carolina, with-out rich natural resources, with wasted soil, has yet found and produced the rarest, richest resource of all, a commonwealth of men and women superior in character, in culture, in intellect, in refinement and in faith in the triumph of things worth while. Then and thus all men, and we first of all, will come to realize that the greatness of a State and the greatness of a nation conthat the greatness of a State and the greatness of a nation consists not mostly in the material things of gold and all that gold symbolizes, but in the right sort, the superior kind, of individuals, of persons, of human beings, counted as individuals, asking no favors save the bare opportunity to labor and toil in some field for the advancement of humankind.

The plan of procedure is plain. Thoroughly master all that men now know in a given field. Discern and follow the basic principle involved. Pursue this principle to the very end of its possible application. Somewhere you will find a new field of application. Then make it practicable, workable, to fit the needs of men. This done, another forward step is taken in human progress. and

forward step is taken in human progress, and another another stone laid in the structure of a great State.

THE "ROYAL ROAD" TO TRUE SUCCESS IS LONG, HARD, AND STEEP

You answer that I thus invite Carolinians to attain greatness by a long, hard road. Of course, I know the road is long and hard, and, therefore, I know it is the only road to true greatness. Mushroom, squashy bigness may occasionally come quickly, but true values with the strength of oak and hickory grow but slowly. "They build strongest who stay longest underground." Only the meeting and overcoming of difficulties ever develops the heroic in human nature. But there is a challenge to action and effort in every obstacle met. Carolinians have never asked for a bed of roses. We know the hardships our fathers and forefathers back to pioneer days met and overcame, and it is our duty and privilege. to pioneer days met and overcame, and it is our duty and privilege to ploneer days met and overcame, and it is our duty and privilege to advance onward and upward along the road beyond the point where they bivouacked forever. Things do not just come to pass. Nations do not merely happen to become great. Individual men and women must bring things to pass. We never drift upward; we climb by conscious effort. We wish South Carolina to be great, but to be truly great. If we could enlarge her bounds, if we could multiply her population and wealth, if we could give her

vast mineral deposits, including coal and off, we would not thereby confer greatness, true greatness, upon her. I quote this little couplet, expressing a profound truth:

'You prate of the wealth of nations as if it were bought and sold:

The wealth of nations is men; not silk, and cotton, and gold."

"Despise not the day of small things." Do not dread humble beginnings. The greatest laboratory is the human brain with ambition and originality. Keep an eye upon those fellows workbeginnings. ing in attics and basements. Revolutionary inventions have come ing in attics and basements. Revolutionary inventions have come out of bicycle shops, blacksmith shops, kitchens, barns, barns, and back-yard sheds. Inventions and discoveries may seem to come sometimes by accident, but only the person prepared, trained, and watching sees and understands the so-called accident.

A CHALLENGE AND A CHARGE TO HEROIC CAROLINIANS

You men, young women, postgraduates, graduates, and under-graduates, Carolina calls you to service. One generation of trained, truth-loving, unselfish men and women could regenerate our State. Away with scholastic shams and superficial studies. Away with making mere money the measure and standard of success. Away with petty and purely personal politics. Away with self-pity, defeatism, and inferiority complexes. Let us accept the challenge of difficulties. Let us endure hardness and hardships as good soldiers. Let us rebuild our wasted soils, reestablish our economic independence, develop all our natural resources, and, above all and before all develop our human resources. By keeping our before all, develop our human resources. By keeping our attention fixed upon these ideals, we shall see new vistas of opportunity open, new wealth of things, of mind, and of spirit will rise among us, and a new day, like unto the glories of our historic past, will dawn in South Carolina for our children and our children's children.

SOME OF THE MANY ACHIEVEMENTS UNDER PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S PROGRESSIVE ADMINISTRATION

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may have the privilege of including in an extension of my remarks short extracts from the report of the Select Committee to Investigate Real Estate Bondholders' Reorganizations, in view of the fact that the report may not be finished and filed before the House adjourns. I hope to have the report completed, but if I should fail to do so I desire to embody some extracts from the report in my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Speaker, as the current session of the Congress draws to a close it is but fitting that we recount our accomplishments, and give a general accounting of the stewardship of the Democratic Party during the last 3 years.

There have been many crises in the history of our country. We have had wars-including the great Civil Warthat came near proving that our form of government was unworkable; we have had many serious economic depres-

But I take it that most of us are agreed that the most serious, all-embracive, and dangerous crisis that ever threatened our country started in the fall of 1929 and culminated on March 4, 1933. Practically all of our people during those turbulent years, due to the reprehensible conduct of those in high industrial and financial places, had lost their life-long faith in men and governments. thorough was that lack of confidence that we stood at the threshold of governmental dissolution, with all its unimaginable consequences.

Then came that buoyant inaugural message of our great President, Franklin D. Roosevelt. And, best of all, our President did not confine himself to verbal formalities and lip service. He did not give great promises and then inactively recline to court the plaudits of his fellow countrymen. I verily believe that this message was the most effectual and important statement of plan and purpose since the supreme product of the founding fathers; and I have not forgotten the first inaugural message of Lincoln, amid the enormities of that epochal era.

It is now, that the crisis has passed, more comfortable to think of our condition on March 4, 1933; to think of the herculean tasks that faced this administration when it assumed the imperative duty of saving democratic government for the world.

When President Roosevelt assumed office, over 16,000,000 | persons, with willing hands and, on an average, each having a wife and a child, were without employment. This meant that about 48,000,000 persons had no buying power. But that was not all. To the number I have named should be added thirty-two and a half million persons on farms whose incomes were so reduced by low prices that their buying power had been almost wholly destroyed or reduced to the vanishing point. In short, March 4, 1933, found about 74,000,000 of our 125,000,000, or about 60 percent, without means with which to buy absolute, stern necessities of life. Does anybody need to inquire why factories and banks, why business was stagnate? Obviously something had to be done and done instanter. These willing workers had to be put to work, which meant that industry had to be revived and encouraged and agriculture had to be rehabilitated. As an indication of agriculture's precarious condition, it may be said that on March 15, 1933, corn was selling at 13 cents, wheat was selling at 36 cents, oats were selling at 10 cents. These prices are fairly indicative of prices of all agricultural products on March 4, 1933.

It is not necessary to recite the deplorable and menacing condition of the labor market on March 4, 1933. Painful

memory of that is too green to bear repetition.

Our critics pointed with alarm to the probable expenditure incident to putting willing and deserving Americans to work. We did not expect to effect that necessary reemployment and rehabilitation solely with ink and wind. We accurately sensed the cost, and, moreover, we accurately sensed the impending result if idle workers were not reemployed and agriculture rehabilitated. Our unjustified critics did not sense the impending and menacing dangers; they did nothing, and that is one of the great charges they shall have to meet before the bar of history.

We have been required to increase the public debt by about \$10,000,000,000 in 3 years to avoid national destruction by

effecting recovery.

In 1917–18 America loaned to foreign governments \$26,000,000,000 for purely destructive purposes—not for saving the United States from internal disintegration. I say with confidence that this administration is fully justified in expending \$10,000,000,000 to fight a domestic enemy—not one on foreign soil. At the present time our national debt is only a few billions more than it was in 1919, and for what a worthy cause we have thus increased it.

While it is true large sums have been appropriated and disbursed I wish to direct the attention of taxpayers to the consoling fact that a very large part of these moneys are merely investments, and gilt-edged ones at that, and do not represent an unrecoverable outlay. The sums appropriated for the actual relief of the aged and starving cannot and will

not be repaid into the Treasury, of course.

But the millions loaned by a humane administration to enable the borrowers to save their homes and farms, or their businesses, will be repaid. They are already beginning to be repaid. Because the opposition may be relied upon to distort the true and actual facts, I wish to emphasize that the large sums loaned by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, Federal Home Loan Corporation, Federal Housing Administration, and various other Government agencies constitute the best and safest class of loans that can be made, and will be returned to the Treasury.

And few of our citizens realize, perhaps, what New Deal policies have meant in dollars and cents for our own city of Chicago, and the county of Cook, and the State of Illinois. Conditions in this city, county, and State would not be anything approaching what they are today had it not been for the vast loans and expenditures here by the various Federal agencies under the administration of President Roosevelt.

I have compiled an accurate report which will give the lie to the Republican press, particularly of this city, wherein they criticize because, as they claim, this money is being wasted. If the press were fair, it would tell the public why the Government has been subjected to a deficit of \$10,000,-

000,000 in 3 years. If the press were fair, it would outline the many benefits and the great financial aid which the State, county, and city have received from the Federal Government in their hour of dire need. It would relate the crying truth that the President's program brought hundreds of thousands of dollars to those same people who are now his bitterest critics.

For instance, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation reports that as of February 29 the following amounts were

authorized and disbursed:

Continue of your motor	Amount	
And the grand Republican new	Authorized	Disbursed
State of Illinois Cook County, including Chicago State of Illinois, through Mar. 31, 1936	\$373, 002, 747. 06 284, 539, 287. 35 428, 547, 368. 06	\$313, 614, 511, 46 245, 300, 538, 23 369, 732, 945, 07

The Federal Housing Administration reports the following activities in Illinois:

med digit-beginsted and probably observed districtly averaged	Modernization notes in- sured, through Apr. 30, 1936			s accepted nsurance, Mar. 31,
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount
State of Illinois	47, 467	\$17, 345, 512, 21	2, 037	\$9, 003, 980
Cook County, excluding Chicago	6, 669 22, 046	2, 472, 469. 00 8, 003, 663. 00	432 465	2, 824, 230 2, 306, 835
Total, Cook County	28, 715	10, 476, 132.00	897	5, 131, 065

The Home Owners' Loan Corporation reports that as of January 2, 1936, the following loans had been closed:

	Number	Amount
State of Illinois	64, 180 41, 345	\$253, 651, 879 190, 548, 742

According to information compiled from data obtained from the Veterans' Administration, the Statistical Division of the Bureau of Internal Revenue, and from other governmental sources, the Honorable Wright Patman, of Texas, states that it is estimated that bonus payments in Cook County will amount to \$73,828,560.42. It is estimated that for the whole State of Illinois 253,343 veterans will receive payments amounting to \$141,472,589.16.

Farm Credit Administration

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Farm mortgage loans:	n hind co	
Federal land bank	15, 861	\$100, 633, 840
Land Bank Commissioner (through		******
May 31, 1936)	16, 571	44, 107, 900
Total	32, 432	144, 741, 740
Short-term credit:		
Production credit associations		10, 049, 062
Drought-relief loans (through May 1,	6, 205	587, 145
1936)	1,450	125, 359
COOK COUNTY		
Farm mortgage loans:		
Federal land bank	64	319, 400
Land Bank Commissioner (through		1111 Union (Date
May 31, 1936)	64	191, 100
Total	128	510, 500
Short-term credit:		
Emergency crop loans (Jan. 1, 1933, through Sept. 30, 1935)	134	12,045
Production credit association (Geneva Association, serving Cook, Dupage,		12,010
and Kane Counties) (through Dec. 81, 1936)	457	424, 154
***************************************		4az, 102

The Resettlement Administration reports the following activities in Illinois and Cook County:

Rural	rehabilitation	loans	and	grants

	Loans as of May 29, 1936				
	Num- ber	Amount	Num- ber	Amount	
Illinois	2, 525 61	\$1, 500, 267. 73 5, 824. 53	4, 832 105	\$286, 039. 99 8, 752. 66	

The Civil Works Administration reports that advances in the State of Illinois and Cook County were as follows:

	All funds	Federal funds	State funds	Local funds
State	\$62, 567, 943	\$57, 265, 274	\$375, 033	\$4, 927, 636
Cook County, including Chi-	35, 532, 984	33, 334, 700	222	2, 198, 062

The Federal Emergency Relief Administration reports that obligations through March 1936 in the State of Illinois amounted to \$301,860,400, of which \$213,875,637 was Federal funds. In Cook County, including Chicago, the amounts were \$186,159,884 and \$156,875,216, respectively.

The emergency conservation work reports that estimated obligations incurred in the operation of Civilian Conservation Corps camps in the State of Illinois amounted to \$45,-148,563.93 through March 31, 1936. The personnel numbered 104,149, of which number 93,556 were enrollees. There are 16 camps operating in Cook County.

Works Progress Administration

	Illinois	Cook County, excluding Chicago	Chicago
Projects selected for operation Apr. 15	3, 163	255	238
Projects approved by the President Mar. 31 Total approved cost of projects selected	4, 666	644	
for operation, including sponsors' con- tribution through Apr. 15	\$88, 801, 821	\$5, 138, 866	\$44, 991, 708
month period ending May 31	172, 574	8, 593	76, 802
Number of names on pay rolls for peak period of employment, Mar. 1-15 Total earnings through May 31	203, 891 \$60, 081, 159	11, 990	88, 282

The Public Works Administration reports that the following allotments have been made, including allotments of funds from the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935 and expenditures incurred through March 31, 1936:

Projects	Allotments	Expenditures	Estimated project cost
Illinois:	A117 001 000	ern 100 474	\$100 F00 040
Non-Federal Federal Cook County, excluding Chicago:	\$115, 321, 033 44, 637, 294	\$53, 188, 474 38, 260, 418	\$163, 563, 940
Non-Federal	6, 321, 724	2, 169, 029	12, 928, 582
Federal	2, 730, 907	1, 034, 713	
Chicago: Non-Federal	76, 119, 976	28, 755, 826	88, 006, 331
Federal	2, 487, 128	1, 505, 693	00,000,00

The following is a listing of the projects to be prosecuted in Chicago, together with their estimated cost and expenditures. The project approvals are through May 31, 1936, and expenditures through March 31, 1936, for the non-Federal projects. The date for the two classifications on Federal projects is March 31, 1936:

Non-Federal	Allotment	Estimated cost	Expendi- tures
Disposal plant Dormitory High schools Bridge Do.	\$58, 813, 040	\$58, 813, 040	\$22, 194, 766
	1, 653, 000	2, 040, 500	1, 459, 128
	1, 326, 000	5, 338, 415	3, 579, 546
	483, 500	1, 713, 140	1, 001, 701
	472, 500	1, 050, 000	105, 939

Non-Federal	Allotment	Estimated cost	Expendi- ture
College. Schools. Bridge Disposal plant. Approach Subway	2,000,000 356,000 106,363 100,000	\$1, 457, 000 2, 000, 000 1, 250, 000 236, 200 250, 000 1, 210, 600	\$126, 534 47, 755 8, 604 38, 465
Administrative building	228, 344 13, 367 68, 560 137, 612 95, 499 87, 121	503, 144 29, 704 153, 144 307, 272 212, 727 194, 545	9, 434 4, 194 2, 286 17, 707 8, 160 7, 933 579
Do. Do. Bridges Viaduct Park improvement	1, 972, 363 351, 818	81, 700 4, 385, 000 780, 200 6, 000, 000	66, 099 76, 996
Total	76, 119, 976	88, 006, 331	28, 755, 820

Federal	Allot- ment	Expendi- tures
Laboratory equipment	\$16, 400 9, 100 204, 100	\$16, 349 9, 100 175, 730
Damage repair————————————————————————————————————	110, 000 799 417	102, 306 799 417
Do	159, 800 224, 000 139, 000	132, 050 123, 402 117, 252
DoDo	136, 000 128, 000 468	131, 643 124, 849 468
D0	114,000 159,500 207,000	89, 985 38, 102 162, 289
Do	142, 643 127, 801 167, 500	28, 957 30, 632 65, 243
Do	978 122,000 953	978 59, 941 953
Do Do Preserve Immun Chicago Airport hangar complete	296, 000 857 19, 812	73, 579 857 19, 812
Total	2, 487, 128	1, 505, 693

Federal low-cost housing projects in Chicago

	Allotment
Project H-1401. Jane Addams addition.	\$5, 000, 000
Project H-1405. Jane Addams Home	1, 950, 000
Project H-1406. Julia C. Lathrop project	6,000,000
Project H-1408. Trumbull Park Home.	3, 250, 000

The above projects were reported by the Housing Division of the Public Works Administration as having been approved through June 30, 1936.

Additional allotments of Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935 funds were made for projects located in Cook County and prosecuted by the following agencies:

Department	Allocations by the Pres- ident (war- rants ap- proved)	Obligations	Voucher pay- ments (on basis of checks is- sued)
Treasury Department	\$232, 632	\$183, 190, 88	\$167, 185. 55
	176, 374	173, 814, 60	122, 714. 10

A summarization of the foregoing report shows that Illinois has received \$966,523,980.93, of which Chicago and Cook County have received \$602,197,820.42. This marks the total aid to date which the combined agencies of the Federal Government have granted to our city, county, and State. These millions save the city, the county, the State, the sanitary district, and the park system from disgraceful bankruptcy. The money so granted enabled these governmental bodies to employ upward of 200,000 people, hurried the payment of delinquent taxes and rents, and, besides helping these governmental bodies to readjust themselves, pumped new blood into private industry and business and put them back on their feet.

In early 1933 the great bankers and tycoons came to the National Capital and told the President very earnestly that a special session of Congress should be called and something really remedial be done by the Federal Government at once. In the course of conversations, during which they implored help for themselves, they assured the President that our country could safely withstand a public debt of \$50,000,000,-000. They said that, mind you, when they themselves were in real distress, due to their own shameful misconduct.

These bankers and tycoons were the same malefactors of great wealth, the same shameful, flagitious manipulators and racketeers who caused the ruin of most of the honest, small American investors, life-insurance policyholders, independent merchants, and small bankers. These are the very despicable vultures who in 1928–29 set the stage to wreck America; and upon their unworthy heads must rest the condemning verdict of indelible history.

These are the selfsame ingrates who appealed to the President so solicitously to save them from their own vile machinations; these are the very blatherskites, most condemnible of bad, black-hearted men, who, having destroyed 90 percent of our small banks and businesses, appealed to the President for help from the common Treasury to alleviate the inevitable results of their own diabolical wrongdoing. These are the men who did not hesitate to wipe out widows and orphans; yes, even the blind. If this statement seems too strong, I can verify it by the official records of one of your own committees, with whose headship I have been honored.

President Roosevelt clearly saw and heartily agreed that something helpful had to be done at once; and something helpful and beneficial, something saving, was done at once. The President seasonably told these advocates that to do something helpful and saving would cost real money. They agreed, and, as I have said, they even argued that, in their opinion, a national debt of fifty billion would not be menacing. They were in distress then; they have recovered, many of them completely and all to a large extent, under the wise acts of the very administration they now assail with unrestrained, traitorlike ingratitude. They are out of distress and have joined the voluble but impotent opposition, desperately trying to regain power, in the hue and cry that we cannot safely support a national debt of a little more than \$30,000,000,000 and we must balance the national Budget. It is interesting to note in this connection that, despite governmental help, 95 percent of the largest and most powerful corporations did not and could not balance their budgets in 1930, 1931, 1932, and 1933. I refer particularly to corporations and companies headed by these present-day critics who draw from one hundred to five hundred thousand dollars each a year in salaries and bonuses. They could not balance their own budgets until they received governmental loans, which loans helped very materially to unbalance the Federal Budget.

We learn with interest that the Liberty League, arch foe of all deficits except its own, is in the "red" by \$59,000, despite contributions and loans from Wall Streeters, the Du Ponts, the General Motors crowd, and others intimately connected with big business. "Physician, cure thyself."

In evaluating the work of the Democratic administration let us be specific. During the last 2 years of Mr. Wilson's administration the gross income of American farmers was about thirteen and a half billion. It had declined to about five and a quarter billion, a difference of more than eight billion, by 1932. In 1935 this income had increased to more than eight billion.

In 1932 the ratio of prices received by farmers to the prices paid by them for what they had to buy was 61, as compared to 100; in 1933 it was 64; in 1934 it was 73; in 1935 it was 85; and by the reelection of President Roosevelt I am confident it will reach 100 percent in 1937.

Cash income of farmers was four billion three hundred million in 1932; it was approximately seven billion in 1935.

On March 1, 1933, cotton was selling at an average of under 6 cents a pound; on January 1, 1936, it was selling at nearly 12 cents a pound, an advance of 92 percent.

How did the banks of the country fare during this time? In 1932 Amer The records of the Treasury Department show that during 1930, 1931, 1932, and the first few months of 1933, 1,205 In 1932 employants failed; but only 1 bank failed in 1934; and only was 82 percent.

4 banks failed in 1935. Between November 1, 1935, and the present time there have been no bank failures in the United States. During 1933, 435 suspensions took place before and during the banking holiday, while only 3 took place during the remainder of 1933. Receivers were appointed to complete the liquidation of 250 banks for suspension before and during the banking holiday, and which later reorganized with part payments to depositors.

In the five banks which were suspended during 1934 and 1935 all depositors' accounts up to \$5,000 were insured and paid in full by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, a monumental creation of the Roosevelt administration.

During this administration the Emergency Banking Act and the Banking Act of 1935 were enacted. Under these measures deposits in national banks have reached an all-time high, while the total deposits in all the banks of America have increased by more than \$6,000,000,000 in the span of 3 years.

Republican newspapers show that in Chicago alone deposits are almost \$400,000,000 greater than they were in the balmy, inflationary days of 1928-29.

When this constructive administration took over, nearly a million homes in the United States were on the verge of fore-closure. Through the Home Owners' Loan Corporation, which was established by a Democratic Congress at the request of the President, more than \$3,000,000,000 was advanced to home owners throughout our country for long-term loans at low rate of interest.

In line with the recommendation of our President, the powers of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation were enlarged. This organization has advanced more than ten and a half billion dollars for the revival of American finance and industry. Of this amount a billion and a quarter was loaned on farm products, and most of these loans have been repaid. Of the amount mentioned, one billion one hundred and seventy million went to the depositors in closed banks: six hundred and seventy million was loaned to railroads; one billion three hundred and fifty million was loaned to banks and trust companies; three hundred and eight million was loaned to mortgage-loan companies; two hundred and ninetyfour million was loaned to self-liquidating construction projects; one hundred and seventy-eight million went to agricultural-credit companies; eight hundred and thirty-two million went to purchase capital notes and debentures in about 4,000 banks; three hundred and forty-four million went to purchase securities from the P. W. A., which securities had been given by local institutions, public and private.

Since President Roosevelt was inaugurated approximately 9,000,000 wage earners have been reemployed. Moreover, this reemployment has been effected at higher wages and shorter hours. In 1932, which was before President Roosevelt was sworn in, the relatively few who were employed had to work for starvation wages, women for as little as \$3 a week, and men with families for as little as a dollar a day.

The aggregate annual income of the American people increased from thirty-nine billion on December 31, 1932, to fifty-four billion in 1935, an increase of fifteen billion.

Commercial failures in the United States have dropped from 31,822, with liabilities of nine hundred and twenty-eight million, in 1932, to 12,185, with liabilities of two hundred and sixty-four million in 1935.

The total wealth of the Nation has increased by more than fifty billion, or five times the amount of increase of the national debt in 3 years, most of which deficit has been used to help States, counties, and municipalities that could not pay their school teachers and other necessary employees, and to agriculture, business, railroads, insurance companies, building-and-loan associations, and home owners, since the incoming of the Roosevelt administration, in spite of the false claim of its enemies that it is seeking to destroy property and the profits of property.

In 1932 American industrial production was 63 percent of normal; in 1935 it was 88 percent.

In 1932 employment in America was nearly nil; in 1935 it was 82 percent.

The net income of class I railroads of the United States for 1935 was the highest since 1931, and the prospects for still further increase are recognized by all.

Industrial production increased from thirty-one billion in 1932 to more than forty-five billion in 1935, and is still growing.

To show the improvement between 1934 and 1935, I want to submit a table from the conservative Christian Science Monitor showing that earnings of 1,799 companies increased 50 percent. One of the most encouraging features of the corporate experience last year was the marked recovery in earnings of heavy industries. Building supply, machinery, and electrical equipment lines, for example, reported total profits more than double those of 1934, while the steel trade operated profitably for the first time since 1930. This shows an increase in 1935 over 1934, and the increase in 1934 was approximately 200 percent greater than 1932.

A comparison of 1934 and 1935 profits by important industries:

	Number of com- panies	1934	1935
Advertising printing and publishing	43	\$23, 921, 000	\$28, 167, 000
Advertising, printing, and publishing	20	78, 038, 000	
Automobiles	75	34, 912, 000	195, 459, 000
Automobile parts	20	12, 566, 000	78, 514, 000 22, 102, 000
Automobile tires			
Beverages	34	40, 470, 000	48, 069, 000
Building		8, 727, 000	32, 250, 000
Chemicals		124, 625, 000	158, 446, 000
Ele ctrical equipment and radio	51	29, 845, 000	54, 614, 000
Household products	48	23, 520, 000	32, 561, 000
Leather and shoes	27	13, 286, 000	18, 959, 000
Machinery	123	23, 543, 000	76, 254, 000
Machinery Metals (nonferrous)	57	97, 113, 000	147, 315, 000
Miscellane ous	179	79, 308, 000	104, 365, 000
Office equipment		16, 777, 000	22, 051, 000
Oil	99	191, 474, 000	298, 167, 000
Paper	49	8, 422, 000	9, 762, 000
Retail trade	124	147, 894, 000	165, 558, 000
Steel	48	1 11, 412, 000	57, 906, 000
Sugar	42	26, 565, 000	31, 247, 000
Textiles and apparel	105	2, 300, 000	21, 990, 000
Theaters and motion pictures		5, 565, 000	9, 442, 000
Total, industries	1,558	1, 199, 177, 000	1, 938, 899, 000
Railroads	83	1 11, 116, 000	18, 341, 000
Utilities:			Paragram (are)
Holding companies	42	162, 576, 000	182, 790, 000
Operating companies	78	176, 136, 000	183, 539, 000
Telephone and telegraph	11	120, 478, 000	149, 184, 000
Tractions	27	2, 294, 000	5, 279, 000
Total	158	461, 484, 000	520, 792, 000
Hotal, corporations	1,799	1, 649, 545, 000	2, 477, 032, 000
Canadian industries	130	55, 688, 000	65, 603, 000

¹ Deficit.

Referring further to the upturn in business, wages, and employment, here are just a few dispatches that show which way the wind is blowing:

A Cleveland dispatch says:

STEEL PRODUCTION 33 PERCENT OVER LAST YEAR

New York, July 7.—Steel-ingot production during the first half of the year showed a gain of nearly 33 percent over output for the first half of 1935, the American Iron & Steel Institute reported today

A New York newspaper carries the following:

RETAIL ADVERTISING 8.9 PERCENT OVER LAST YEAR

CHICAGO, July 8.—During the week ended June 27, 1936, retail advertising lineage in the newspapers of 66 major cities was 1,330,-646 lines greater than during the corresponding week of 1935, Advertising Age reported today.

For the week of June 27, the total retail advertising in these newspapers was 16,304,311 lines, compared with 14,973,665 lines last year, for an increase of 8.9 percent.

A New York dispatch says:

REALTY BONDS TURN UPWARD IN MIDWEST

New York, June 8.-Middle West real-estate bonds increased 0.3 percent in market value during May, according to statistics compiled by Amott, Baker & Co. on the market action of 200 typical

UNITED STATES INCOME UP 3.7 PERCENT IN APRIL, 12.9 PERCENT IN YEAR NEW YORK, June 8.—The national income of the United States

produced in April showed an extension of the rise which began in March after a 4-month recession. The national income in April

amounted to \$4,734,000,000, as compared with \$4,565,000,000 in March, an increase of 3.7 percent, according to the Alexander Hamilton Institute.

PLATE-GLASS OUTPUT SETS APRIL RECORD

Boston, Mass., June 8.—Another blue ribbon was pinned on April for the record amount of plate glass turned out by United States manufacturers. The nineteen and a half million square feet, or 440 acres, produced in April exceeded a year ago by 14½ percent, while in the first 4 months of this year 12 percent more plate glass was manufactured than in the same 1935 months.

ALL-TIME MAIL-ORDER PEAK SET BY WARD FIRM-AUTO SHIPMENTS FOR JUNE ALSO EXCEED ALL RECORDS FOR MONTH

CHICAGO, July 8.—Montgomery Ward & Co. today reported sales volume for June and for the 5-month period ending in June was the largest of any corresponding periods in the company's history.

June sales totaled \$30,330,174, compared with \$23,822,297 in June 1935, an increase of 27 percent. Sales for the 5 months totaled \$133,727,454, compared with \$112,995,864 the corresponding period last year, an increase of 18 percent.

GROUP AUTO SHIPMENTS

New York, July 8.—Members of the Automobile Manufacturers Association shipped more motor vehicles in June than in any previous June in the history of the organization.

NEW J. C. PENNEY PEAK

New York, June 8.—J. C. Penney Co. sold merchandise valued at \$20,639,830 during May, a gain of 21.58 percent over the same month of 1935, and sold \$84,341,573 in the first 5 months of 1936, an increase of 12.39 percent over that time last year; in both cases the totals are the highest for the periods in the company's history.

RETAIL TRADE EXPANDS WITH BONUS BUYING

New York, July 5.—"The general trend of business during the week was upward, with some divisions making the best showing in more than a month", Dun & Bradstreet says today. "Despite the smaller gains in the drought districts, retail distribution was advanced by the cashing of veterans' bonds and the stronger demand for apparel and vacation goods. Wholesale markets were more active, as reorders increased and fall buying gained momentum, most merchants preparing for the largest trade since

CHICAGO DEPOSITS SET ALL-TIME PEAK

CHICAGO, July 5.—Deposits in Chicago banks reached a new high record, according to figures made public in response to calls from

the Comptroller of the Currency and the State auditor.
Figures for 52 Chicago banks, 23 of them national, showed de-

posits June 30 totaled \$3,143,904,000, compared with \$2,796,153,000 3 months ago. A year ago deposits totaled \$2,626,932,000. Records show that never before in Chicago's history, even during the peak of the 1929 boom, have bank deposits here exceeded \$3,000,000,000.

MEAT PRODUCTION UP 16 PERCENT THIS YEAR

CHICAGO, ILL., July 5.—Production of meat in the United States in the first half of 1936 has been about 16 percent larger than that in the same months last year, according to estimates announced today by the Institute of American Meat Packers.

Pork production in the first 6 months of 1936 has been approximately 20 percent above that of the same period last year, and beef production this year is running about 19 percent above the corresponding 1935 figures.

BANK STOCKS RISE 3.99 PERCENT FOR WEEK

New York, July 5.—New York City bank stocks scored a substantial advance during the past week, according to records compiled by Hoist, Rose & Foster. The aggregate market value of 16 leading issues on July 3 totaled \$1,821,993,000, compared with \$1,752,065,000 at the close of the previous week, an increase of \$69,928,000, or 3.99 percent.

I have cited but a few of the examples of recovery that have come to agriculture and all industry since the inauguration of President Roosevelt on March 4, 1933.

Between 1922 and 1932 there were issued by corporations of the country and offered to the public \$50,000,000,000 in securities. A recent governmental survey shows that twentyfive billion of these securities, sold to the public during Republican administrations—and I do not mention the \$8,000,000,000 of foreign bonds sold in addition—were not worth the paper upon which they were printed. This administration passed the Securities Act, which was intended to and has cleared up this unwholesome, unfair, and dishonest condition. Under that legislation no security can be offered to the public without first being registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and every fact and circumstance surrounding the security laid before that agency. This act alone will, in my opinion, save to the innocent and worthy investors of our country from two to three billion annually, a larger amount than the annual deficit of our Government during the last few years and against which there is such bitter complaint from the predatory interests.

Up until about 50 years ago no corporation could under the law of any State own stock in another corporation. Then some States, notably New Jersey and Delaware, went into the business of charter selling and passed laws to allow one corporation to own stock in another corporation. In the years that followed there grew up what is known as the holding company. A pure holding company neither owns physical property nor operating property, but it is organized to own and deal in the securities of other corporations. These creatures of our legal ingenuity are operated by a few clever men. They are used as the agencies for disfranchising stockholders of thousands of necessary and prosperous operating companies. They are used to take the control and direction of these local companies away from those who built them and place it in a city that is in many cases far removed. Through the simple device of pyramiding, a small investment by those in control of the top holding company enables them to do as they like with hundreds of millions, and in some instances even billions, of other people's property. In one system the pyramiding goes so far as to pile one company on top of another until there are 10 corporations in the pyramiding, or the local operating company is 9 companies removed from the corporation at the top which controls it, along with hundreds of others. In this particular set-up an investment of \$1 at the top enables the managers of the top to control over \$30,000 of book value of the operating companies, or with less than \$50,000 to control over a billion dollars of book value. Many of these holding companies control properties in many States, and one became such an enveloping empire that it controlled operating properties in 32 States of the Union.

Through these devices the holding-company management, with investment of a small amount of money, were controlling a vast majority of the whole electric and power industry. Absentee management and dictatorship resulted. This Congress passed the Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 to free the operating power industry from these leeches, who were sucking the lifeblood out of the operating companies and who by these methods were trying to concentrate in a few hands control of the wealth of the country. Millions in money were spent by these rascals in hiring high-powered lawyers, corporation-inspired accountants and economists, rhetoricians, penny-a-liners, lecturers, lobbyists, and others of kindred hue for the dissemination of false propaganda in an attempt to defeat this effort to effect fair play, honest dealing, and common honesty. This insidious propaganda sank to such abysmal depths that it sought to influence the minds of even our youth through control of school textbooks; and at least one woman, presumably prominent socially and politically, was hired at six thousand a year to spread in magazine articles of supposed general interest and benefit this vicious, fatuous utility filth. You will recall that this diabolical and dastardly propaganda reached an all-time low for American citizenship when it went to the extreme of charging that the President of the United States was mentally unsound. They libelously misrepresented and shamefully maligned practically every Member prominent in the enactment of this law. Every man who was for this sort of bill was called a Communist or a Socialist or a fool.

By this act the local operating utility company will be free from these monsters in the form of pyramid holding companies and allow honest investment in local utility companies to return a profit, and the saving of more money than the holding companies have been siphoning off may be applied to the reduction of the unconscionably high rates for power and electricity that the people of this country have been

four and a quarter million dollars to electrify the farm and village homes of the country. We want to carry on our program in this, as in other things, of making life for the humbler masses easier, more pleasant, and more profitable. Nothing can come to the home life that will lift more of the burden from the backs of the masses than to have cheap electricity.

I could with pleasure and satisfaction continue to recount the innumerable important achievements of our President and his immediate helpers, but time will not allow it. I must soon close.

I could remind you of the social legislation of this administration which gives humble and willing men and women a fairer chance in a juster world. The men in industry, growing old after a lifetime of service for society in the factories, and haunted by the fear of being thrown into the street when too old to work, are now insured against that horror by the New Deal-and that is a square deal for them.

More beneficial laws have been passed in the interest of all our countrymen under President Roosevelt's administration than the average citizen can recognize, and more than in any other administration in the history of the Republic. He has had and has a constructive program, and, obviously, he has the courage and the wisdom to carry it out. Under his leadership and statesmanship we have emerged from the slough of despond and passed to the delectable mountain of a better day, and a continuation of this progress means that we shall again live in a land where there is, under law, fair play, honesty in dealings, and where opportunity, happiness, and peace abide.

When passions and prejudices have subsided: when clashing aspirations and conflicting attitudes become more tempered; when society adjusts itself to the new order in which human rights transcend property rights, and in which we are happily experiencing government that considers rule by and for the many rather than exclusively by and for the selfish few; when the historian, in a noon-clear atmosphere, writes of our present era, Franklin D. Roosevelt, able, conscientious, far-seeing, doer of big things, humanitarian, will stand as one of the greatest benefactors of his age and compare favorably with Washington, founder of the Republic; Jefferson, the fountain of its idealism; and Lincoln, the exemplar of its magnanimity and the preserver of its internal unity, among the greatest world figures of all time.

SEARS POINT TOLL ROAD

Mr. WELCH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks and to include certain communications between the attorney general of the State of California and

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. WELCH. Mr. Speaker, this bill will serve two great, important purposes-it will add to our national defense and also act as a main artery to the Golden Gate Bridge, a \$35,000,000 publicly owned public utility now under construction.

The right-of-way which the bill seeks to convey from the Navy Department to the State of California is a privately owned toll road and has been operated as such since 1928 and is known as the Sears Point Toll Road. The toll-road company acquired title to the land in question prior to that time. When the matter of purchasing this road by the State of California was before the State legislature, Admiral J. M. Reeves, United States Navy, was commandant of the Navy Yard at Mare Island, Calif., and wrote to the California State Highway Commission as follows:

I have noted with interest a proposal that the State of California purchase the Sears Point Toll Road and that this road be included in the State highway system. Such action would greatly increase the military efficiency of the Mare Island Navy Yard and I believe also that of other naval and military establishments in San Francisco Bay region.

and electricity that the people of this country have been paying.

The Sears Point Toll Road, if properly improved and incorporated in the State highway system, will afford the most direct land communication between Mare Island and other military establish-providing for a 10-year program, with an appropriation of

fications of the Golden Gate, and the Presidio of San Francisco. I hope that the military factors involved will be given such consideration as is practicable in considering this project.

This privately owned toll road had been built and operated 2 years before the Navy Department, through the Department of Justice, brought action to quit title, alleging that the title to the strip of land was vested in the Government and not in the toll-road company.

This road when reconstructed will cut off 21 miles of the present distance by road between the Mare Island Navy Yard and the military establishments referred to by Admiral Reeves.

San Francisco, as you know, is on the tip of a peninsula nearly surrounded by the waters of the Pacific Ocean, the bay of San Francisco, and the Golden Gate. A number of years ago, a movement was started to connect San Francisco, a great seaport city, with its hinterland, the Sacramento, San Joaquin, Livermore, Napa, Sonoma, and Santa Clara Valleys by a comprehensive system of highways and bridges. I am pleased to state that I have been affiliated with this constructive work since its inception.

I went to the State legislature and was instrumental in securing the passage of an act permitting the combining of two or more counties into bridge and highway districts. The Golden Gate Bridge District, the result of this act, consists of San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Mendocino, and Del Norte Counties.

It was then necessary to proceed with a bond election which required a two-thirds vote of the bridge district and resulted in the district being bonded for \$35,000,000—the estimated cost of the bridge. Mr. Speaker, from that time until this very day we have had opposition from those opposing this great public improvement. They succeeded in dragging us through the trial court and the Supreme Court of the State of California and to the Supreme Court of the United States and back again to the Federal District Court of California, and I am happy to say we won all the way-not one adverse decision.

The Golden Gate Bridge rests on military reservations on either side of the Golden Gate. It was necessary to secure a grant from the War Department, which was freely given. The War Department also allowed us a right-of-way through the Presidio and other military reservations.

Very recently, the War Department approved and Congess unanimously passed what is known as the Johnson-Welch bill, which reads in part as follows:

To grant to the State of California a retrocession of jurisdiction over certain rights-of-way granted to the State of California over certain roads about to be constructed in the Presidio of San Francisco Military Reservation and Fort Baker Military Reserva-

This bill, returning the roads to the State of California, has been approved by the President. The bill contained the following reservation:

Whenever in the judgment of the Secretary of War or his authorized representative any emergency exists which justifies it, he may assume exclusive control and management of said road and may then in his discretion prohibit, limit, or regulate traffic

The bill under consideration has been amended at the suggestion of the Navy Department and the United States Attorney General.

The proposed amendment was referred to the attorney general of the State of California for opinion. The attorney general of the State of California is a very capable lawyer and has been the attorney general of that State for approximately a third of a century. Attorney General Webb has ruled that the Department of Public Works of the State of California, under section 104 of the California State Highway Code, is not authorized to expend public moneys in the improvement of land in which the State of California has no interest. In this connection he stated:

In contemplation of law the revocable permit is a mere personal privilege to the State of California and not a right of property. It expressly states that no rights in the property itself is given but simply amounts to a personal permit, revocable instantly at the uncontrolled discretion of one Federal officer.

The difference between the so-called revocable permit and the bill under consideration with the proposed amendment is really a distinction without a difference, except that under the bill the road can and will be taken over by the State of California, reconstructed, and made a part of the State highway system and under the revocable permit this cannot be done, according to the decision of our Attorney General.

The Supreme Court of the State of California has ruled that the property within the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, heretofore described by me, is liable for any deficit in case the bridge does not pay, and under the decision the directors are authorized to levy a tax against the district.

When the bridge district was formed and the construction of the great Golden Gate Bridge across San Francisco's Golden Gate was planned, the directors of the bridge district counted upon the construction of a State highway across the right-of-way provided for in this bill. To deny the State of California the right to construct the Sears Point Road and make it a part of the State highway system would deprive the Golden Gate Bridge of at least 30 percent of its revenues. The road in question, when constructed, will bring travel by the most direct route from the great Sacramento Valley and from the great Northwest across the Golden Gate Bridge into San Francisco.

I accepted the amendment suggested by the Navy Department and approved by the Committee with the understanding that it would be satisfactory to and in harmony with the laws of the State of California. Since the Committee recommended the passage of the measure, the Attorney General of my State has ruled that the bill as it now is will be valueless to California because the reservation contained in the amendment suggested by the Navy Department destroys the grant of the easement and makes it a license. The bill, therefore, must be amended in the Senate to strike out a portion of section 2, which gives the Secretary of the Navy, at his option, the right to close the State highway at any time. If the bill were adopted in its present form, it would be a nullity, and no road could be built upon the easement granted, because the laws of California prohibit the use of public funds where the right-of-way is a license and not an easement. The bill was not amended upon the floor of the House because I desire to have its enactment expedited. Favorable action is necessary during the present session. The Golden Gate Bridge will be completed within a year, and the necessary highways leading to it must be ready for use by that time.

I am satisfied that the Navy Department will cooperate and agree that the bill may be amended in the Senate to comply with the ruling of the Attorney General of California.

I have the assurance of the Attorney General of California that the interests of the people of California will be protected and that the Toll Road Co. will not be paid for the Sears Point Toll Road until the title to the property is determined by the courts. The following communication had with the Attorney General makes this very clear:

JUNE 5, 1936.

U. S. Webb,
Attorney General, State Building, San Francisco, Calif.:
Philip J. Fay, who represents Sears Point Toll Road has wired
me today in substance that no escrow has been opened for deposit of State funds pending decision of Government's quiet title
action because my pending bill to give State easement over Sears
Point Road has not been enacted. I have just wired Fay as follows: "Government not an interested party to transaction between Toll Road Co. and highway commission. The pending
deal is for the sale of all interests of Toll Road Co. to highway
commission in tell road while the pending bill is great from Governments on in tell road while the pending bill is great from Government. commission in toll road while the pending bill is grant from Government of easement to use and improve toll road highway by State. It is my belief that escrow should be open immediately and upon such terms as is agreeable to Attorney General Webb. Please have attorney general wire when such escrow is completed so bill may be pressed here. Action must be very prompt or bill cannot be enacted this session." In advocating Sears Point Road bill I have been proceeding under belief that California State Highway Commission had entered into written agreement with private owners of road to place money in escrow and that money so placed to be refunded to the State in case courts decide in favor of Government. In the absence of such an agreement I feel I cannot urge further consideration of bill. If bill is passed it will be amended to comply with your suggestions contained in recent wire.

RICHARD J. WELCH. M. C.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF., June 7, 1936.

Hon. RICHARD J. WELCH,

House of Representatives, Washington D. C.:

Re tel fifth, legislative act empowers highway commission purchase Sears Point toll road and provides the money. Money for purchase available in State treasury. No reason for removing money from State treasury and placing it elsewhere. If pending bill be amended as suggested, and highway commission so wishes, road can be purchased and paid for upon such terms as may be arranged. If bill be amended as suggested, satisfactory title can be furnished. See our letter May 18.
U. S. Webb, Attorney General.

JUNE 7, 1936.

Hon, RICHARD J. WELCH.

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.:
Toll Road Co. is sending the following letter to Attorney General Webb: "Re Sears Point toll road sale to State. You will recall that the sale of this toll road to the State would have been con-summated had it not been for an action to quiet title by the United States Government. This action relates to approximately 7,700 acres of land and affects a part of the toll road. It is contemplated that the Government as a result of legislation now being presented to Congress and Senate will cause the execution of a deed conveying such title as it has to the State of California for use by the highway commission of the State, and thereby eliminate by the highway commission of the State, will receive. Wires use by the highway commission of the State, and thereby eliminate any question as to the title the State will receive. Wires from Congressman Welch, Washington, D. C., disclose that the thought has been suggested that if the Government prevails in its suit it should then receive that proportion of the purchase price of the toll road properly allocatable to the part thereof to which the Government quiets title. This is to advise you in order that there may be a public record of the position of the Sears Point Toll Road Co., that we will enter into such escrows and agreements and execute all documents necessary to protect the Government, and the State in this respect, and to give assurance to the Government the purchase price may be impounded until final Government the purchase price may be impounded until final judgment has been entered in the pending lawsuit." This letter serves the purpose of a present escrow and is in effect an agree-ment to create an escrow. Obviously neither the Government au-thorities nor the State authorities will permit the transaction to be consummated until the escrow is created so that there need be no apprehension in this regard.

PHILIP J. FAY.

AMENDMENT OF COASTWISE LOAD LINE ACT OF 1935

Mr. BLAND submitted a conference report and statement on the bill (H. R. 11915) to amend the Coastwise Load Line Act of 1935.

RUSSELL-KRAMER BILL

Mr. KRAMER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD at this point and include therein a letter from the American Legion and the Veterans of Foreign Wars which I have received.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. KRAMER. Mr. Speaker, many statements and arguments have been made by Members of the House both for and against the Russell-Kramer bill. I say, in all fairness, there can be a difference in opinion; some Members may take a position either way; and although I may disagree with them, I shall also respect their position.

Many fine and broad-minded American citizens misunderstand the purpose of this bill, because of its misrepresentation by its enemies and misunderstanding by others. I shall endeavor to clarify the situation the best I can, because this legislation is aimed in the direction of preserving, and not destroying, the institutions of the Government which we have inherited and built to the present day.

The Constitution provides for religious freedom, for freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and freedom of assembly. These are a priceless heritage which must be preserved for our children, for our children's children, and future generations, and when I say that it must be made unlawful for anyone to willfully and knowingly advocate the overthrow of the Government of the United States by force or violence, whether he be citizen or alien, I am safeguarding the essential principle of our democracy that this shall continue to be a Government of the people under our Constitution, and by the people under our Constitution, and for the people under our Constitution.

To permit the willful advocacy of changing our form of government by force or violence is to permit the negation of the essential principle that there is a constitutional government which may be changed only by means provided in that document—the Constitution.

I have no objection to anyone who advocates fascism, nazi-ism, or, if you will, communism. This is his inalienable right. But I will oppose him when he proposes to substitute by force or violence any one of these systems, or any other system, for our present form of government, for then he is violating the principles of our Constitution. He is opening the way in this country for what has happened in Russia and in other European countries.

The Constitution provides that there shall be liberty of speech, but it also provides—and provides explicitly—as to how changes in the Government and the Constitution may be effected. But when the Constitution itself provides a means for its amendment, and by which changes in our Government may be lawfully effected, then it cannot be held that the provision for freedom of speech is broad enough to authorize the advocacy of nullifying other provisions in the Constitution through force.

To say that the advocacy of force in changing our Constitution or our form of government is futile, in view of the firm hold which the principles of democracy have upon the overwhelming majority of the people of this country, is to completely disregard the lessons of the history of our own times

The czarist government was overthrown by a popular movement in which Kerensky, the great democratic leader, participated. It was a movement in which the people of that country overthrew the government. Yet a few months later Lenin and a handful of men overthrew the Kerensky government and imposed by force upon the people of Russia the government of the Soviets, which we describe generally as communism. Lenin and his associates were in the minority. They, however, seized the offices of government and although not workers but revolutionary theorists, imposed upon the people of Russia a government entitled "A government of the soldiers, workers, and peasants." It was, in fact, not that, but a government imposed by a group of revolutionary theorists upon the soldiers, workers, and peasants of that great country.

No further proof of this statement need be offered than a reference to the atrocities committed on the peasants, the greatest single group in Russia during these last 17 years, in an effort to make them conform to the new order. If a group of a few men could do that in a country the size of Russia, they could, under propitious circumstances, impose a similar revolutionary government upon the people of the United States.

Whenever in foreign countries a march was made they had but a few thousand men. Yet through the weakness and pusillanimity of the government they sought to overthrow they were permitted to assume supreme direction. In the years that have elapsed they have imposed a repugnant regime and have stifled any expression of adverse popular opinion.

In Europe the Austrian house painter, Hitler, and his organization were ridiculed for years. Yet he eventually succeeded in obtaining control of the government, and thereafter by a reign of blood and terror killed the German Republic and instituted in its place the dictatorship of the Swastika, and has been-and still is-causing great suffering, humiliation, and hardship to Jews and certain other classes, depriving them of their liberties and rights.

It can happen here. It could have happened here in 1933 with a country sunk in the depths of depression; its mills and factories closed, its farmers bankrupt and destitute, its banks and financial institutions insolvent and closing in great numbers everywhere, and its people taught by bitter experience that they could not rely upon either the integrity or the intelligence of their leaders.

That our economic cataclysm was not accompanied by a political cataclysm is due to the wisdom, the courage, and fidelity to the principles of our Constitution and our demo-

cratic government of one man, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, President of the United States. He assumed office in our darkest hour and has led us back into the light.

If we are to protect the heritage of our fathers, if we are to protect the principles of government for which our President has fought we must insist that such changes in our Constitution, as the times may render necessary, shall be effected only by constitutional means and not imposed

upon us by a minority through force of arms.

Does this mean freedom of assembly? Yes. press? Yes. Freedom to advocate the principles of communism, nazi-ism, or fascism, or any other ism? Yes. Freedom to establish one of these, or any other forms of government in substitution of our present form by constitutional and lawful means? Yes. But freedom to impose or advocate imposing upon the American people communism, fascism, nazi-ism, or any other ism by violence and forceno; a thousand times no!

The Russell-Kramer and the Tydings-McCormack bills are on the calendar of the Rules Committee of the House, but it takes an act of the Rules Committee to bring either bill on the floor for discussion.

Mr. Speaker, may I also read for the Record excerpts from a few letters I have received from the following: Disabled American Veterans, American Legion, Veterans of Foreign Wars, Women's National Defense, B. P. O. Elks, and the late Nathan Burkan, prominent New York City attorney?

DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS OF THE WORLD WAR, Munsey Building, Washington, May 14, 1936.

Munsey Building, Washington, May 14, 1936.

Hon. Charles Kramer,

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

My Dear Mr. Kramer: Confirming our conversation of yesterday, I would say that the D. A. V. is exerting every possible influence on the Rules Committee of the House to bring out your bill to make it a crime to advocate the overthrow of the American Government by force and violence.

It would seem as though the action of the Judiciary Committee in reporting the bill after due deliberation should be sufficient to justify a vote by the House. It appears to us that it is little more than a travesty to have the present session appropriating unprecedented amounts for the improvement and extension of the national defense to protect us from outsiders and at the same time permit active campaigning by disloyal agitators within our borders to destroy our institutions.

The D. A. V. feels that you have earned the lasting gratitude of representative Americans by your courageous and vigorous conduct in this whole matter, and we propose to continue and intensify our efforts to force the Rules Committee to give the House an opportunity to vote on your bill.

an opportunity to vote on your bill.

With kindest personal regards,

Cordially yours,

THOMAS KIRBY.
National Legislation Chairman.

Los Angeles, Calif., May 14, 1936.

Hon. CHARLES KRAMER,

House of Representatives,

Washington, D. C.
My Dear Congressman: A few days ago I read that a movement had been started in Los Angeles against H. R. 6427 and that pressure was to be brought to bear on you for its withdrawal. Not doubting your standfast qualities in the matter, I still am wondering whether anything in the way of counteraction will be of help at this time. The day the opposition came to my attention I was at this time. The day the opposition came to my attention I was attending a meeting of Federated Women's Relief Corps of this vicinity. The gathering represented possibly a thousand members, and it readily voted support of H. R. 6427 and that a letter of assurance of support be sent you. If this sort of thing is helpful, please indicate. Otherwise, you are much too busy to be bothered with ineffectual things, among which may be this letter.

Good wishes for success, as always.
(Mrs. John A.) Jennie I. Berry, Chairman, National Defense through Patriotic Education, Eschscholtzia Chapter, D. A. R.

NEWARK, N. J., March 29, 1935.

Congressman Charles Kramer:

Elks backing your sedition legislation.

EDWARD REILLY, Secretary, Newark Lodge 21, B. P. O. E.

New York, May 18, 1936.

Hon. CHARLES KRAMER.

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

My Dear Congressman: Anent your bill H. R. 6427, to prohibit the advocacy of the overthrow of the Government by force or violence, I took the liberty of discussing your stand upon this bill and the telegram that you received from a group of well-known authors, composers, and motion-picture directors and players with Mr. Abraham Robert Simon, of Simon & Garvis, 807 Equitable Building Hollywood Calif. Building, Hollywood, Calif.

This gentleman is a very excellent attorney and is probably known to you. He represents, among others, the Hollywood Reporter, and has considerable contacts with the literary, musical, and motion-picture people of Hollywood.

Mr. Simon tells me that in the discussions that he had with some

of them misconceived entirely the purpose and intent of your bill. The general impression seemed to be that it was a bill designed to prevent a change of government by peaceful advocacy. No one seemed to realize that your bill is aimed against the overthrow of the Government by feace or violence. the Government by force or violence.
With kind regards,

Yours very sincerely,

NATHAN BURKAN.

NEW YORK, May 18, 1936.

Hon. CHARLES KRAMER

Congress of the United States,
House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.
My Dear Congressman Kramer: I received yours of the 14th instant and enclosures

Mr. Berlin has assured me that he innocently signed the telegram and never understood its real tenor, purpose, or effect, and certainly had no desire to oppose any bill aimed against movements toward overthrowing this Government by violence.

He has been very active on the telephone and by letter to lay before the signers of the telegram the true facts concerning your bill, to the end that all who have signed under misconception shall have their names withdrawn from the telegram and to positive your have their names withdrawn from the telegram and to notify you of their regrets in having signed the telegram under misapprehension of the real facts.

I have been in touch with Mr. Berlin since I have first spoken to you. I have taken the liberty of sending him a copy of your letter. I am confident that you will find that ere long you will receive word of apology from those of the signers who innocently have

been misled.

It has been a pleasure indeed to present the real facts to those who have been misguided by one or two agitators.

With all good wishes, believe me,

Very sincerely yours,

NATHAN BURKAN.

NEW YORK, May 11, 1936.

Hon. CHARLES KRAMER,

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.
My Dear Congressman: I acknowledge receipt of material per-

MY DEAR CONGRESSMAN: I acknowledge receipt of material pertaining to your bill 6427.

I am enclosing herewith a copy of a letter I have this day written to Mr. Irving Berlin at his request.

He told me that he was invited to a dinner in Hollywood which was attended by the signers of the telegram. Somebody delivered a long harangue on what your bill sought to do. He was under the impression that the bill nullified the fundamental rights secured by the Constitution and abridged the vicinity of receipts. by the Constitution and abridged the right of freedom of speech and assembly.

I am persuaded that neither the speaker nor any of those present ever saw your bill or knew its language, because by no stretch of the imagination does your bill abridge the freedom of speech or the

freedom of assembly.

Very sincerely yours,

NATHAN BURKAN.

PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE HOUSE Mr. SEARS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 5 minutes.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. SEARS. Mr. Speaker, last Tuesday, after voting, I returned to Washington on the first train to continue working for the Florida cross-State canal. I am sorry my colleague, Congressman Green, has not returned to Washington. I wish he were here in order that he might listen to my

Mr. Speaker, as my colleague [Mr. Green] has mailed out to Florida his platform, which was incorporated in the extension of remarks by Congressman James P. Richards, and in that platform he has stated he has always voted for the bonus, I feel in fairness to myself and my colleagues I should frankly discuss his record and the facts.

In the Congressional Record of May 22, 1935, you will find roll call no. 81 on the bonus bill. On that roll call I am recorded voting for the bill and Congressman GREEN is recorded as voting against the bill. Clearly, it is unfair to state to one's constituents that he has never voted against the bill, but has always voted a certain way, when the RECORD shows he voted another way.

I also have in my possession a letter written by Congressman Green, dated May 21, 1935, addressed to Mr. R. B. Skipper, Branford, Fla., on the day before he voted against the bonus bill, from which I quote in part, as follows:

We have passed the bonus bill in the House and Senate and I voted for it. It comes up on veto tomorrow and I shall, in all

probability, vote for its passage over the veto. I think it should be paid in full in cash immediately.

It is not necessary for me to remind you that the day before he voted against the bill he wrote to an ex-service man as above stated-that he thought the bonus should be paid in full in cash immediately. I would certainly not be guilty of deliberately trying to mislead anyone. Desiring to deal frankly with Mr. GREEN, while he voted against the bonus bill in 1935, which was not an election year, he voted for it in 1936, which is an election year. I shall not say whether he was wrong or whether I was wrong in voting on the bonus bill, but I do emphatically state it was unfair to lead anyone to believe you voted for the bill, when, as a matter of fact, you voted in a different way.

Let me also call your attention to the fact that in March 1934, while the bill liberalizing the compensation of ex-service men and pensions of Spanish War veterans was on the calendar, you will find in the RECORD Congressman GREEN stated in part:

I want to go on record as favoring the liberalization of compen-sation and pensions of Spanish War veterans.

On March 27, 1934, on roll cell no. 116, Mr. Green voted against the bill liberalizing compensation and pensions, and I voted for the bill.

I cannot understand the reasoning of a man who states he is for something so as to secure the support of certain people, and later votes against the measure.

For the first time in the history of this country the Federal Government has recognized its obligation to the old people, the infirm, and the disabled, and a pension law has been written on the Federal statute books. I supported this law, and, while it is not all I should have liked, it was the best we could secure under the circumstances. I am sincerely interested in that unfortunate group of people no longer able to do for themselves and will continue to do everything within my power to bring about the payment of a substantial pension without delay. I will not, however, make promises I know cannot be fulfilled for the sole purpose of securing votes. I could promise a pension of \$200 and doubtless gain many votes by it, but I know, as every other Member of Congress knows, it is not possible to pass any such law. It is an admitted fact that the sales tax or the transaction tax which would necessarily have to be imposed to make such a pension possible would absolutely destroy the businessmen, the laboring men, and those who work for salaries. I want to deal frankly and fairly with my constituents and my colleagues. Let me further call your attention to the fact that my colleague, Congressman James P. Richards, secured the unanimous consent to place in the Record the record of Congressman Green, and on April 20, 1936, under the unanimous consent granted, Congressman Green placed in the Record his platform and a eulogy of himself and an appeal for political support based thereon. As proof of this let me simply call your attention to the first paragraph of Congressman Green's record, as

Mr. RICHARDS. Mr. Speaker, our colleague from Florida, the Honorable R. A. (Lex) Green, has a record of achievement which is worthy of our commendation. Under leave to extend my remarks in the Record, I include the following statement of Mr. Green's record and services.

One-third of the extension consists of supposed statements of Congressman Richards and then follows the platform of Congressman Green. I emphatically state that the eulogy of Congressman Green was not written by Congressman RICHARDS but was written by Congressman Green or someone secured by him to write it, and submit the following proof.

> CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES. House of Representatives, Washington, D. C., May 2, 1936.

Hon. W. J. SEARS Member of Congress, House Office Building, Washington, D. C.

MY DEAR COLLEAGUE: I beg to acknowledge your letter of April 30 inquiring about certain extension of remarks in the Appendix of the Congressional Record, Seventy-fourth Congress, second ses-

sion, captioned as follows: "Congressman Green's Record-Remarks of Hon. James P. Richards, of South Carolina, in the House of Representatives, Monday, April 20, 1936." In your letter you ask, "Did you write, read, or see this article before it was placed in the RECORD?"

I, of course, have no desire to get into any controversy where two of my colleagues are involved; but, as you have in good faith asked me these questions, I feel that in justice to all concerned I

am duty-bound to answer.

On the afternoon of April 20, a few minutes before Congress adjourned, Congressman L. A. Green asked me to secure consent of the House to extend my remarks in order that he might thereunder insert something concerning his record. I secured this consent for him solely because such requests from a colleague are usually acceded to as a matter of courtesy. Later on this extension was called to my attention. I did not write, read, or see the article or any part of it before it was printed in the Record. I am now serving only my second term in Congress and therefore could not vouch for the record of any Congressman covering the period prior

to my becoming a Member.

As an older Member you have been very helpful to me, and I want you to know that I appreciate the courtesies extended me

by you.

With kind regards and my very best wishes, I am, Sincerely yours,

J. P. RICHARDS, M. C.

The above letter is self-explanatory and unmistakable evidence that Congressman Green took advantage of my friend Congressman Richards, and under the guise of perfunctory permission usually granted by one Congressman to another, upon request, sought personal political gain by abusing the privilege.

Mr. Speaker, I have never made a promise for the purpose of securing votes; and I have never stated I voted one way when I voted another. I have never eulogized myself and tried to make my friends believe another had written the eulogy. Before doing so, I would resign from Congress. I am proud of my record, and whatever the future may hold in store for me I will leave a clear record of service to my State and will leave to my wife and family a heritage which money cannot buy. This to me is worth more than all the money in the world or any office within the gift of the people. I thank you. [Applause.]

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 2 minutes.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Speaker, a few moments ago the distinguished gentleman from Montana [Mr. Avers] announced his voluntary retirement from Congress. I want to take this opportunity to express the regret of the entire membership, especially on this side of the House, at the loss of the services of the distinguished gentleman from Montana in the House of Representatives.

I do not hesitate to say that no man who has come to Congress since I have been a Member of the House has more constantly kept the "common touch" or worked harder for the best interests of the farmers and all the other toiling masses of his State and of the country than has Roy Ayers, of Montana. He has also been an ardent friend of all veterans.

He was too modest to tell the House why he is leaving, but I shall take this opportunity to say that he is not retiring from public life, but the next time we meet him we may salute him as "Governor Ayers, of Montana." [Applause.]

OSCAR GUSTAF BERGSTROM (H. DOC. NO. 504)

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States, which was read, and the objections of the President ordered to be spread upon the Journal:

To the House of Representatives:

I return herewith, without my approval, H. R. 3914, an act for the relief of Oscar Gustof Bergstrom.

This bill provides that the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized and directed to pay, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to Oscar Gustof Bergstrom the sum of \$862.50, in full compensation and settlement

for all claims and demands of Oscar Gustof Bergstrom against the United States and growing out of, or arising from, the forging of his name to United States Treasury check no. 1372080, under date of February 2, 1920, said \$862.50 being paid by the Treasury to persons other than Oscar Gustof Bergstrom through no fault of his own.

Mr. Bergstrom, a World War veteran, was given a total and permanent disability rating by the Veterans' Bureau on November 1, 1918. By reason of this rating he would have been entitled from that date, had the rating continued in effect, to payment of \$57.50 per month by the Government under the terms of his war-risk insurance policy. No payment was made, however, until February 2, 1920, on which date check was drawn to Mr. Bergstrom's order in the sum of \$862.50 for the 15 monthly payments accrued for the period November 1, 1918, to January 31, 1920. The check was mailed to Mr. Bergstrom at Fort Bayard, N. Mex., and is said not to have been received by him, but that it was received, fraudulently endorsed, and cashed by other persons.

Mr. Bergstrom is said to have become aware of the issue of this check in June 1926, and failed to make claim within the limit of time prescribed in the act of June 22, 1926 (44 Stat., p. 761), which provides that-

All claims on account of any check, checks, warrant, or warrants appearing to have been paid shall be barred if not presented to the General Accounting Office within 6 years after the date of issuance of the check, checks, warrant, or warrants involved.

It would be most undesirable to establish a precedent of considering barred claims on checks where, in reliance on the bar and pursuant to law, the checks, the evidence of payment, have been destroyed.

It is furthermore of greater importance that though the veteran did not receive the benefits of the erroneous award, as is indicated by the bill, and had payment of the check not been made by the Treasury, there would be no authority for the Veterans' Administration to make payment over the period in question. This bill would, therefore, do more than authorize payment of the amount of the check. It would, for the period of payments contemplated by the check, in effect reestablish the erroneous permanent and total disability rating of November 1, 1918, and validate thereunder benefit payments which the Veterans' Administration may not make under existing law.

Franklin D. Roosevelt.

THE WHITE HOUSE, June 8, 1936.

Mr. RAMSPECK. Mr. Speaker, I move that the bill and message be referred to the Committee on Claims and ordered printed.

The motion was agreed to.

DROUGHT IN THE COTTON STATES

Mr. FULMER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 2 minutes.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. FULMER. Mr. Speaker and gentlemen of the House, I especially want to call the attention of my colleagues on this side of the House representing the Southeast to the disastrous drought that we have had for the past 60 days in a number of the cotton-growing States in that section.

I have had this matter up with a number of Members-for instance, those representing my State, North Carolina, Georgia, and Virginia-and we have had conferences with the Secretary of Agriculture about this serious situation.

For the information of those who did not take part in the conference with Secretary Wallace some days ago, I will state that the Secretary is very sympathetic toward our request for relief and apparently is very anxious to do something to help farmers who are and who will be suffering from this disastrous drought situation.

In the past we have been helpful to many other sections of the country where they have had droughts and floods, and I am hoping that Members representing the cotton States of the Southeast will join with me to the fullest extent with the hope that we may be able to have the Secretary liberalize

the National Soil Conservation Act so as to give added benefits to those who are suffering from this drought.

While speaking with the Secretary about this serious situation he instructed Mr. Cully Cobb, who is at the head of the Cotton Division, and Mr. Hutson, who is at the head of the Tobacco Division of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, to get in touch with the local committees in the State, as well as the Extension Service, so as to ascertain the real situation, the number of farmers in distress and the actual percentage of destruction of cotton and tobacco crops, so as to enable him to finally decide upon the maximum amount of relief that he would be able to render with the amount of money available at this time.

I was told by Mr. Cobb this morning that it was their intention at this time to permit farmers, including farmers who had not up to this time made application, to make application for the taking out of production the original acreage as well as additional acreage, cotton and tobacco, where they had not been able to secure a regular stand of cotton and tobacco.

I realize that with rains and where farmers are able to get their cotton up, even at this late date, they may be able to make a splendid crop of cotton, especially if they have proper seasons, and do not have a serious infestation of the boll weevil.

A REAL SURVEY REQUESTED

I am urging the Secretary of Agriculture to make a real survey of the situation so as to have the complete information as to the condition and outcome of the cotton and tobacco crops so that we may be able to secure immediate relief and some additional type of relief when we return to Congress, over and above any relief which we may secure at this time, in that, apparently, we will not be able to secure a proper amount of relief at this time because of the lack of sufficient funds to take care of the situation.

SUBSIDY AND GINNERS' CHECKS

Another important matter that I want to call to the attention of the Members representing these States where we have this serious drought is the failure on the part of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration to promptly get out checks known as the cotton subsidy checks due farmers as well as checks due to gotton ginners. If we are able to have these checks mailed promptly to farmers and ginners this will be of untold benefit, especially to these farmers who are suffering from this serious drought. This money could be used for the purpose of buying peas and other farm seed which could be planted at this time for the purpose of producing feed crops, which will be very helpful next fall.

These matters, along with many other important matters. pending in Congress, as well as in the various departments of Government, will not permit me to go down to my district at this time for the purpose of engaging in a campaign for reelection to Congress. It is true that I have opposition, and I am sure that my opponent will be covering the territory in behalf of his election to Congress. However, I feel that it is more important on my part to remain here and look after the interests of my constituents, especially on account of the serious drought that we have in South Carolina at this time, than going down to my district for the purpose of looking after my reelection to Congress.

LETTERS OF CONGRATULATION

I am receiving many letters from my constituents congratulating me on taking the lead in trying to secure drought relief and the fight that I am making in their interest not only in this instance, but at all times.

My people have not forgotten that following the serious storm of 1928 which brought destruction to crops in the Southeast that I introduced a bill and succeeded in securing \$6,000,000 for seed loans, the first seed loans secured for this section of the United States with the seed loan office located in Columbia, my district.

IMPORTANT BILLS PASSED IN CONGRESS BY ME

I want to call to the attention of my colleagues and my constituents a few more of the outstanding bills passed by the rules and regulations governing the administration of | me in Congress which have meant as much or more to the cotton States than any bills passed by the Congress in the interest of agriculture.

UNITED STATES STANDARDS COTTON GRADING ACT

The United States Cotton Grading Act passed in the Sixty-seventh Congress, my first term, has saved millions annually to the cotton industry, especially cotton farmers. Prior to the passage of this bill, all cotton was sold practically round lots, and no benefits, or practically none, were paid to farmers for extra lengths of staple. All cotton exported prior to the passage of this bill, which amounted to six to eight million bales annually, was graded by a foreign board, every member of which was a foreigner, with the result that millions of dollars' worth of claims were coming back to American exporters on account of undergrading. These claims had to be paid and were paid, in the last analysis, by the cotton farmers. Since the passage of my bill every bale of exported cotton is graded in this country and certified to by the Federal Government, and accepted in every foreign country. In other words, this standard in grading and stapling of cotton has become a world standard, all of which, as stated, has saved millions of dollars for the cotton South.

THE TRIPLE A

The Agricultural Adjustment Act, known as the A. A. A., was passed by me during the Seventy-third Congress.

This bill, for the first time in the history of this great Republic, placed farmers on an equality with tariff-protected interests.

Let us take a look at the real cash benefits, tariff benefits, for my farmers, if you please, in the eight counties representing the Second Congressional District.

Rental and benefit payments and profits on cotton options made in connection with the commodity programs from the beginning of those programs through Feb. 29, 1936, for the Second Congressional District, South Carolina, by counties

County	Cotton 1	Tobacco	Corn-hog	Peanuts	Total
Aiken	\$690, 931. 15	CUIR VIIDO	\$7, 602. 06	\$5, 156.00	\$703, 689. 21
Bamberg	365, 165. 57	\$708.16	18, 956. 82		384, 830. 55
Barnwell	478, 180. 49		13, 385. 99	981. 67	492, 548. 15
Calhoun	479, 859. 63		28, 936, 01	462. 79	509, 258, 43
Lexington	295, 263, 95		6, 812, 81	379. 41	302, 456, 17
Orangeburg	1, 260, 044. 17	1, 752. 66	75, 895. 05	1, 863. 16	1, 339, 555. 04
Richland	209, 850. 12		14, 883. 06		224, 733. 18
Sumter	746, 670. 47	65, 294. 74	33, 239. 46	251, 89	845, 456, 56
District total_	4, 525, 965. 55	67, 755, 56	199, 711, 26	9, 094. 92	4, 802, 527, 29
State total	22, 893, 227. 63	3, 221, 815. 65	541, 392, 43	13, 919, 89	26, 670, 355, 60

I Includes profits on cotton options.

Rental and benefit payments, profits on cotton options, and priceadjustment payments made in South Carolina, and farm value of the South Carolina cotton crops, 1932–35

Rental and benefit payments:

1933 program	\$4, 751, 625
Profits on cotton options	4, 875, 072
1934 program	6, 875, 546
1935 program 1	6, 467, 848
1935 price-adjustment payments 2	3, 145, 000

Total payments______ 26, 115, 091

* Estimated.

	1932	1933	1934	1935
Farm value of lint and seed	\$29, 760, 000	\$45, 300, 000	\$53, 030, 000	\$52, 167, 000
profits on options		9, 626, 697	6, 875, 546	9, 612, 848
Farm value plus payments.	29, 760, 000	54, 926, 697	59, 905, 546	61, 779, 848

Increase in 1935 farm value plus payments over 1932 farm value, 108 percent.

Division of cotton, Apr. 10, 1936.

My friends, I am proud of the fact that this bill has given to the farmers of my State over twenty-six and one-half million dollars in the way of benefits. I am of the firm belief that the people of my State and my district, as well as farmers in every section of the country, will not forget what this legislation has meant to them. This bill also authorized the Federal land banks to issue \$2,000,000,000 worth of farm-loan bonds for the purpose of making new loans to farmers and for the purpose of purchasing outstanding mortgages or trading bonds for farm mortgages. Under this provision we have taken care of more farmers in the way of direct loans and refinancing their mortgages since the passage of this bill than were made during the whole operation of the Federal land banks since the organization of those banks.

That is something to be proud of, and we are just getting under way in this line of work.

Under section 23 of the bill we provided for extension of past-due loans, taking care of past-due taxes, and so forth, all of which has saved thousands of farmers who otherwise were facing foreclosure of their land mortgages.

Section 28 of this bill authorized the pledging of these bonds to the Federal Reserve bank and currency to be issued thereon. Think of that. We are about to get farmers on an equal basis with member banks of the Federal Reserve System who are able to have the Federal Reserve System issue currency on collateral pledged by member banks.

DRAINAGE AND IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

For a number of years I have been trying to pass legislation proposing to refinance the indebtedness of drainage districts.

Section 36 authorized the R. F. C. to make loans not to exceed \$50,000,000 to drainage districts. Many of these loans have been made, buying in the outstanding bonds due by farmers located in these districts anywhere from 10 to 65 percent on the dollar, thereby saving millions for farmers and permitting these farmers to continue to own their farms.

I have one of these drainage districts in my home county, and I tell you that the refinancing of this district has kept these lands from going into the hands of a receiver and into the hands of the bondholders.

You state, "Oh, yes; but this bill—the A. A. A.—has been declared unconstitutional by the United States Supreme Court."

That is true; but there are those of us back on the farm who will never forget the real benefits secured under this bill while it was in operation, all of which will bring about a demand for something to take the place of the A. A. A.

NATIONAL RED CROSS COTTON AND WHEAT

What about my bill, passed in the Seventy-second Congress, which turned over to the National Red Cross 500,000 bales of cotton and 45,000,000 bushels of wheat to be manufactured into garments and milled into flour to be distributed to the destitute and needy people of the United States? I am sure that the textile employees living in what is known as the Horse Creek Valley section of Aiken County, S. C., my district, will not forget this Red Cross flour sent to them during the strike period at that time.

I am sure that many people received some of these benefits who were not entitled to them, but that had nothing to do with the importance of the bill. I appreciate the fact that my people have learned that the people who administer legislation passed by Congress are responsible for the manner in which Federal legislation is administered, and not the Congressman, who has nothing whatsoever to do with administering a bill after it is passed by the Congress.

I also passed a forestry bill in the first session of the Seventy-fourth Congress authorizing the furnishing of \$5,000,000 by the Federal Government without interest to buy State forest lands.

This will mean much to States like my State, that have been unable to buy forest lands because of not being able to match dollar for dollar funds furnished by the Federal Government.

The veterans' hospital located near Columbia, at a cost of \$1,300,000, under my bill will be an everlasting monument to me after I have passed over the river. This hospital has been and will continue to be an untold blessing to war veterans, and the pay rolls from this institution have been and will continue to be of untold benefit to the city of Columbia and the State of South Carolina.

¹ Through Feb. 29, 1936.

There are many other important bills to my credit, including private bills, as well as pension bills too numerous to mention here.

My people realize that it takes time in Congress to gain the prestige and influence that will enable a Congressman to pass such bills as I have been referring to, and that is why they have continued my service in Congress. Naturally it takes time to know the departments of Government, make friends both in the House and in the departments of Government, so as to be able to do things.

BILLS NOW PENDING IN CONGRESS

I want to call your attention to some bills that I have pending in Congress on which I have not been able to secure consideration during the present session, as well as others which I expect to fight for in the next session of Congress.

RESEARCH LABORATORY STATION IN THE SOUTHEAST

H. R. 4748, which proposes to establish in the Southeast a large research laboratory station for the purpose of experimenting in the utilization of whole cotton. It is found by a test in a small way that whole cotton, stalks, cotton, burrows, leaves, and squares, when put through a proper process, will produce 52 percent cellulose, out of which we can manufacture millions of dollars' worth of manufactured articles. We imported last year \$65,000,000 worth of spruce to be processed into cellulose that we can substitue whole cotton for.

We must find new uses for cotton and the byproducts on the farm. Every well-organized industry has taken advantage of research work, but farmers have not because they are unorganized and have not the money.

NET WEIGHT SELLING OF COTTON

H. R. 8631, known as my net-weight bill. We are baling the same old bale of cotton that our grandfathers packed with a horsepower press. We continue to sell on gross weight and put on 21 pounds of ties and jute bagging at the gin and permit exporters to patch on 9 more pounds of jute, making a total of 30 pounds, shipping this useless and disgraceful tare, bagging, all over the United States and to every foreign country in the world. No other cotton country in the world sells cotton on the old costly method of gross weight.

The passage of this bill will save millions annually in freight, insurance, and waste and enable us to use about 200,000 bales of cotton, mostly low-grade cotton, in manufacturing same into cotton bagging. Let us wrap cotton in cotton.

This bill is endorsed by cotton mills, cotton buyers, cotton farmers, and the committee appointed by the President of the United States to investigate the complaints of the New England textile mills. In fact, by the cotton industry as a whole, including agricultural commissioners, and the only group opposing the bill is the jute interests.

PECAN STATION-ORANGEBURG COUNTY

H. R. 21, proposing to establish a pecan station at or near Orangeburg. Growing pecans can and should be made a profitable crop.

H.R. 22. A reforestation station to be established in South Carolina. We have neglected reforestation too long already. What are we doing that will prove to be expensive to future generations? Cutting down the forests without any attempt to replace same.

TOBACCO EXPERIMENTAL STATION—SUMTER COUNTY

H. R. 76. A tobacco experimental station for Sumter County. We can grow as good tobacco in my district as any district in the State. We can, also, grow as good tobacco in South Carolina as any State. Then, why let North Carolina get away with the cash that our people are entitled to?

1937 SEED LOANS

H.R. 10213. A seed-loan bill for 1937. This type of loan has proven to be a godsend to our farmers, many of whom, had they not been able to get seed loans, would today be on relief rolls and unemployed.

BANKHEAD BILL COTTON TAX

H. J. Res. 535. Proposing to return to farmers all money expended for Bankhead cotton-tag tax. The Bankhead bill

was clearly unconstitutional and the Government has no right to withhold this money which belongs to those farmers who spent their hard-earned money as a tax or in buying tags.

LOWER FREIGHT RATES FOR SOUTH

H. R. 7883. Which proposes to make it mandatory in appointing members of the Interstate Commerce Commission to appoint not more than one member from each of the 12 land-bank districts. At the present time there are three members on this Commission from the New England States. All of these years we have not had a member on this Commission from the Southeast until recently a man was appointed from Alabama. We of the Southeast are much farther from the great eastern markets than the New England States, yet we pay per mile considerably more freight than do the shippers of the New England States. I expect to continue my fight to remedy this antiquated, this unfair, discriminatory procedure in rate making on the part of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

WAR CLAIMS THAT SHOULD BE PAID

H. R. 244. Authorizes the Federal Treasury to pay to St. Stephen's Lutheran Church, Lexington, S. C., \$1,800, for the burning of this church by Sherman's army.

H. R. 239. Authorizes the Federal Treasury to pay to the Ladies Ursuline Community, of Columbia, S. C., \$100,000, for damage and destruction of its property by Sherman's army.

H. R. 242. Authorizes the Federal Treasury to pay to the Washington Street Methodist Church, Columbia, S. C., \$25,000, damage and destruction to its property by Sherman's army

R. F. C. LOANS REFINANCE BUSINESS PROPERTY

H. R. 11. Authorizes the R. F. C. to make loans to refinance business property. Why not? We have provided for others, and merchants at this time, more than ever before, need this assistance and at a lower rate of interest than they are now paying, in that they need what cash they may have to help carry on their business.

MAKE IT A FEDERAL CRIME

H. R. 3036. To make it a crime to advocate or promote the overthrow of the Government of the United States by force and violence. I contend at this time when we have in some sections of the country those who are communistically inclined and with thousands of aliens who are living in the United States who should be deported and who are coming here for the sole purpose of Russianizing this country that by all means this bill should be passed.

FEDERAL COURT FOR SUMTER

H. R. 6516. Proposes to hold Federal court at Sumter by creating a district embracing Sumter, Clarendon, and Lee Counties.

COTTON TWINE

H. R. 77. Proposes to use cotton twine in tying the mails of the United States to take the place of jute twine that is now being used. I contend that it is un-American for Uncle Sam to advocate America for Americans and then proceed to tie the mails of the United States with jute twine, a product of India.

PROTECTING SOUTH CAROLINIANS

H. R. 12576. Forbidding the letting of a star-route contract where the route originates within the State to a citizen from any other State. This has happened in South Carolina this year in sending a man from Arkansas into my State. This is unfair, and I am against any such procedure.

A TARIFF ON JUTE AND JUTE PRODUCTS

H. R. 17. A bill proposing to place a tariff on jute and jute products imported into this country. I have been fighting for this for many years, and it appears from the interest shown during this session of the Congress that the day is not far distant before we shall be able to protect our cotton farmers, cotton mills, and our cotton-mill workers from this arch enemy of the cotton South.

Why the South has gone along all of these years paying millions of dollars to tariff barons in other sections and sitting idly by and permitting India, the next largest cottongrowing country to the United States, to ship into this country pound for pound enough jute and jute products to take our own markets away from us to the tune of 3,000,000 bales of cotton annually is beyond me.

India is increasing her cotton production and thereby taking a large part of our foreign markets away from us. Last year Japan bought 1,500,000 bales of Indian cotton and is not only increasing her sales in foreign markets, especially for our coarse cotton goods, but is mixing this type cotton with American cotton and exporting large increasing quantities into the United States at the expense of our farmers, cotton mills, and textile employees in the United States. In the meantime, as stated, we are permitting India to flood our own markets with jute and jute products grown by pauper labor. How much longer will our farmers, the cotton mills, and the unemployed cotton-mill workers stand for this?

I am willing to stand on my record and continue my fight on this most important matter. I am hoping that the people back in the cotton States will become posted on this important matter to the extent that they will see to it that their representatives in Congress shall join with me in my fight which is necessary to lick the jute interests and put this fair and constructive proposition over.

ORGANIZING FOR THE PROTECTION OF MY PEOPLE

I am now organizing the South, cotton mills, farm organizations, agricultural commissioners, Association for New Uses for Cotton, cotton merchants' associations, cooperative associations, as well as every other interest, other than the jute interests, with the hope that we will be able to put enough "heat" from these interested organizations in the various cotton States behind those representatives in Congress from the South, so as to be able to place a tariff on this arch enemy of the South, jute.

God forbid that we shall ever again plow under four million bales of perfectly good American cotton at the expense of the Government, farmers, cotton pickers, ginners, and oil mills of this country; rather, let us preserve for cur people our markets, as indicated. It would have been better for everyone concerned to have manufactured this cotton and cottonseed, giving same to the destitute and needy.

Today the F. E. F. A. under Hopkins is buying millions and millions of yards of cotton cloth, furnishing same to the sewing rooms of the country with the taxpayers' hard-earned money. This is being done for two reasons, first, to help those in distress and, second, to help get rid of that much cotton. This is a strange procedure in both instances, in plowing under cotton and buying cotton with the taxpayers' money when we have home markets that could be utilized to the extent of from two to three million bales.

Think what this would mean to cotton mills and textile workers, if we would thus protect our own markets. It would put 150,000 additional cotton-textile employees to work.

FEDERAL AID FOR RURAL POST ROADS

Besides these bills which, as stated, I now have pending, I am going to fight for other special legislation, including a bill that will do what the Congress has been promising under Federal-road-aid bills in the past—that is, to earmark a certain portion of these funds to be actually used on rural post roads, whether in or out of the road system—and I don't mean maybe.

CROP INSURANCE

The time has come, because of frequent storms, floods, and droughts, to pass permanent legislation insuring crops from destruction, as, for instance, the serious, extended drought in the Southeast at this time. Every other line of business is covered with insurance. To preserve any benefits that the State and Federal Government may give to farmers and to maintain and insure the debt-paying and purchasing power of farmers, as stated, this important matter must not be further delayed. Just think what it would mean to cotton farmers in the Southeast at this time, as well as business and the Government that have made millions of loans, seed loans

and other loans, to farmers, if crops were covered with insurance.

I expect to advocate this type of legislation in the next session of Congress.

ONE AND ONE-HALF PERCENT INTEREST RATES

I am for legislation like the Frazier-Lerke farm-mortgage refinancing bill, which was voted down during this session of Congress. There is no excuse for farmers having to pay from 3½ to 8 percent on land mortgages when others have the right to have currency issued free, except about 30 cents per thousand dollars, the cost of the paper and the expense of printing. This legislation proposes a rate of 1½-percent interest and the issuing of currency in an amount of \$3,000,000,000 if these bonds do not sell. I made a speech some days ago that will take care of the charges of the Liberty League and others that this bill is an inflationary bill.

FARM-TENANT BILL

A bill that we expect to give careful consideration to in the next Congress is a bill that will enable the Federal Government to help make landowners out of that large class of farmers who are now tenant farmers, having lost their lands during the depression beginning in 1921 under a Republican administration. Many of these farmers are worthy and capable, having lost their lands by no fault of theirs. There are lots of young men and women that will make good if they can get a chance under this type of legislation.

MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTION OF FARM PRODUCTS

The most important pieces of legislation that should be passed, which has been neglected all of these years, is to establish a real up-to-date marketing and distributing system for farm products. Until this is done whatever we may do for farmers will not solve the marketing problem and price fixing and price protecting of farm products, both in the interest of farmers and consumers.

I have been made a real collecting agent since I have been elected to the Congress because of the unfair treatment received by shippers of farm products, who send their claims and complaints to me.

There are thousands of useless middlemen, brokers, commission men and pin hookers, who are controlling the market and distributing of the farmers' products today, and they are sapping the very lifeblood out of both the farmer and the consumer.

Every other well-organized group has an up-to-date marketing and distributing system. Look at General Motors. They control, along with Henry Ford, the automobile business, and they fix the distribution and the price right down from the factory to the States, counties, and to the actual buyer of an automobile.

Look at the International Harvester Co. and a number of other manufacturers of farm implements. They are organized, and they completely control the distribution of their products, when and where, and at a fixed price that is absolutely destroying the unorganized farmers who have to pay unreasonable fixed prices for their farm implements. If we do not propose to enforce the antitrust laws of this country, thereby bringing about fair competition and fair prices, then I am for regimentation of these monopolistic groups and the placing of unorganized, independent merchants, farmers, and wage earners on an equal basis by the assistance of the Federal and State Governments.

These independent and unorganized classes have no bargaining power either in buying or selling. No wonder 65 percent of the farmers of South Carolina are tenants, having lost their farms, many of which were handed down from their grandfathers. No wonder independent, unorganized merchants are being forced out of business. No wonder so many strikes by the great laboring class of people are occurring from time to time. These things will continue until proper legislation is passed and until the unorganized groups are protected from the unfair, monopolistic, price-fixing groups, both in buying and selling.

Just think about what is going on and that has been going on along this line. The plowing under of 4,000,000 bales of

cotton, while we have millions of ragged American citizens, with the hope that we may be able to force cotton prices up. Just think for a moment that God Almighty has to bring about a flood, drought, or boll weevil infestation to help farmers secure a better price for the little they have left.

In the next place, when farmers are blessed with a good, crop the prices go down below the cost of production, and after his products have passed through the valley of death and destruction these well-organized groups that I have been talking about, including speculators on the cotton exchanges, are able to fix prices and they become the beneficiaries of what we might call blood money.

What the farmer wants to know is when he raises a crop that he can find a buyer for it. His principal interest is obtaining a fair price for his products, plus a stable market,

in which demand is closely in line with supply.

The good bills that I have referred to which I have already passed do not satisfy me, and I therefore propose, as usual, to fight and continue to use my influence with my colleagues and with the various departments of Government, which I have gained because of my long service in Congress, in bringing about these much-needed, constructive pieces of legislation.

In closing may I state that I have every reason to believe that my constituents realize that they have an honest, hardworking Congressman in Washington-one whom they can hear from, one who has been bringing the "bacon" homeand they will not forget his untiring efforts in the way of prompt and efficient service and his services along constructive legislative lines on the 25th day of August next.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record as they may exceed two pages.

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman's remarks contain anything besides his own remarks that exceed two pages he must get permission.

Mr. BEITER. These are my own remarks, Mr. Speaker. The SPEAKER. Then the gentleman does not need any further permission.

AIR RESERVE TRAINING CORPS

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States, which was

To the House of Representatives:

I return herewith, without my approval, House bill No. 11969, entitled "An act to promote national defense by organizing the Air Reserve Training Corps."

The purpose of this measure is to create a new organization in the scheme of national defense. It contemplates the enrollment of the youth of the country between the ages of 17 and 24 years in a junior Air Reserve Training Corps, with a view to their being held available for service in the event of a national emergency.

The War Department has reported that there is no immediate military necessity for such an organization, and that its cost would be out of proportion to the results looked for. In view of the many demands to produce the most effective air defense at a minimum cost, any expenditures which are not present military necessities should be postponed. For the present the system of Air Reserves in its concept is satisfactory and needs only further amplification to make it effective. We are spending very large sums for mechanization, motorization, and modernization of equipment of all kinds, including air defense. We are meeting acute shortages in Army housing, in fortification, and officer strength. These vital matters should be provided for first.

While the estimated initial expenditure to make this measure effective is comparatively small, the demands now being made upon the Treasury to produce an effective air defense at a minimum cost are such that any expenditures which are not pressing military necessities must be postponed.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, June 8, 1936.

The SPEAKER. The objections of the President will be spread upon the Journal.

Mr. McSWAIN. Mr. Speaker, I move that the message be ordered printed, and that the message, together with the bill to which it refers, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

The motion was agreed to.

UNLAWFUL DETENTION OF FINNISH SAILING VESSELS

The SPEAKER also laid before the House the following message of the President of the United States, which was read, and, with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on War Claims:

To the Congress of the United States:

I transmit herewith a report of the Secretary of State in regard to claims presented to the Department of State by the Government of Finland in behalf of the owners of certain Finnish sailing vessels which are alleged to have been unlawfully detained in ports of the United States in 1918.

I recommend that, as proposed by the Secretary of State. the necessary legislation be enacted to permit the owners of the vessels to present their claims to the Court of Claims. A draft of a bill suitable for that purpose accompanies the Secretary's report.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, June 8, 1936.

JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. O'CONNOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert in the RECORD a letter addressed to the Clerk by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, and a resolution adopted by that Board in respect to the late Speaker BYRNS.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. O'CONNOR. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following letter addressed to the Clerk of the House by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and a resolution adopted by that Board in respect to the late Speaker BYRNS:

> FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD, Washington, June 6, 1936.

Hon. South TRIMBLE,

1936.

Clerk, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C. MY DEAR MR. TRIMBLE: I am enclosing herewith copy of a resolution adopted by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board on June 4,

Very truly yours,

R. L. Nagle,

Secretary to the Board.

P. S.—A copy of this resolution has been sent to Mrs. Byrns.

Be it Resolved, That the Federal Home Loan Bank Board hereby expresses its profound regret at the great national loss in the death of Hon. Joseph W. Byrns, Speaker of the House of Representatives, and expresses its sympathy to the members of his family in this sudden and distressing affliction; and be it further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to his family and one delivered to the Clerk of the House of Representatives.

CONGRESSMAN MITCHELL HITS BACK

Mr. MITCHELL of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include therein a short editorial taken from the Washington Tribune of June 5 last.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. MITCHELL of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following editorial from the Washington Tribune of June 5 last:

With a characteristic story of two Irishmen who read on a tombstone, "Not dead, but sleeping", to which Mike commented, "If I was dead, I would not lie about it", Congressman Mitchell closed a smashing reply to a speech that had been made by Congressman Robsion, Republican, for Negro consumption.

As the Congress draws to a close, opportunity is seized to get into the Record campaign material, and the G. O. P. has amassed

all its oratory to overcome the devastating attack made by Congressman Michell in a former speech in which he held up the old party to scorn for its betrayal of the Negro.

Congressman Rossion was chosen to cover Mr. Mitchell's advance, but he and the Republicans found the first colored

Democrat to occupy a place in the House of Representatives prepared and with reinforcements. This last speech by Mr. MITCHELL not only defended his former position but drove home in telling fashion new body blows to the badly wounded fealty between the Old Guard and its long-suffering colored followers. Mr. MITCHELL demonstrated, in fact, that a new type of Negro has been born since the Republican Party decided to take the colored voter for granted. Mr. MITCHELL showed that we have come at last to understand America and the relation of political adherence to party organization upon the basis of aims, needs, and expectations here and now, not upon a basis of some past event connected with the dead.

"It is not a question of which party wants us; it is a question of which party offers us a better opportunity to rise in this country and live as citizens should live," he said, in making clear why the Negro has shifted his allegiance to the Roosevelt and Garner

banner.

Congressman MITCHELL has improved as he has spoken, and Monday, June 1, conducted himself in a manner that challenges comparison with anything that has been said upon the subject of the Negro in politics. From our long experience with legislative procedure and personalities, we unhesitatingly commend him to his constituents in Chicago; not only they but we, all of us, are well represented by Congressman A. W. MITCHELL, of Illinois.

ENROLLED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTION SIGNED

Mr. PARSONS, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that that committee had examined and found truly enrolled bills and a joint resolution of the House of the following titles, which were thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H. R. 4688. An act to authorize the operation of stands in Federal buildings by blind persons, to enlarge the economic opportunities of the blind, and for other purposes;

H.R. 6772. An act to amend the Grain Futures Act to prevent and remove obstructions and burdens upon interstate commerce in grains and other commodities by regulating transactions therein on commodity futures exchanges, to limit or abolish short selling, to curb manipulation, and for other purposes;

H.R. 7690. An act to authorize the coinage of 50-cent pieces in commemoration of the two hundred and fiftieth anniversary of the founding of the city of Albany, N. Y.;

H. R. 8234. An act to authorize the coinage of 50-cent pieces in commemoration of the one hundredth anniversary of the founding of the city of Elgin, Ill., and the erection of a heroic Pioneer Memorial;

H. R. 8271. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to insure adequate supplies of timber and other forest products for the people of the United States, to promote the full use for timber growing and other purposes of forest lands in the United States, including farm wood lots and those abandoned areas not suitable for agricultural production, and to secure the correlation and the most economical conduct of forest research in the Department of Agriculture, through research in reforestation, timber growing, protection, utilization, forest economics, and related subjects, and for other purposes", approved May 22, 1928;

H. R. 8455. An act authorizing the construction of certain public works on rivers and harbors for flood control, and for

other purposes;

H. R. 9183. An act to provide for the extension of the boundaries of the Hot Springs National Park in the State of Arkansas, and for other purposes;

H. R. 11533. An act to authorize the coinage of 50-cent pieces in commemoration of the seventy-fifth anniversary of the Battle of Gettysburg;

H.R. 11687. An act to amend the Federal Aid Highway Act, approved July 11, 1916, as amended and supplemented, and for other purposes;

H. R. 11920. An act to increase the efficiency of the Air Corps:

H. R. 12329. An act to reenact section 259 of the Judicial Code, relating to the traveling and subsistence expenses of circuit and district judges:

H. R. 12848. An act to provide an additional place of holding terms of the United States district court in the eastern district of Kentucky, and to amend section 83 of the Judicial Code, as amended; and

H. J. Res. 467. Joint resolution authorizing the erection of a memorial to the late Haym Salomon.

The SPEAKER announced his signature to enrolled bills of the Senate of the following titles: S. 1073. An act for the relief of Louis Finger; and

S. 3818. An act to provide for the adjustment and settlement of certain claims for damages resulting from the operation of vessels of the Coast Guard and Public Health Service.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

IN MEMORIAM

Mr. FENERTY. Mr. Speaker, under general leave to extend my own remarks, I include the following tribute to our late Speaker, Hon. JOSEPH W. BYRNS:

IN MEMORIAM

HON. JOSEPH W. BYRNS, SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE (By Hon. Clare Gerald Fenerty, of Pennsylvania)

O Death, thou wert unkind; why didst thou dim
Those smiling eyes that saw but to console,
Like windows pouring light from out his soul
Into our sunless hearts? Why didst thou limn
With icy touch those lips that knew to brim
With love made vocal for our land? Now toll
Ye bells!—immortal now upon our roll
He lives; and eyes are wet with thought of him,
Ah, Death, not thine the laurels; he shall rob
Thee of thy verdict, nor canst thou decree
A stillness to that heart that knew not dross—
That sacrificial heart whose every throb
Was but a bead in Honor's rosary,
Whose mysteries have led him to the cross.

HON. JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. O'CONNOR. Mr. Speaker, last Friday was the saddest day in the history of the House of Representatives. There is no precedent in the 147 years of its existence for such an occurrence as a Speaker dying while the House was in session. The shock of it all is still with us and will remain indelibly imprinted on our minds.

The Speakership of the House of Representatives of the United States of America is the highest office in all the world, next to President of our United States. Only great men have filled that office. No occupant was ever unworthy of the trust imposed upon him. They all realized the responsibility of presiding over the representative branch of the Federal Legislature, the body most nearly responsive to the will of the electorate.

In that long list of illustrious statesmen we now inscribe the name of Joseph Wellington Byrns, a Representative from the State of Tennessee and Speaker of the Seventyfourth Congress from January 3, 1935, until 12:15 a.m. of June 4, 1936.

To know him was to love him.

In a legislative body the real esteem in which a member is held may be measured, not merely by the respect and affection of his colleagues, but more exactly by the affection in which he is held by the officials and employees from the highest clerks down to the little page boys.

When the astounding news reached this Chamber Thursday morning that our beloved Speaker was dead the grief of no Member exceeded that of the tiniest page. Such love few men bring forth.

To adequately eulogize Joe Byrns would require the eloquence and the perception which few men possess. A mere narrative of his life and achievements is worthy, however, of record and is an inspiration to the youth of America.

Born on a farm near Cedar Hill in Robertson County, Tenn., he grew up to manhood and lived with all the problems of the farmer, whose champion he was during all his legislative career. After attending the public schools of his county he was graduated in law from Vanderbilt University in the city of Nashville, which thereafter became his home and the place in which he practiced his chosen profession—the law.

Joe Byrns came to the House of Representatives well trained in legislative procedure. For three terms he was a member of the lower house of the Tennessee Legislature and 37 years ago was the speaker of that body. In 1900 he was elected to the senate of his State and was thereafter elected to the Sixty-first Congress. During 14 Congresses, nearly 28 years, he has represented his State and the country as a Member of the House of Representatives. Only one Member of the present House outranked him in service by one term, and only one other Member equaled his length of service.

No man ever came to the Speakership with greater training. He was successively chairman of the Appropriations Committee, majority leader, and Speaker. No Member had a greater knowledge of the procedure and practices of the House. He had lived it all. It was not theory to him. He took a leading part in the development of modern parliamentary procedure in the House.

Such was our late Speaker.

When strong men weep someone worth while has passed on, not soon to be forgotten.

So we gathered here in the Hall of the House of Representatives in the presence of his remains in joint session with the Senate of the United States, and among the invited guests were the President of the United States, his Cabinet, the Justices of the Supreme Court, the representatives of the Diplomatic Corps, the Army, the Navy, and all the branches of our Government, which he loved so much.

Few men have had this signal honor bestowed upon them. Few ever deserved it. If ever any man was entitled to the respect which was shown here, it is our beloved Speaker.

PROGRESS REGISTERED IN CAMPAIGN FOR EQUAL-RIGHTS AMENDMENT

Mr. LUDLOW. Mr. Speaker, occasionally, in the long sweep of years, we are privileged to witness an epochal event in the progress of the human race. Such an event when it occurs may be so overshadowed by other happenings that it will pass almost unnoticed, but gradually the cloak of temporary obscurity will disappear and it will loom up large in

the perspective of history.

Such an event, in my opinion, was the action of the Judiciary Subcommittee of the House of Representatives the other day in ordering a favorable report on House Joint Resolution No. 1, the proposed equal-rights amendment to the Constitution of the United States. As the sponsor of the amendment in the lower branch of the Congress I hail the action of the subcommittee with the deepest sentiments of gratitude and with a keen appreciation of the significance of this victory in the first important battle of the campaign to raise women to a legal status comparable with that of men in all of the affairs of life.

There will be other battles—perhaps many of them—before the legal status to which women are entitled, coequal with men, is made permanent and secure in our fundamental law, but this initial victory will give heart and hope and cheer to the militant hosts who are enlisted in the cause of women, and will be a powerful incentive to spurring them on to further achievements. It is not too much to expect that the favorable action of the subcommittee in this Congress will be followed by similar favorable action by the full Committee on the Judiciary early in the next Congress, and that within a few years at the longest Congress will adopt the resolution and send the proposed equal-rights amendment out to the State legislatures for ratification.

In the evolution of the centuries women have progressed by slow and painful process, often with great travail, from the status of slaves and chattels to be the equals of men in the ballot booths, but they cannot acquire the absolute franchise of freedom to which they are entitled and the position they should occupy in society until the equal-rights amendment makes them equal with men in all things before the law.

OPPONENTS ARE CHALLENGED

I challenge anyone who thinks to the contrary to give one legitimate reason why women should not be raised to this position of equality with men. I defy anyone to cite a single objection that will stand the test of reason and conscience. I know that we still have among us Bourbons and Tories who would like to keep women altogether out of politics and public affairs and consign them to the perennial role of cradle rocker and kitchen mechanic, but, thank God, modern public sentiment regards women as something higher in the human equation than slaves and chattels and even the Bourbons and Tories who so long obstructed the nineteenth amendment must see the handwriting on the wall. The best evidence of this is found in the fact that no group of hard-boiled, reactionary politicians anywhere in America today is demanding the repeal of the nineteenth amendment.

History has a habit of repeating itself and I predict that the history of the nineteenth amendment will have its counterpart in the history of the equal-rights amendment. The Tories and the reactionaries will hold out for a long time against the equal-rights amendment but finally they will be obliged to accept it as the natural and inevitable corollary and complement of the equal-suffrage amendment and tranquillity will again reign and America will be better off.

When I say that America will be better off by raising women to their full stature to share coequally with men the obligations and opportunities of responsible members of society, I am not indulging in an idle and thoughtless remark, as history proves. There is no light like the light of experience. The best way to judge how women will discharge their responsibilities in the future is the way they have discharged them under the nineteenth amendment.

The more I see of women in politics and in public activities the more I am pleased to take up their battle. They are making good. They are a great leavening influence in industry and in our public life. As a rule, they stand for the better things, and by the use of the suffrage they have obtained, they improve the quality of the public service and strengthen the Nation's bulwarks. I have great faith that the righteous power of the women when once aroused will be a tremendous force in guiding the Nation to a higher and happier destiny.

The women voters of our country do not believe that our boys should be hurled into the shambles of foreign wars and their influence will be everlastingly for peace. They do not condone any traffic that destroys the souls of men and women. They believe in upholding the moral standards. Given equal rights and full opportunities to exercise those rights their influence will be a constant uplifting force for high ideals of citizenship at home and peaceful relations with all the world. Is not that influence worth encouraging and cultivating?

WITH WOMEN ALL THE WAY

During the historic campaign that led to the adoption of the nineteenth amendment to the Constitution of the United States it was my privilege to side with members of the Woman's Party, all along the way. It was a campaign of many discouragements and grueling hardships, and hope too often deferred; of bitter disappointments that tested the mettle of our fighting comrades of the opposite sex and often made us sick at heart. Yet there was no lagging, no faltering, no showing of the white feather, for we knew that our cause was righteous, and that it is written in the book of fate that righteousness shall ultimately prevail. As a newspaper correspondent in Washington, without a thought that I would ever enter public office or seek political preferment, I gave the best that was in me to the cause; not only because I believed in the justice of the cause, but through sheer admiration for the pluck and perseverance, the sportsmanship, and the eternal grit of those feminine proponents.

I recount my small part in the struggle for equal suffrage not in a vainglorious spirit nor to attract any credit to myself, for I deserve no credit for doing a citizen's duty in a worthy movement, but to explain why I appeared as sponsor of the Lucretia Mott amendment in the Seventy-second and Seventy-third Congresses and now in the present Congress.

The first great stronghold taken in the battle for the emancipation of women was the stronghold of equal suffrage. Now, with hopes buoyed by the victories of the past, and with righteousness still written high on their banners, they are moving on to capture the second stronghold, which will make their emancipation complete, the stronghold of equal rights. Equal rights is the corollary of equal suffrage. Without equal rights, equal suffrage is incomplete. To secure equal rights it is proposed through Federal constitutional amendment to establish at one time and at one stroke rights of women coequal with men in all of the States and possessions of our country. It is proposed to wipe out forever such ridiculous and silly discriminations as today deny to women equal guardianship of their children, a responsibility for which an all-wise Providence has especially endowed them. It is proposed to take down the nonsensical barrier which 27 States have erected against women serving on juries. It is proposed to say to every State under the American flag: You shall not discriminate in your employment statutes against women who bravely seek to earn bread for themselves and their loved ones.

It is proposed to say to all of the States: You shall give women citizenship rights, property rights, equal to those of men.

It is proposed to sponge out of our State statutes the monstrous falsehood that there should be a dual standard of morals, with legal escape for the male delinquent and legal penalty for the woman who is caught in sin. In short, it is proposed by this amendment to give to women equal rights with men in every field of law. It is only through a Federal constitutional amendment that the widely varying discriminations against women that disgrace the statute books of many States can be wiped out and equality of rights for men and women can be obtained throughout the length and breadth of the Union.

When the proponents of equal rights, remembering me kindly from the associations of the past, asked me to introduce the amendment I was glad to do so. I believe as surely as God is in His heaven that this amendment will sometime be written into the Constitution of the United States, and I will be happy if that achievement comes in my time, and especially happy if I can be an instrument, and a very humble instrument, at that, in the fulfillment of women's magnificent destiny.

NONDISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT

If I were to give the equal-rights amendment a new name, I think I would call it the nondiscrimination amendment. Nondiscrimination, I believe, would be a little more accurate description of the purpose of the proposed constitutional amendment than the term "equal rights."

There is no doubt that the statutes of many States—perhaps all of them—discriminate against women to their great disadvantage. One woman who is interested in the equal-rights amendment has compiled a list of 1,000 instances of rank discrimination against women in State statutes, and she has not had time to give even cursory examination to the statutes of some of the States to see what additional discriminations lurk therein.

I have already referred to the statutes of some of the States forbidding women from serving as guardians of children. How grotesque, unnatural, and perfectly foolish is such a law. Who is better qualified to serve as the guardian of a little child than a good woman with the mother sympathy and the mother heart? These discriminations exist right at our national seat of government. In the District of Columbia when a son or daughter dies without a will the father inherits to the exclusion of the mother, an outrageous rule which caused one of the courts to say:

We cannot conceive why the mother, who has spent her youth in a labor of love and devotion to her child, should, after burying it from her sight in its narrow house, be turned from her home a beggar, according to law, with neither the consolation nor compensation of sharing in the property of her dead child.

In Massachusetts, Michigan, and New York, the services and earnings of a minor child belong to the father.

DOUBLE STANDARD OF MORALS

The double standard of morals is recognized in some States. In Maryland a man may divorce his wife for misconduct before marriage but a divorce is not available to a woman on that ground. In Minnesota a husband whose wife is led astray may collect damages from the guilty third party. On the other hand, no wife whose husband is led astray may claim compensation from the guilty third party.

In 40 States the services of the wife in the home belong to the husband. As a result of the rule that the services of the wife belong to the husband, he usually has the right to sue for damages for injury to her. Suits of this kind are maintainable in Illinois, Colorado, Delaware, Nebraska, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New York, and Tennessee.

Women are disqualified as jurors in 27 States. What reason is there in justice or common sense why women should not be allowed to serve as jurors?

But even if I chose to do so it would be manifestly impossible for me to enumerate even a tithe of the State statutes

that now discriminate against women. They are innumerable. All of us who have a sincere interest in advancing the cause of women must realize the utter nonsense of trying to wipe out these cruel and unjust discriminations by pop-gun acts of State legislatures, when it can be done so much more easily and satisfactorily by a single amendment to the Federal Constitution declaring that women shall have equal rights with men throughout the Republic.

I am one of those who believe that when Thomas Jefferson wrote into the immortal Declaration the precious doctrine that "all men are created equal" he meant both men and women. The equal-rights amendment would put women in the Constitution of the United States, from which they seem to have been singularly omitted if we are to accept judicial interpretations by political courts. This amendment would raise woman to the dignity which the Creator intended for her when He made her not man's slave but his helpmate.

TRIBUTE TO COWORKERS

I cannot bring these remarks to a close without paying my humble tribute to some of the women of thought and purpose who by their devotion and indomitable energy have done so much to bring about this epochal action in the direction of woman's emancipation—the favorable report from the Judiciary Subcommittee on the equal-rights resolution.

Miss Alice Paul, former chairman of the National Woman's Party, has been the directing head in marshaling the forces of women to the support of the resolution, in which activity she has displayed both courage and genius. The wise leadership of such women as Miss Paul and Mrs. Harvey W. Wiley, chairman of the national council of the National Woman's Party, has been of incalculable assistance in furthering this great cause, and I think perhaps a little extra meed of praise is due to Mrs. Betty Gram Swing, who has been the active and visual representative of the Woman's Party around the halls of Congress during the equal-rights campaign. Combining a most attractive personality with keen intelligence and perseverance that knows no bounds, Mrs. Swing has given a fine demonstration of efficiency personified, and when we are passing out compliments we must not fail to place some laurels on her brow for the excellence of the service she has rendered.

THE FRAZIER-LEMKE BILL

Mr. THOM. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend 'ny remarks I desire to set forth my reasons for voting against passage of the Frazier-Lemke bill, providing for refinancing of farm mortgages. My attitude will be better understood if first we have before us a summary of the contents of this measure.

It calls for the issuance of bonds by the Farm Credit Administration, not in excess of \$3,000,000,000, to liquidate and refinance existing farm mortgages, and which bonds shall bear interest at the rate of 1½ percent and shall be secured by mortgages on farms. It is generally conceded that long-term 1½-percent bonds could not be sold at par to the investing public. If the farm-loan bonds are not, therefore, purchased by the public, the bill provides that they are to be delivered to the Federal Reserve Board in exchange for Federal Reserve notes in an amount equal to the par value of the bonds. Loans are to be made with this new money at a rate of 1½ percent interest per annum and 1½ percent principal per annum.

In the original bill, mortgages were to be in an amount equal to the fair value of farms and 75 percent of the value of improvements. Manifestly, this would leave the Government unprotected in case of shrinkage of land values. Furthermore, it would mean an abnormally high percentage of abandonments, foreclosures, and distressed loans for the reason that borrowers would practically have no equity in their farms. This unbusinesslike and financially unwise provision was deleted from the bill at the behest of the Frazier-Lemke supporters themselves, who were forced to see its unwisdom, and the percentage of loan reduced to 80 percent of the fair value of land.

Chief of my objections to the bill is that it would offer its low-interest rate to less than 40 percent of the farmers whose farms are mortgaged at the present time, and therefore the measure is highly discriminatory. To be specific, 34 percent of all farms in the United States are mortgaged, and the total indebtedness on January 1, 1935, was estimated at \$7,-770,000,000. Inasmuch as only \$3,000,000,000 in funds would be available for loans under the Frazier-Lemke bill, it is apparent that mortgagors to the extent of \$4,770,000,000 in indebtedness could not be accommodated. We would then have a class of farmers favored by the Government with a 1½-percent interest rate and a still larger class struggling along with an interest rate of 4 to 8 percent.

Obviously the farmer with a high-interest rate would find himself handicapped in the matter of production costs. Farmer A, who borrowed money heretofore under contract with his bank at 5 or 6 percent, would operate at a disadvantage in selling his milk or any other product as against farmer B across the road from him, who had the benefit of a Frazier-Lemke loan at 11/2 percent and, therefore, could produce his milk or other products just that much cheaper. But that is not all. The low-interest-rate farmer, in all probability, would stand a better chance to sell his farm, or certainly he could command a better price for it, by reason of the advantage of his low-interest rate. In the light of this kind of favoritism as between citizens who have a right to expect equal treatment from their Government, is it any wonder that the House of Representatives defeated the bill by a vote of 235 to 142?

But this is still not all the ruin that would be visited on at least some of the farmers. If a sufficient number of present mortgagors of the Federal land banks was favored with Frazier-Lemke loans, the land banks would receive cash for the mortgages so refinanced, and unless this money could be invested in other sound securities to yield enough to pay interest of 3 to 4½ percent on farm-loan bonds outstanding and not callable for from 8 to 10 years, the Federal land bank system would suffer collapse. With it would go the \$113,000,000 capital-stock investment of some 600,000 farmer borrowers, to say nothing of \$217,000,000 which the Government has invested. Every present borrower of the Federal land bank would, therefore, be adversely affected for the reason that he is not only a borrower but also a holder of stock.

Add to this unequal treatment of the farming class the discrimination that would result to the home owners of the cities-now 1,000,000 in number-who are paying the Home Owners' Loan Corporation, another Government institution, an interest rate of 5 percent. In the counties of Stark, Wayne, Tuscarawas, and Holmes, comprising my congressional district, there are 4,475 of these borrowers. By what manner of reasoning could we deny to them an interest rate of 11/2 percent? Are they not equal citizens with the 15 percent of farmers of the country who would receive Frazier-Lemke loans? And if the Home Owners' Loan Corporation borrowers, to the extent of \$3,000,000,000, should have the same low interest rate of the Frazier-Lemke bill, why not the balance of the borrowers on homes from other non-Government institutions, amounting to an additional \$14,000,000,000 in loans?

The answer to these questions brings us squarely up against the dangers of currency inflation. If we are to legislate justly, an interest rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ percent, if it is feasible, should be extended to all farm mortgages, amounting to \$7,000,000,000, and to all home mortgages, amounting to \$17,000,000,000. This would mean additional currency in the sum of \$24,000,000,000—nearly five times the amount of Federal Reserve notes in circulation. What would be the result of this outflow of currency on our national credit?

I recognize the currency question is a baffling one. However, I am sure we can all agree that unlimited issue of currency is disastrous. If it were not, all expenses could be borne by the simple and inexpensive process of printing money. All taxes could be abolished. Inflationists say Congress ought to be able to control the amount of currency so as to avoid catastrophe.

This is a hazardous undertaking. Always there is some demand for huge expenditures for worthy or unworthy purposes. The only brake on their authorization is lack of money in the Treasury or unwillingness of Congress to levy necessary taxes. Both of these obstacles, however, can be overcome by the issuance of currency. We have seen first within the recent past the demand that the soldiers' bonus be paid in new money. This would have saved taxes. Then we see the demand for new money to finance the Frazier-Lemke bill. This, too, would have saved taxes. The end of this kind of financing was described by President Roosevelt in his veto message of May 22, 1935, to the Congress on the Patman bonus bill, providing payment of soldiers' bonus in Treasury notes, in which he wrote:

To meet a claim of one group by this deceptively easy method of payment will raise similar demands for the payment of claims of other groups. It is easy to see the ultimate result of meeting recurring demands by the issuance of Treasury notes. It invites an ultimate reckoning in uncontrollable prices and in the destruction of the value of savings, that will strike most cruelly those like the veterans who seem to be temporarily benefited. * * * A government, like an individual, must ultimately meet legitimate obligations out of the production of wealth by the labor of human beings applied to the resources of nature. Every country that has attempted the form of meeting its obligations which is here provided has suffered disastrous consequences.

In conclusion, it is strange that the authors of this bill have never been willing to admit the vast benefits to the farmers of the present Farm Credit Administration, which since May 1, 1933, has made nearly 750,000 farm-mortgage loans for approximately \$2,000,000,000. Estimated scaledowns on the face of mortgages totaled \$200,000,000—a clear saving to many farmers. Annual interest reductions, as a result of such financing by the Government, amount to \$38,000,000 on the basis of the contract rate. Through this aid offered by President Roosevelt and the Congress farm foreclosures have been reduced from 38.8 foreclosures per 1,000 farms in 1932 to 19 foreclosures per 1,000 farms in 1935.

THE ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY IS NOT A LOCAL BUT RATHER A NATIONAL QUESTION OF THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE TO THE PROSPERITY OF EVERY SECTION OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. GEHRMANN. Mr. Speaker, the St. Lawrence seaway treaty failed of ratification not because of any lack of merit, but because in the campaign for the improvement too much stress has heretofore been laid on the purely local benefits of the seaway and not enough attention has been given to acquainting the people of those sections not immediately tributary to the Great Lakes with the benefits which will accrue to such sections. Advocates of the seaway have been fond of saying that the seaway will benefit 26 States and 44,000,000 people, when we should have been saying, as the fact is, that it will benefit 48 States and 125,000,000 of people.

If we are to succeed in this campaign, the subject must be approached from a national point of view—a view which includes the other 22 States and the other 70,000,000 of people. It is not only a question of our right to this improvement but a question of getting the support of enough Senators to ratify the treaty. The logic of the situation demands that before we can expect a Senator to vote for ratification he must be shown that the seaway will benefit the people of his particular State, and that possibly, he cannot safely oppose a project which would benefit his constituents who are producers and shippers and who are constantly looking for better markets and more prosperity.

To California we can truthfully say that the principal market for the product of its people—their oranges, grapes, and figs, is now, always has been and always will be in the mid-continent of America; to our friends from Georgia we can say that the market for peaches, peanuts, and pecans would soon be more than doubled if the treaty is ratified; to the people of Connecticut we can say that their market for dollar watches and wooden nutmegs would increase by leaps and bounds; to the people of Louisiana, that they would sell more rice, and that the port of New Orleans would do more business than ever before if the empire to the north of it were fully developed.

These Senators are reasonable, conscientious men, willing | and anxious to do anything that would further the material interests of the people of their several States. They would be glad to vote for the treaty if they can be convinced that it would be for the best interests of the great mass of their people as against special interests or localities who care nothing for the general welfare.

President Roosevelt, in submitting the treaty to the Senate for ratification, had the situation clearly in mind when he said:

It is, I believe, a historic fact that every great improvement directed to better commercial communications, whether in the case of building railroads into new territory or the deepening of great rivers, or the building of canals, have all been subject to opposi-tion on the part of local interests which conjure up imaginary fears and fail to realize that improved transportation results in

fears and fall to realize that improved transportation results in increased commerce benefiting directly or indirectly all sections. I am convinced that the building of the St. Lawrence seaway will not injure the railroads or throw their employees out of work; that it will not in any way interfere with the proper use of the Mississippi River or the Missouri River for navigation.

On the affirmative side, I subscribe to the definite belief that the completion of the seaway will greatly serve the economic and transportation needs of a vast area of the United States and should, therefore, be considered solely from the national point should, therefore, be considered solely from the national point

I have not stressed the fact that the starting of this great work will put thousands of unemployed to work. I have preferred to stress the great future advantage to our country and especially the fact that all of us should view this treaty in the light of the benefits which it confers on the people of the United States as a whole.

George Washington, 140 years ago, in recounting a journey to the Midwest, wrote:

Prompted by these actual observations, I could not help taking a more contemplative and extensive view of the vast inland navigation of these United States; and could not but be struck with the immense diffusion and importance of it, and with the goodness of that Providence, which has dealt her favors to us with so profuse a hand. Would to God we may have wisdom enough

No section of this continent is sufficient unto itself. The wealth produced in the great Mississippi Valley continually enriches the manufacturing cities of the East and affords an outlet for the products of the West and South. This area tributary to the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River is capable of supporting a population and industry and production of wealth of four or five times the present totals.

Wisconsin does not want the waterway merely because it will give her a few seaports, but so it will give the country round about us cheaper transportation, so we can save more money to buy more cotton so the cotton farmers can get more money to buy more Wisconsin cheese, so we can get more money to buy more grapefruit so that the people of the South can buy more canned peas, so we can get more money to buy more Florida oranges, North Carolina cigarettes, Virginia apples, more subscriptions to the Saturday Evening Post-so everybody can get money to spend their summers in the North so we can get enough money to spend our winters in the South.

As has been true in the past, so it will always be true that the benefits of the production of wealth in the Mississippi Valley will spread in every direction and enrich every nook and corner of the Nation. As a pebble thrown in a pool will drive its circling waves to the edge of the water, so the prosperity of the midcontinent will be wafted to the Atlantic on the east, to the Pacific on the west, to the Arctic on the north, and the Rio Grande on the south.

The general benefits of this project are too great to admit of any meritorious sectional opposition. In the distribution of the enormous increase of wealth which this waterway will bring, all parts of the country will share. In the territory cerved by the inland ports of this waterway will be built an empire with the greatest purchasing power the world has ever known. The coastal cities of the Atlantic seaboard will find markets heretofore undreamed of within the borders of

their own land. The cotton, fruits, oils, and minerals of the South and West, the manufactured products of the East, will find enlarged and constantly increasing markets.

It may be said that this waterway will benefit this particular city or be a detriment to a certain other city. It is a matter of little moment that a few inland ports may be increased in population. Any growth such cities may have will be only incidental to the development of the country served by them. The benefit to any given city or State will be but a trifling thing compared with the increase in wealth of the country as a whole.

Owen D. Young, in an address on the economic situation,

No permanency of any trend can be guaranteed unless we have sound and fair balance between all the units of our economic body.

There is no better way to give sound and fair balance in the matter of transportation than to give to the interior what the South, West, and East already have. We have the markets of the world at our door. With the blasting away of a little barrier in the St. Lawrence River, the United States of America would have the markets of the world opening the door and coming all the way in. All existing harbors and transportation agencies will have not less but more business than ever before, because there will be vastly more business to be done.

Now let Detroit, Milwaukee, Duluth, Superior, and all the other cities and States and Senators who want this waterway for what it will do for their people or their constituents get their heads out of the sand and look for and find the benefit to the people of the States whose Senators have not before been in favor of ratification. Let us stop talking about a marooned area and our local selfish interests that only tend to stir up opposition and jealousy. Let there seemingly be no limit to the beneficent influence of this seaway, and, in the language of President Roosevelt, consider the question "solely from the national point of view."

With this attitude, with this spirit, with this purpose constantly in mind, our efforts to secure this great improvement will be crowned with success, and with the coming of the waterway will come the beginning of the impregnable commercial supremacy of the North American Continent.

HON. JOSEPH W. EYRNS

Mr. DIMOND. Mr. Speaker, among those who were fortunate enough in their lives to come in contact with the late Speaker Byrns, I am one. I have read and heard with deep interest and with profound emotion the eloquent tributes that have been paid to Mr. Byrns, and while I understand that in speaking of a loved companion who has gone, how easy it is to resort to fulsome and exaggerated praise, I know in my own heart that all which has been said about our departed friend is true. He did not pretend to any outstanding virtue above and beyond that possessed by most of his fellow men, but we all know that he had that virtue. We all realized it in his life and we realize it more keenly and more deeply than ever now that he is gone.

Mr. Byrns was a great gentleman. His passing is a loss indeed to the political party to which all his life he gave allegiance; and every party, every cause, needs men of his outstanding capacity and loyalty and courage. His death is a greater loss to the Nation which he served so faithfully and so well. And in a minor, a humble way, may I now speak for my constituents in far-off Alaska and for myself and say that the death of Joe Byrns is just as much of a grief and just as much of a loss to us as it is to the remainder of the people of the country. He visited us in Alaska years ago and we were all then charmed by the same high qualities of courtesy, of benignant bearing, of unselfishness, and of evident fineness of character which endeared him to the thousands and thousands of other people with whom he came in contact. Such men are indeed rare. They are all too rare for the welfare of society and for the safety of mankind.

The other day, at the impressive funeral services held in the Hall of the House of Representatives, our present distinguished Speaker, in a strikingly eloquent tribute to the departed, said one thing that came to me with the force of a blaze of light. He said, "There was no vanity in him." While I suppose I had never realized it before, that statement at that moment made all things clear wherein Mr. Byrns differed from the ordinary and common run of mankind. How many are there in all the world who are not vain in one form or fashion or another? Some people are so vain that they are ludicrous: but many estimable people. many wise people, many high-minded people are tarnished to some extent by vanity. If Mr. Byrns possessed vanity nobody has ever discovered it. And so our present distinguished Speaker, whose insight into character is so keen, looked just as deeply into the character of his beloved friend and was thus able truthfully and justly to say, "This man knew no vanity."

After all, is it not true that if we could put vanity out of our minds and hearts we would be thereby measurably enriched and ennobled? For without vanity we would not be under the cloud of that darkening selfishness which spoils so many otherwise fine characters. Without vanity we would be slow to anger, as Mr. Byrns was; without vanity we would always be considerate of the rights and even of the weaknesses of others, as Mr. Byrns was; without vanity we would have courage, as he had to go the even tenor of his way, following his conscience to the ultimate goal of justice and

right, never doubtful, never wavering.

Mr. Speaker, I came to Washington in 1933, and all here was strange to me. But from the very day of my arrival almost I found in our late great friend a man who was solicitous for my welfare as a Member of Congress, and as a private citizen. Mr. Byrns, from the very beginning and until the day of his death, extended to me the same careful, considerate, thoughtful courtesy that he extended to those in this body who are really important and who are really great. On many occasions he went out of his way in order to help me, in order to help the people whom I have the honor to represent. He was never too busy with problems involving the millions of other people in our land to stop for a moment and consider the welfare and to give careful thought to the people of Alaska, few as they are in number and remote as they are from the seat of the National Government.

Such men as Mr. Byrns are indeed rare. In all of my life I have met very, very few of them. How many can we recall who possessed in equal degree the outstanding characteristics of unselfishness, courtesy, kindliness, devotion to the public welfare, and entire lack of vanity, and at the same time had such a keen mind, such a retentive memory, such sound judgment, such a cultured and comprehensive and civilized outlook upon all of the affairs of the Nation, and all of the things of life?

It was said of a great American statesman in times gone by, in words or substance, that nothing base, low, or meanly selfish came near his head or his heart; and those of us who were privileged to know Mr. Byrns know that of him also could be justly said that nothing base, or low, or meanly selfish came near his head or heart.

Mr. Byrns was kind. As we grow old most of us more and more realize that kindness and considerate attention to the welfare of others is the best and firmest foundation for a calm mind and for a happy and well-ordered life. Those of us who have passed the midpoint of physical existence and endeavor and are descending toward the shadows, as I am and as a majority of the Members of this body are, understand more and more keenly the horror of cruelty, or even of harshness, and the essential value, and indeed the supreme achievement which virtue attains in kind action. No decent man has ever reached the meridian of life who does not regret the harsh things he has said or done, even though at the time apparently justified, or who does not remember with satisfaction his words and acts that were gracious and kindly.

In the more than 3 years that I knew Mr. Byrns, and, during sessions of Congress, was in almost daily contact with him. I never knew him to do a thing that by any stretch of the imagination could be called cruel or harsh or thoughtless. Possessed of a lightning-quick mind and keen wit, he was always careful never to use his great talent in a manner that would hurt or bring discomfort to another human being, no matter what the provocation. So when I say, as I must say, and as all of his friends will say, that Mr. Byrns was a model of kindliness, was an exemplar of thoughtful and generous life and conduct, I am paying him the ultimate tribute and the tribute which is justly due his memory.

May we not trust, Mr. Speaker, that the grief of his beloved wife and splendid son will be to some extent assuaged and softened by the modest pride which they may justly take in the remembrance of a husband and a father who was at once famous and in all things upright, at once powerful and yet beloved by his fellow men.

HONORING THE NEGRO RACE-TRIBUTE TO MATTHEW A. HENSEN, ASSISTANT TO ADMIRAL PEARY

Mr. LARRABEE. Mr. Speaker, I wish to take this opportunity to speak of the large contribution that a certain group of American citizens have made toward the growth and progress of this country, and shall say a word about certain attitudes that have been assumed by the dominant race of these United States.

These remarks are actuated somewhat because of the interest and thrilling interview which I, as a member of the Committee on Coinage, Weights, and Measures, experienced a few days ago, when Matthew Hensen, a Negro polar explorer, who accompanied Admiral Peary on his memorable voyage, resulting in the discovery of the North Pole, appeared before our committee. The occasion for his appearance before our committee was in the interest of a bill introduced by Congressman ARTHUR W. MITCHELL, of the First District of Illinois, H. R. 12388, which bill purports to honor this hero for his expedition and accomplishments and valor in connection with the discovery of the North Pole.

In speaking of this Negro hero, Admiral Peary had this to

Matthew A. Hensen, my Negro assistant, has been with me in one capacity or another since my second trip to Nicaragua in 1887. I have taken him on each and all of my expeditions, except the first, and also without exception on each of my farthest sledge trips. This position I have given him primarily because of his adaptability and fitness for the work, and, secondly, on account of his loyalty. He is a better dog driver and can handle a sledge better than any man living, except some of the best Eskimo hunters themselves.

This Nation, which was most grateful to Admiral Peary for this discovery, waited 25 years to show recognition and honor to Matthew Hensen. It has occurred to me that we have waited simply because Hensen was a colored man. I am wondering if our lethargy in honoring Hensen is not characteristic of our general attitude toward that race which Hensen represents. Is it not a fact that the Negro race, whose loyalty to this country has never been questioned, often suffers the same neglect that Hensen has suffered, and is it not a fact that our neglect to recognize and honor members of this race is more or less the result of racial prejudice?

I have risen in the House today to make an appeal to the Members of this House and to urge us to be more considerate of the rights and privileges and lives of this wonderful group of people, who gave us a Fred Douglass, a Booker Washington, a Paul Laurence Dunbar, an Arthur W. Mitchell, and scores of other historical characters, whose loyalty to this country can in nowise be questioned, and whose contribution to the growth of this country must be recognized.

I should like to remind you, gentlemen, that for about a quarter of a century these people have been asking this Congress to pass an antilynching bill, which can serve but one purpose—to make them more secure in their lives against the exploitation and bloody attacks of mobs. Why do we not act to protect them? There rests on the Speaker's desk at this time petition no. 32, which seeks to lift one of the 30 antilynching bills now in the hands of the Judiciary Committee of this House from the consideration of that committee. I call upon those of this House, on both sides of the aisle, who believe in a square deal for all the citizens of this country, to come forward and join the nearly 200 of us who have already signed this petition. Congressman MITCHELL has worked day and night among us to make favorable sentiment for the passage of this legislation. You have observed his conduct before this House; you know what valuable assistance he has given us and what statesmanship he has displayed, not alone in the interest of his own group but in the interest of American citizenship. Do we not owe it to him and do we not owe it to the more than 12,000,000 Negroes in this country, and to ourselves as believers in the enforcement of law, to not only lift this bill from the consideration of the Judiciary Committee, which up to this point has refused to act upon this legislation, to bring this bill before this House and to pass the same? Good citizens would hail the passage of this bill as one of the achievements of the age.

Again I ask you to let us not be narrow or prejudiced in our views, but let us come forward and do our duty by this group of citizens and thus acquit ourselves of the charge that we are prejudiced and can see human rights only if they appear in white skins.

COMMUNISTS AND THE NEW DEAL

Mr. REED of New York. Mr. Speaker, who is Prof. Bristow Adams, and what has he done to incur the displeasure of the New Deal?

I wish to say that in the first place Professor Adams is a patriotic American citizen who has rendered conspicuous and commendable public service both in times of peace and in times of war. Called upon by the New Deal administration to act as State director of the W. P. A. writers' project in the State of New York, he entered upon the discharge of his duties with his usual energy, ability, and enthusiasm. Professor Adams did not seek or solicit this position, but yielded to the call to perform a public service.

Now this distinguished citizen has been removed as State director of the W. P. A. writers' project. What is the reason for his removal? I want every true American who believes in fair play to know the facts, and from these facts to draw his own conclusion as to the objective of those who sought to have and succeeded in having Prof. Bristow Adams removed from office.

While Professor Adams is well known to journalists, educators, and agriculturists, there may be many groups who are not familiar with his record both as a private citizen and as a public servant; therefore I shall mention only briefly something of his background for the benefit of those who have not followed closely his fine career.

Professor Adams has been connected with the New York State College of Agriculture at Cornell University since 1914. He is professor of journalism and director of publications for the State College of Agriculture and Home Economics. He was connected with the United States Department of Agriculture from 1917 to 1918. He was connected with the Military Intelligence Division of the General Staff of the United States Army in 1918.

The excellent work performed by Professor Adams in promoting the interests of the dairymen of the country is well known to the leaders of this important farm activity.

Again, why have the New Deal officials insisted upon the summary removal of Professor Adams as State director of the W. P. A. writers' project? The records reveal the facts. Soon after his appointment Professor Adams discovered that the W. P. A. organization was being utilized for the promotion of communistic activities. Without haste and with his usual thoroughness, Professor Adams made a painstaking record of the acts and statements of those W. P. A. officials who were furthering communism by means of this governmental agency. Once he had assembled irrefutable evidence

to fully establish a case against the Communist officials of the W. P. A., Professor Adams submitted this evidence to Harry Hopkins, national W. P. A. Administrator. Instead of receiving commendation for his patriotic service to his country by the disclosure of the activities of the communistic plotters, Professor Adams was promptly dismissed.

The punitive action taken by the New Deal against Professor Adams was as prompt, indefensible, and tyrannical as that taken against General Hagood.

Were it not too late in this session of Congress to get action, I would demand an investigation of this arbitrary and unjust removal of Professor Adams, who honestly and fearlessly discharged his patriotic duty. The press of the country may, if it will, follow up this case, to the end that this injustice shall not stand as a further encouragement to communistic agitators.

OVERLAPPING AGENCIES

Mr. BACON. Mr. Speaker, I wish to direct attention to a matter of such importance that I think the RECORD ought to show the facts.

Mr. Speaker, the fact remains indisputable that we in this country have too much government. We are so much governed that never before in history, and I hope never again, have the people been subjected to so many prohibitions, inhibitions, "shall not's", and "shall do's." We not only have too much government but we have too many governmental agencies occupied with matters directly affecting the lives of the people of this country.

Mr. Roosevelt himself has admitted as much. In his speech accepting the Democratic nomination for the Presidency (Chicago, Ill., July 2, 1932), Mr. Roosevelt said:

We must abolish useless offices * * *. We must merge, we must consolidate subdivisions of Government and, * * * give up luxuries we can no longer afford.

At Pittsburgh, Pa., on October 19, 1932, he made the following statement:

I shall approach the problem of carrying out the plain precept of our party, which is to reduce the cost of the current Federal Government operation by 25 percent. Of course, that means a complete realinement of the unprecedented bureaucracy that has assembled in Washington in the past 4 years.

On September 29, 1932, at Sioux City, Iowa, he said:

We are not getting an adequate return for the money we are spending in Washington, or to put it another way round, we are spending altogether too much money for Government services which are neither practical nor necessary. In addition to this we are attempting too many functions, and we need a simplification of what the Federal Government is giving to the people.

Despite these statements about the need for simplification of Government agencies, more than 50 additional Government departments, establishments, boards, commissions, bureaus, services, divisions, or committees have been created since March 4, 1933. By the act of March 3, 1933 (47 Stat. 1517, as amended by act of Mar. 20, 1933, ch. 3, title III, sec. 1; 48 Stat. 16), the President was given extensive powers to reorganize the Federal Government through the abolition, consolidation, and rearrangement of administrative units. Relatively little use of this power was made by the President and not until a year after the power had elapsed (Mar. 20, 1935) did he really become interested in the ending of duplicating agencies. Despite the fact that for 2 years President Roosevelt had wide powers to effectuate an extensive administrative reorganization, there is now more duplication of activity in the Government than there was in 1932.

Before March 4, 1933, there were 10 different Federal agencies to deal with the problems of power production (electricity, coal, and oil), but at present there are 23 agencies dealing with these problems.

Housing problems were being considered by 4 agencies before the New Deal, but now 15 different agencies are dealing with it.

Before Mr. Roosevelt took office there were only 2 agencies of the Government dealing with the settlement of labor disputes, but now there are 12 agencies.

Four years ago 3 Government agencies were making loans to farmers, and now there are 13 agencies making such loans.

The following table lists some of the more obvious instances where a number of different agencies are dealing with the same activity. The number of agencies concerned in 1932 and in 1935–36 is indicated in each instance.

Activity	Number of agen- cies concerned		
	1932	1935-36	
Power Housing Labor disputes Agricultural loans Emergency construction of public works Public lands Soil conservation Foreign trade Protection of consumers' interests Highways (a major concern) Financial statistics of State and local government Education Railroads Parks Relief.	10 4 4 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 2	23 15 12 13 15 15 15 12 9 13 13 11 11 10	

Even when it comes to studying overlapping and duplicating Government agencies the present administration has indulged in the establishment of three different duplicating instrumentalities. At the current session of Congress Senator Byrn introduced a resolution in the Senate providing for a special Senate committee to report on the elimination of duplicating agencies and the economies that would result therefrom. This resolution was passed on February 24, 1936, and the committee has been appointed. On March 22, 1936, President Roosevelt set up another investigating committee to report to him on the same problem. Also on the same day the President sent a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives requesting the House to appoint a committee to cooperate with the Senate committee and with the President's Committee on Overlapping Agencies, and that committee has been appointed. Now there are three official independent overlapping committees studying the problem of overlapping Government agencies.

JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, with the passing of Speaker Joseph W. Byrns the House has suffered a great loss and those of us who came to know him well through daily contacts in the conduct of legislation find it difficult to reconcile his death.

It is a source of pride and gratification to have known "Joe" and to have been associated with him in service.

Regardless of the many duties vested in him by virtue of the important office he held, Speaker Byrns never neglected an opportunity to offer a helping hand to those who sought his counsel. He was honest and sincere in his beliefs and steadfast in his determination to rule with justice and equality.

Loyalty and true friendship were attributes which exemplified his character, and his accomplishments as a leader are true manifestations of the confidence and trust placed in him by his colleagues.

His rise from humble beginnings, though accompanied by heavy burdens, was steady and enduring. His unaffected simplicity and friendliness of nature endeared him to all his acquaintances.

The memory of "Joe" Byrns will long be revered, for he was a great human and no one ever more thoroughly deserved the unanimous affection and trust of his associates.

THE MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS DISMEMBERMENT

Mr. HILDEBRANDT. Mr. Speaker, seldom in my public career have I known of a governmental proposal that has occasioned more criticism in a definite section than that to dismember the Minneapolis & St. Louis Railroad and parcel it out to eight other railroads, causing the abandonment of over 500 miles of road.

Efficiency and economy are the usual excuses for execution of such a policy. They are being advanced in this case,

although they have already been fully refuted. Adequate aid to the Minneapolis & St. Louis Railroad, rather than a subsidy to help the eight other roads, would be cheaper in the long run, as well as fairer to the communities involved.

I am considering, however, the human aspect of the subject more than the financial side. The railroad workers who will lose their jobs; the owners of small shops in the little towns that will be wiped off the map if they are deprived of rail service; the farmers who will have to haul their products to other stations at a greater distance, costing them time and money they can ill afford; and the many others who will be hard hit through the suggested dismemberment, have a right to be considered even more than dollars and cents—and the dollars and cents argument is not a sound one in this case, either.

Grave injustice and real injury will be done thousands of people if this mistaken proposal is carried out. I have been emphatically against it from the time it was planned, and I shall continue to be. I hope that our united protests will be effective.

AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT IN IOWA, 1932-35

Mr. WEARIN. Mr. Speaker, cash receipts from the sale of principal farm products and from rental and benefit payments increased from \$275,388,000 in 1932 to \$466,849,000 in 1935, an increase of 69.5 percent, in Iowa. Cash receipts constitute 98 percent of the State's total farm cash income.

The price changes on certain commodities, which brought about a considerable share of the increased income indicated above, are strikingly illustrated in the table below:

Table 1.—Average prices received by Iowa farmers on dates specified for commodities enumerated

Commodity	Ang. 15, 1932	Mar. 15, 1933	Dec. 15, 1935
Wheat (per bushel) Corn (per bushel) Oats (per bushel) Barley (per bushel) Bye (per bushel) Buckwheat (per bushel) Flaxseed (per bushel) Hay (all loose, per ton) Apples (per bushel) Hogs (per hundredweight) Beef cattle (per hundredweight) Weal valves (per hundredweight) Milk cows (per head) Chickens (per pound) Butter (per pound)	. 12 . 22 . 25 . 45 . 79 . 48 7. 00 . 65 3. 90 5. 90 5. 00 . 35. 00 . 108	\$0. 36 .13 .10 .16 .23 .43 .86 .48 .4 60 1. 05 3. 20 .4. 10 4. 40 30. 00 .074 .17	\$0. 93 . 46 . 22 . 35 . 39 . 55 . 70 6. 20 . 90 8. 80 7. 70 7. 80 54. 00 . 16; . 33
Eggs (per dozen)	.13	.085	.20

For the country as a whole, the yearly average price of all groups of farm products increased from 65 to 108 percent of the pre-war level during the period, 1932-35, an increase of 66 percent. The low point occurred in March 1933, when it was only 55 percent of the pre-war level. These figures do not include rental and benefit payments. The gain in unit exchange value of farm products was somewhat less than the gain in farm prices, since prices farmers pay for commodities used in living and production also advanced about 17 percent during the period. The exchange value per unit of farm products increased from 61 percent of the pre-war level in 1932 to 86 percent in 1935, a gain of about 41 percent.

A new appreciation of farm real estate in Iowa has been one result of increased farm income. Voluntary sales and trades of farms here increased from 11.8 per thousand for the year ending March 15, 1933, to 16 per thousand for the year ending March 15, 1935. During the same period the number of forced farm sales per thousand declined from 85.7 to 40.1. For the first time since 1920, the decline in farm real-estate values halted in the year ending March 1, 1933, when the estimated value of farm real estate per acre stood at 58, the State average from 1912-14 being 100. From this low point of 58 in 1933, it rose to 67 for the year ending March 1, 1935. Further improvement in these respects is indicated for the current year.

SOIL CONSERVATION PRACTICES UNDER THE A. A. A.

Adjustment measures enabled farmers in Iowa in 1935 to take more than 2,000,000 acres of land out of the production of corn and wheat, which deplete the soil or expose it to erosion, and to put some of it into the production of erosion-preventing and soil-improving crops, such as alfalfa. bluegrass, soybeans, clover, and lespedeza. Contracts under the Agricultural Adjustment Act specifically mentioned "erosion-preventing and soil-improving crops" as among those which might be planted on the contracted acreage.

A marked trend toward increased pasturage of all kinds is apparent in Iowa. According to the Bureau of the Census, the acreage devoted to pasturage increased from 9,508,644 acres in 1929 to 11,067,553 in 1934, more than one and onehalf million acres increase.

EXTENT OF FARMER PARTICIPATION IN PROGRAMS

From the inauguration of the adjustment programs in 1933 through January 6, 1936, a total of 331,990 cropadjustment contracts signed by Iowa farmers were accepted by the Agricultural Adjustment Administration. Of this number, 319,910 were corn-hog contracts, 10,271 wheat contracts, and 1,809 sugar-beet contracts.

Three referenda on the continuation of crop-adjustment measures were held in Iowa under the agricultural-adjustment program. During the first 2 weeks of October 1934, corn-hog producers were asked whether they favored an adjustment program for 1935. In this referendum producers voted 2 to 1 for a program, the vote being 67,186 for a program and 30,055 against. A Nation-wide wheat referendum was conducted on May 25, 1935, in which producers were asked, "Are you in favor of a wheat-production-adjustment program to follow the present one which expires with the 1935 crop year?" In Iowa 3,416 votes were cast, all but 399 of which favored such a program. The last referendum in Iowa was that conducted on October 26, 1935, in which corn-hog producers were asked if they favored a corn-hog program for 1936. Official returns indicated that 160,768 producers favored such a program, while 26,728 opposed.

RENTAL AND BENEFIT PAYMENTS IN IOWA

As of December 31, 1935, rental and benefit payments in Iowa aggregated \$93,292,030.60. Of this amount \$91,929,-517.75 went to corn-hog farmers, \$1,123,157.83 to wheat producers, and \$239,355.02 to sugar-beet growers.

Funds to provide these rental and benefit payments were raised through processing taxes. As of December 31, 1935, processing-tax collections made at points in Iowa totaled \$30,622,085.76. Processing taxes were made through the medium of first processors, or converters of the raw products-millers, packers, cotton and tobacco manufacturerswherever these processing establishments were located, but were paid by consumers throughout the country.

Iowa was one of the Midwestern States affected by the drought of 1934. In this emergency, A. A. A. rental and benefit payments, calculated on the farmers' production during a previous base period rather than upon the current year's production, served as a form of crop insurance. For their 1934 crop or corn, reduced to 201,480,000 bushels by the drought, Iowa farmers received only \$19,550,000 at the market; but their rental and benefit payments brought their cash income from the 1934 corn crop to \$48,184,000. This was 51 percent more than they received for their 1932 crop, amounting to 509,507,000 bushels, which was 153 percent

In 1934 drought threatened Iowa farmers with the loss of thousands of cattle and sheep by thirst and starvation, With funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose, the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, in cooperation with the Agricultural Extension Service and the Federal, State, and local Emergency Relief Administrations, took measures to conserve the food supplies of the country as represented by the livestock of Iowa and other States, and at the same time to preserve foundation herds and save livestock owners from total ruin through the loss of their animals.

Under the emergency livestock purchase program the

sheep at a total cost of approximately \$330,000. The relief administrations provided for the slaughter and transportation of the animals and the preserving and distribution of the meat from them.

Under the seed-conservation program, also carried on with money directly appropriated by Congress, seed was selected, purchased, and distributed during the 1935 season. In Iowa about 1,358,000 bushels of seed of selected stocks were purchased and conserved, with an expenditure of about \$796,000.

FARMERS' MONEY GOES TO TOWN

The extent to which increased farm income during the past 3 years enabled Iowa farmers to increase their purchases of city-made goods is reflected in several ways.

New automobile registrations in Iowa during the 4-year period 1932-35 have been as follows:

1932	23,679
1933	32, 735
1934	48, 479
1935	81,709

The increase from 1932 to 1935 was 245 percent.

According to Automobile Facts and Figures, a publication of the Automobile Manufacturers' Association, the 1934 retail automobile sales gain in Iowa was greatest in small towns and on farms. From 1933 to 1934 new passenger-car registrations on farms and in towns under 10,000 increased 57 percent, whereas in towns over 10,000 population the increase was 25 percent.

New automobile purchases, among other things, meant an increased gasoline consumption. Consumption rose from 355,568,000 gallons in 1933 to 421,152,000 in 1935, an increase of about 18.5 percent.

Sales of new ordinary-life insurance in Iowa increased from \$82,868,000 in 1933 to \$102,807,000 in 1935, an increase of 24 percent.

Another index of increased business activity, resulting in part from renewed farm purchasing power, is debits to individual accounts. Monthly statements issued by the Federal Reserve System indicate that debits to individual accounts increased greatly from 1933 to 1935. For 1933 debits in the Chicago district amounted to \$32,129,366,000. For 1935 preliminary figures indicate that they increased to \$49,-643,653,000, or 30-percent increase over the 1933 figure.

Nearly every general class of Iowa business has enjoyed a constantly growing rate of increase since 1934.

Even the laggards, such as furniture and manufacturers' retail sales, are ahead of the April, May, and June quarter of 1934, the first period in which the sales tax was collected

A sensational spurt in the general-merchandise division in the Christmas quarter of 1935, from a total business of less than \$35,000,000 in the previous quarter up to \$43,000,-000 in that period, pushed that classification into first place.

Food previously had been the leading classification except for one quarter in 1934. Officials estimate that food purchases yield between 20 and 25 percent of the sales-tax revenue, or approximately \$3,000,000 annually.

The unclassified division, the group to show the most sustained rise, includes farm machinery, fuel and ice, theaters, dance halls, and amusement parks.

WHAT HAVE WE DONE?

Mr. HILDEBRANDT. Mr. Speaker, it is a logical question for voters to ask of any administration. What have you done? Why do you expect to be retained in power?

Every citizen has a right to place this query before his Representative and his Senators, as well as before all other

Answering such an interrogation with regard to the Roosevelt administration, we may honestly and truthfully say that it has given the American people the most progressive legislation in the history of the Nation—not as progressive as should and will be eventually enacted, but the best we could get at the time, and legislation that stands in striking contrast to the reactionary, plutocratic laws and policies of the Harding, Coolidge, and Hoover administrations. Com-A. A. A. purchased in Iowa about 25,000 head of cattle and pare the human and humane attitude of President Roosevelt and his advisers with the callous, hardened, indifferent attitude of the three preceding Executives and their associates. What a contrast. The New Deal has been imperfect and faulty, to be sure, but it constitutes a sincere effort to put human rights above property rights, and it deserves genuine appreciation and support. Much of its work has been experimental because the Nation found it necessary to go into untried fields of official endeavor, but it has blazed a new trail of social conscience and social responsibility for the exploited and the oppressed.

The clock of time will not turn backward—certainly not in social welfare. If you are not satisfied with what President Roosevelt and the Democratic Congress have done, you certainly have nothing to gain and all to lose by reverting to the policies of Hoover, Mellon, Mills, and the kings and kaisers of Wall Street whom they served. If any criticism is to be offered, it is not because we have gone too far, but because we have not gone far enough. I have been very outspoken in advising more progressiveness and liberality, and it is my confident expectation and earnest hope that the party to which I belong will swing steadily forward with increased energy after our expected victory on election day,

COST OF GOVERNMENT

Mr. BACON. Mr. Speaker, during the past 3 years the business undertaken by the United States Government has grown so rapidly and the figures involved have become so enormous and complicated that they are baffling even to the expert and nearly incomprehensible to the average citizen who foots the bills. As this session of Congress draws to a close, I should like to insert for the sake of the record a very brief summary of how the cost of government has grown under the administration of Franklin D. Roosevelt. I should like to compare the expansion in the cost of government with a few of the promises which were solemnly made to the electorate in 1932, not only in the Democratic platform but by Candidate Roosevelt.

The Democratic platform of 1932 had the following plank: We advocate an immediate and drastic reduction of governmental expenditures by abolishing useless commissions and offices, consolidating departments and bureaus, and eliminating extravagance, to accomplish a saving of not less than 25 percent in the cost of Federal Government.

In his speech of acceptance on July 2, 1932, Mr. Roosevelt said about the platform: "I accept it 100 percent."

Throughout the campaign he reiterated the absolute necessity of reducing expenditures and he pledged himself, if elected, to a program of economy.

For 3 long years-

Ye

Said Mr. Roosevelt in his acceptance speech-

I have been going up and down this country preaching that government * * * cost too much. I shall not stop the preaching.

He condemned the spending of the Hoover administration in the following words:

That, my friends * * * is the most reckless and extravagant pace I have been able to discover in the statistical record of any peacetime government anywhere or any time.

But when Franklin D. Roosevelt became President, what did he do? Did he reduce the Hoover rate of spending by 25 percent? Did he cut the annual cost of running the United States Government to \$3,865,000,000, in accordance with his platform pledge? He did not. In his first year of office he increased the cost of government 38 percent over the cost during the last full year of the Hoover administration. In the next fiscal year the increase over the Hoover rate was 43 percent. According to estimates for the present fiscal year, the increase will be 92 percent, or nearly double the rate spent by Mr. Hoover in the year ending June 30, 1932.

Here are the figures taken from official testimony before the Congress:

ear ending June 30:	Cost of government
1932	\$5, 153, 645, 000
1933	5, 142, 954, 000
1934	7, 105, 050, 000
1935	7, 375, 825, 000
1936	9, 882, 000, 000

In other words, the cost of government this year is approximately two and one-half times what it would have been if Franklin D. Roosevelt had performed in accordance with his promises.

It is said by the President's supporters, including the chairman of the Democratic National Committee, Mr. Postmaster General Farley, that the reason the President could not carry out his party's platform was because when he came into office he was faced with an unforeseen emergency. It is not my intention here to argue whether he should have been able to foresee such an emergency when he was promising so glibly in 1932. There is no necessity for me to argue because the President himself has effectively demolished the supporting arguments of his own friends. I should like to recall to you that 4 months after the so-called emergency the President was still paying lip service to his party's platform. In a telegram to Leon McCord, member of the Democratic National Committee from Alabama, dated July 8, 1933, Mr. Roosevelt said:

Finally I have made it clear ever since my nomination a year ago that I subscribe to the Democratic platform 100 percent.

The party platform pledged abolishing useless commissions and offices, consolidating departments and bureaus, and eliminating extravagance.

How about the performance? From March 4, 1933, to March 31, 1936, the Roosevelt administration created more than 50 additional bureaus, commissions, committees, boards, agencies, or Government corporations. Here is a partial list:

Agricultural Adjustment Administration.
Commodity Credit Corporation.
Federal Farm Mortgage Corporation.
National Recovery Administration.
National Recovery Relations Board.
Social Security Board.
National Bituminous Coal Commission.
United States Employment Service.
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Federal Bavings and Loan Insurance Corporation.
Home Owners' Loan Corporation.
Federal Housing Administration.
Resettlement Administration.
Public Works Administration.
Public Works Administration.
Federal Electrification Administration.
Electric Home and Farm Authority.
Federal Emergency Relief Administration.
Civil Works Administration.
Works Progress Administration.
Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation.
Central Statistical Board.
Securities and Exchange Commission.
National Archives.
Federal Coordinator of Transportation.
National Resources Committee.

Railroad Retirement Board. National Emergency Council.

In the light of the above is it any wonder that this massive New Deal business being transacted in the name of the United States Government is costing close on to \$10,000,000,000,000 during this present fiscal year? Ten billion dollars that will have to be wrung from the taxpayers of today and tomorrow and tomorrow's morrow. Ten billion dollars which with other billions spent by the New Deal will act as a chain upon American progress and American youth for generations to come. Ten billion dollars that stand as a mockery to that insolent statement which Postmaster General Farley made in Salt Lake City 2 years ago:

You are having the most economical Federal administration you have had for years.

VIEWS RELATIVE TO SUPREME COURT DECISIONS

Mr. ROMJUE. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives, there has been a great deal said and many editorials set forth and perhaps quite a good deal more thinking than has been written or said relative to the decisions of the Supreme Court during the Presidential administration of Franklin D. Roosevelt.

I recognize the importance of the three distinct branches of our Government, which are, as you know, legislative, executive, and judicial. Each has a very distinct function to perform, and while that is true there is also a very interesting relationship existing between each with the others.

It seems to me that every thoughtful person should be interested in maintaining the proper checks and balances of the Government as was intended by those wise statesmen who, out of a great wealth of experience, were responsible for the establishment of the fundamental principles upon which our Government stands and operates.

It is my belief that there is no greater education one may have or secure than that of a clear understanding and comprehension of human nature itself. We may speak of our colleges and universities and our educational systems and all that, but unless a person, when they come into this world, comes in endowed by the Creator himself with the essential elements of an intellect, it surely shows that no school system can give one that which they do not possess in some measure to start with, so our training and education, while often very useful, can only expand that which nature has given, but cannot create.

It is of importance that men and women who are placed in responsible positions do not allow their opinion to get too much warped, biased, and prejudiced, and it is the duty of such to take as broad and constructive a view as possible in keeping with the affairs of justice within the limitation prescribed by the Constitution and statutes.

I recall that only a few short months ago there was quite a hue and cry went up through a great many columns of the newspapers of the country, and some critics of President Roosevelt raised their voices expressing grave fear that the Constitution of the United States might be changed under his administration, and theses antagonists and opposing forces allied against President Roosevelt and the present Democratic Congress were then warning the people to have great fear that the Constitution ought not to be in any respect amended. The people were advised in regard to this and warned by those who opposed President Roosevelt and the present Democratic Congress to stand against any idea or thought of amending the Constitution, particularly was this referred to by them when the Supreme Court, by a vote of 6 to 3, ruled against what is known as the Agricultural Adjustment Act, which embodied what was generally referred to in the Middle West as the corn-hog contract legislation. It was referred to in that manner in my section of the country because of its particular application.

Well, of course, a decision by the Supreme Court of the United States in which six judges concurred in ruling against this act which Congress passed, as they believed and calculated it to be of great aid to the farmers of the country, was for the time being decisive. On the other hand, three very able and learned judges of the law, in my opinion, took just the opposite view and they held that the law was constitutional and valid, but as the decision of the majority of the Supreme Court was otherwise, of course, the ruling of the majority of the Court was recognized as binding, and in pursuance of that, this present Congress passed and President Roosevelt signed the measure known as the Soil Conservation Act, which is calculated, so far as possible, to supplant and take the place of the A. A. A. legislation.

It is my opinion that the decision rendered by the three judges was more nearly sound than that rendered by the six judges, but like everyone else, of course, I acquiesced in the decision as rendered and accepted it as the established law, at least, for the time being. If two or three of the judges rendering the majority opinion had reached the conclusion that the three judges did who rendered the minority, the A. A. Would have been held valid and would have been recognized as valid and the law for the time being.

Recently, I read with considerable interest a speech made by a prominent Republican leader who stated that President Roosevelt should be defeated because, if he was reelected, he might have, and probably would have, the opportunity to name or appoint some more judges to the Supreme Court, and, of course, if he was elected and had that opportunity that he would likely appoint a man or men holding the same views as the minority of the three judges had held in regard to the legislation that Congress had passed.

Now, in the event Mr. Roosevelt is reelected, as I firmly believe he will be, and in the event he should name other judges to the Supreme Court to fill the place of any vacancy that may arise during his tenure of office, I hope he will name men to the bench who will take the view of the law more in line and keeping with the views of Judges Stone, Cardoza, and Brandeis.

While I have respect for constituted agencies of Government, the opinion of no one man or any group of men is so sacred as it may not be changed or reversed in a lawful manner.

The Supreme Court of the United States, and I am now speaking of the agency itself and not to the particular Judges, has not existed throughout its time without reversal of itself on some cases and that may follow again according to the judgment of the men at the time who occupy the position. The truth is that there is not a State in the Union but what has had its own supreme court, and as such at some time reversed some prior decision. I merely cite this to call attention to the fact that all decisions are not invulnerable and may be reversable.

Those opposing President Roosevelt and the present Democratic Congress, and particularly the Liberty League, some of the leading Republican politicians, and other interests were praising the Supreme Court decision in regard to the Agricultural Administration Act, claiming the decision to be fundamentally sound and the Constitution ought not be tampered with, but those thus declaring themselves were not so vitally interested in the restoration of the purchasing power of the farmers of the country as they were in other matters; they were not so interested in increased prices for products from the farm, and they did not look with such great favor upon the fact that everything produced on the farm is of much greater value now than it was when Mr. Hoover laid down the reins of Government and they were taken up by Franklin D. Roosevelt and the present Democratic Congress.

The latest decision of the Supreme Court, which has been rendered on the child-labor law, held that the State, thereby meaning any State in the Union, has no control over fixing the minimum wage. The same Supreme Court also has held that Congress has no right to enact such legislation, holding that it interfered with the free right to contract, so the net result of the decisions of the Supreme Court on the minimum wage matter, it seems, is that there is no authorization either in the State or in Congress to regulate or establish a minimum wage.

Now I find that a few prominent Republican leaders are suggesting and urging that the Constitution be changed. It will be interested to watch the Republican Convention which assembles at Cleveland tomorrow, and see whether they are now themselves going to advocate the changing of the Constitution about which they have raised so much hue and cry and hurled blast after blast at President Roosevelt and the present Democratic Congress, merely on the basis of their imagination.

I think it will be plain to be seen that the argument these same opponents of Mr. Roosevelt urged to the effect that the Supreme Court did a great service when it overruled the Agricultural Adjustment Act, claiming at the same time it was class legislation in behalf of the farmers, now are found to be, at least some of them, ready to throw into the scrap heap the principles they have just previously advocated. On the other hand, there are others involved in some of the larger industries of the country who still hail the latest decision, in regard to the minimum wage, with delight.

As the Republican Convention assembles tomorrow it will be interesting to watch them reconcile their views on this very important subject. There is an old saying that "You cannot eat your cake and have it too", and there is also an old saying, "It makes a difference whose ox is being gored." What kind of promises may be made in the platform nobody at this time knows, but a careful study of human nature has led me to the conclusion that those who promise most usually perform the least.

It must be recognized by well-considered thought that, in the enactment of any law and in the interpretation or construction of any law, the humanitarian element is entitled to recognition as well as property right; that the rights of human beings are entitled to considered and attention as well as the recognition of property, and even more so.

THE FARM PROBLEM—ENACTMENT OF THE FRAZIER-LEMKE BILL IS NECESSARY TO THE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC RESTORATION OF THE FARMER

Mr. ROGERS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, at the invitation of the Honorable John B. Simpson, of the Oklahoma Farmers Union, I am stating my views on the Frazier-Lemke bill. Recently Mr. Simpson wrote me suggesting that members of the farmers union and farmers generally would be interested in a short explanation and requesting me to state my position.

I view the enactment of the Frazier-Lemke bill or its principles as being necessary for the social and economic restoration of the farmer. Although many of us in the House of Representatives worked hard for the bill, it was defeated owing to the opposition of the administration. The principles of the Frazier-Lemke bill would restore prosperity to this country because it would centralize all farm indebtedness, provide low rates of interest on chattel and farm mortgages and provide a long period of time for the payment of the farm debt.

About six and one-half million people of this country are actively engaged in agriculture. Something like 30,000,000 people depend directly upon farms and farmers for a living. Credit to the farmer, such as is provided by this bill, is on an annual basis of 1½-percent interest and 1½-percent principal. It would mean that for every \$1,000 of indebtedness the farmer would make a yearly payment of \$30. It would take 47 years for the farmer to pay out of debt if he made regular payments at the regular rates provided. By being able to concentrate his chattel indebtedness-notes secured by livestock, property, and so forth-and farm mortgage to one source, and that to the Government, and by being allowed to make low payments over a long period of time, the farmer could beat back to financial security. In this respect the Frazier-Lemke bill gives the farmer much the same treatment that is accorded railroads, banks, and insurance companies. The Federal Government loans its credit to certain banks of the country as low as 27 cents for \$1,000, this being the amount paid for preparing or printing the bills or notes. Certain banks present bonds to the Treasury Department and receive bills in exchange. The Treasury Department pays interest on the bonds. The bank takes the money issued for the bonds and loans it to the people. Thereby the bank receive interest at both ends.

The Frazier-Lemke bill provides for the issuance of \$3,000,000,000 worth of farm-loan bonds. These bonds are to be sold at par. If the regular demand for the bonds does not supply the desired amount of credit, the Federal Reserve Board shall then take the bonds and issue Federal Reserve notes against them up to par value. Opponents of the bill have labeled this "inflation." It can never be called unsound money for the reason that on May 29, 1936, the Treasury Department reported it had nearly ten and one-half billion dollars of gold in reserve. On April 30, 1936, the Treasury reported that the United States owned nearly \$17,000,-000,000-\$16,740,043,355. On the same date it reported that only approximately \$6,000,000,000 was actually in circulation-\$5,885,516,595. In other words, the Federal Government has nearly three times as much money as there is in actual circulation. The Federal Reserve law requires only a 40-percent gold backing for sound money. This means that for every dollar in gold in reserve there could be \$2.50 in currency issued against it and it would be sound money. In addition to the gold reserve there is approximately one and one-half billions of silver reserve. There is less than \$45 per capita actually outstanding from the Treasury Department. A great portion that is recorded as having been put in circulation by the Treasury is doing the people of this country no good. Some of it is in foreign countries. Much of it has been lost or destroyed in fires, floods, and by theft.

We can take our \$12,000,000,000 gold and silver reserve and redeem every dollar of our outstanding currency. After doing so we would have about \$6,000,000,000 left. After the Frazier-Lemke legislation is enacted we would still have gold and silver dollars for every dollar issued and \$3,000,000,000 in reserve in addition.

The Frazier-Lemke bill proposes to use the facilities of the Farm Credit Administration and the national farm-loan associations for the purpose of carrying out its provisions.

Although the Frazier-Lemke bill was recently defeated in the House of Representatives, I shall continue to direct my efforts in cooperation with the great farm organizations and farm leaders of this country until it becomes law. The people want the Frazier-Lemke bill to become law. Twentynine State legislatures, including Oklahoma, have passed resolutions memorializing Congress to pass it. In addition to this, the house of representatives in five other States have endorsed the bill. If Congress wants to bring a "new deal"—an American deal—to the farmers and to the country generally, it will pass the Frazier-Lemke bill.

SPEAKER BYRNS

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, the Grim Reaper, with his far-reaching and ruthless scythe, has deprived us of a great man in the sudden and untimely death of our leader and Speaker, Joseph Wellington Byrns, of Tennessee.

His death is a great loss to his dear ones, to his many friends, and to the entire Nation. His loss to the membership of the Congress is such that words cannot adequately express.

The life work of "Joe" Byrns, as we all called him, and as he wanted his friends in Congress to call him, stands as a monument to the youth of the country. His life is a lesson to all, particularly to the young person starting out in life, seeking as a guide lessons from the lives of those who have preceded them.

Speaker Byrns was born in 1869, near the northern border of the State of Tennessee. After completing high school, he worked his way through college, in 1890 receiving his LL. B. from Vanderbilt University. Immediately thereafter he started the practice of law and shortly after the opening of his law office entered the field of politics. From that time to the time of his death he served his people as a legislator in State and in Nation. In 1909 he was elected as a Representative in Congress from the Sixth District of Tennessee, representing his people from that time until he received the great call.

During his many terms as a Member of the Congress no man worked harder or more conscientiously in behalf of his people in the affairs of the country than did our late Speaker. He never forgot that he was a Representative, and, as more frequently stated, a servant of the people.

The esteem with which he was held by all was best evidenced by the confidence reposed in him by the people of his district and in his continuous election by them as their Representative in the National House of Representatives.

His long and brilliant career as a member of the Congress was marked by his tireless efforts in behalf of the Nation. bringing to him every honor, and deservedly so, within the power of his party, and of his colleagues. In 1931, after faithful service as chairman of the Committee on Appropriations, one of the most responsible positions in the House, he was chosen by the members of his party as their floor leader. However, that was only a stepping stone to having bestowed on him the greatest honor that a Member of the House can receive, election as Speaker of the House of Representatives. This honor which he earned, came to him in 1935, the duties of which he performed with honor and dignity. It was an honor which came to him as a result of years of hard work, of faithful service to party and to country, and in recognition of his brilliant record, and his natural qualifications, so frequently evidenced on the floor.

The manner in which the late Speaker presided over the House, with complete fairness and impartiality, and yet, performing the duties as leader of his party in the House,

justified the confidence and trust that the Members placed in him. He brought credit to the House and to the Democratic Party. His able and constructive conduct during the trying days of the last 2 years, during which he presided over the House as its Speaker, has been of invaluable assistance to the people of the United States.

In addition to the qualities which I have mentioned, our late friend, Speaker Byrns, was kind, gentle, modest, and retiring. Joe Byrns possessed the respect and the confidence of his colleagues of both parties. His firm, but kind and cooperative spirit was not only evidenced while presiding over the House, but in his contacts with Members who sought his advice and assistance, which he gave freely without regard to party affiliation. He gave to others the benefits of his wisdom and experience, and was particularly anxious to assist the younger Members of the House.

We can never forget that soft spoken and kindly mannered friend, who was a tower of strength to all of us, particularly during the last 2 years during which he presided over the House. His calmness quieted the turbulence that sometimes arises in the House. He was kind, but firm in the discharge of his duties.

The kindness and gentleness of our late friend and Speaker which endeared him to us, leaves a memory that will always remain with his friends. Able, eloquent, constructive, and courageous, he possessed a personality that inspired others to follow him with confidence. Speaker Byrns will go down in the history of the Democratic Party as one of its foremost leaders. He will go down in the history of our country under constitutional form of Government as one of the outstanding Speakers of the National House of Representatives.

Our loss could never be more keenly felt than at the present time, when, after a quarter of a century of constructive service to the Nation our late friend was taken from us just as he was successfully bringing to an end the present difficult and trying Congress, which the late Speaker has eloquently termed "The Recovery Congress." As Members of Congress we will never forget his relationship to us as Speaker. As colleagues we will always remember his friendship.

His sudden and untimely death leaves us numbed. It has taken from our presence on this earth not only an outstanding public official, to whom "a public office was a public trust", but a friend of broadness, tolerance, and kindness. Nothing can dim our memory of Speaker Byrns, a great leader, and of "Joe" Byrns, a true friend.

MY ACTIVITIES IN WASHINGTON

Mr. FERGUSON. Mr. Speaker, this résumé is by no means an attempt on my part to cover all my activities in Congress. I am only calling your attention to my major activities. I feel that you are entitled to know exactly what I did in Washington. If you care for more detailed information, just drop me a line and I will be glad to answer your questions.

FARM PROGRAM—SOIL CONSERVATION—PARITY PRICE—FLOOD CONTROL—FARM CREDIT AND REFINANCING

Soil conservation: Author of several bills to bring about a constructive soil-conservation program. Recognized by other Congressmen and department officials as a leader in the movement. Conferred with such men as Harry L. Hopkins, W. P. A. Administrator; Harold C. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior; Henry A. Wallace, Secretary of Agriculture; Rexford Tugwell, Resettlement Administrator; Director H. H. Bennett and Dr. N. E. Winters, of the Soil Conservation Service; Marvin Jones, chairman of the Agricultural Committee of the House; and the President of the United States on the problems of soil conservation and how it affects the Eighth Congressional District.

National results (soil-conservation program): The substitute A. A. A. program now in force is based on this soil-conservation program. Last year the soil-conservation program was considered a minor service of the Department of the Interior. Today the soil-conservation program is rec-

ognized as the proper way to combat floods and to insure future productivity of the soil.

District results (soil-conservation program): Soil-conservation C. C. C. camps at Garber and Blackwell. Demonstration areas at Guymon and a project in Dewey County serving Woodward and Major Counties. Was successful in getting \$2,000,000 for listing ground that is blowing in the dust bowl. This was the result of a year's fight. New survey being made for the Kenton Dam. Survey by two geologists from Resettlement Administration at my request are studying feasibility of drilling wells in Texas and adjoining counties for irrigation purposes. My amendment asking \$170,000 to save shelterbelt trees for farmers' use passed the House and Senate. The trees are to be used by farmers for windbreaks and field protection.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND ACTIVITY IN HOUSE AND BEFORE COMMITTEES

Field stations (dry-land agriculture): Appeared before Senate and House committees asking that the program be expanded. Results: A probable reduction avoided.

Shelterbelt: My amendment asking for \$170,000 to save the trees passed, after appearing before the Senate committee and convincing the committee it was necessary to restore money for the tree program.

House Joint Resolution No. 275: Asking for \$50,000,000 for the drought area.

House Joint Resolution No. 6455: To establish a permanent soil-conservation service.

House Resolution No. 6592: To preserve our national resources.

FLOOD CONTROL

Recognized by my fellow Members of Congress as the man who was responsible for the passage of H. R. 8455, the omnibus flood-control bill which passed the House and Senate. The bill included the Ferguson policy of flood control.

Coauthor with Senator Norris, of Nebraska, of H. R. 10302, a bill to control floods, develop power, and preserve the natural resources of the entire Mississippi Valley. This will receive serious consideration at the next session of Congress.

National results (flood control): House Resolution 8455 being amended to include projects on the eastern rivers that recently caused a quarter of a billion dollars in damage, and some 200 lives. Passed Congress.

As in the soil-conservation bill I sponsored and put through the House, H. R. 8455, which includes the Ferguson flood-control policy, is assisting the Government in solving the great national problem of flood control.

I was one of the speakers at the Mississippi Valley Association's annual meeting in St. Louis last November. Spoke on the problems of flood control. Also a speaker before the national rivers and harbors conference meeting.

Local results (flood control): Twenty reservoirs will eventually be built in Oklahoma.

The Optima, Fort Supply, Kenton Dam, and Great Salt Plains reservoirs will be built. With State cooperation Salt Plains should be under construction this summer.

The Braman Dam in Kay County is now under construc-

My efforts will be rewarded because of the many hours I spent studying the problems of flood control. As a member of the Flood Control Committee, and my innumerable contacts with Gen. E. M. Markham, Chief of the Army Engineers; Captain Clay, Major Somervell, General Pillsbury, and Colonel Graves, also of the Army Engineer Corps, here in Washington, all my good friends, who respect my interest and activity in flood-control legislation, are always willing to help me in solving the problem of flood control. The Members of the House recognize me as the most active member of the flood-control committee.

Bills introduced (flood control): H. R. 5712—For flood control and soil conservation in several States. Includes Fort Supply and Optima Reservoirs. Part of H. R. 8455. H. R. 6592—For flood control throughout the United States. H. R. 7628—To build a reservoir at Braman (now under con-

struction). H. R. 6728-To build a reservoir in Alfalfa County, Great Salt Plains Dam. Part of H. R. 8455. H. R. 6727-To build a reservoir in Cimarron County, Kenton Dam. H. R. 10302-To provide for a Mississippi Valley Authority.

PASTURE-PURCHASE BILL

Secretary Wallace assures me his support of my bill to have the Government buy poor land and put it back to grass for pasture. Pasture to be rented to farmers. This will let the farmers who actually live on the farm have grass for their stock. I know the farmers are going to stay in Oklahoma; only "desk" farmers talk about moving. COST OF PRODUCTION

Joined Congressman Sam Massingale in his effort to get a cost-of-production bill for farmers.

FARM CREDIT

Know Gov. W. I. Myers, Farm Credit Administrator, personally. Have made every effort to speed up the feed and seed loans. Got extra money for cattle-buying program in Oklahoma. Got feed for drought area in spring of 1935. Helped get the fallow loans to farmers. Urging Resettlement Administration to make feed and seed loans to clients not now eligible. Also had the feed and seed loan office accept all applications that were rejected by resettlement due to a lack of funds for further consideration.

LAND BANK

Aided in legislation to reduce farm-loan-credit rate to 31/2 percent. Have asked Wichita Land Bank to review many appraisals. Have backed movement to help refinance their

FRAZIER-LEMKE BILL

Opposed "gag" rule. The adoption of the "gag" rule held up a vote on the Frazier-Lemke bill. Personal friend of both Senator Lynn J. Frazier and Congressman William Lemke. Signed petition and voted to get this bill passed, which provides for refinancing farm loans on long-term credit and payment of 11/2 percent on the capital and 11/2 percent on the interest annually. Result: We will soon have lower interest rates on farm mortgages.

BILLS INTRODUCED-FARM LEGISLATION

H. R. 12200-Pasture-land bill-Government to buy marginal land and turn back to pasture.

H. R. 10502-To collect the processing tax from manufacturers.

H. R. 8544-Appropriation for the Panhandle A. and M.

H. J. Res. 446-To slightly change the punctuation of the Constitution which would allow the Federal Government to provide for the general welfare.

H. J. Res. 68-To help the cattle industry.

H. Con. Res. 43-To force the return of processing tax collected by packers and millers to the United States Treasury. WHEAT-CORN-HOG CONTRACTS

Have investigated over 500 A. A. A. contracts for the farm-

ers in the eighth district. Assisted them in getting their payments.

FARMERS' BULLETINS

Invited all farmers in the eighth district to select five bulletins and sent them copies. Have also distributed over 3,000 agriculture Year Books.

LABOR

Spoke and voted for the Wagner disputes bill. Voted for the Guffey coal bill, the Railroad Retirement Act, the Social Security Act. Fought for a higher W. P. A. wage scale for Oklahoma labor.

Recent decisions of the Supreme Court-Moorehead against The People—proves neither the Federal nor State government can pass laws regulating hours or wages until the Constitution is changed.

My constitutional change, if accepted, would permit Federal legislation that would provide for real collective bargaining, regulation of hours and wages, and abolish child

Attended hearings before the committees in the interest of labor legislation.

Wrote a letter to the Ways and Means Committee on the Disney oil bill.

Supported legislation affecting refinery workers.

RURAL MAIL CARRIERS

Introduced a widows' (H. R. 12605) annuity bill. Appeared before committee in favor of widow annuity legislation. Attended hearings for the star-route carriers before the Post Office and Post Roads Committee. Conferred with directors of the N. R. M. C. Association, as well as with members of the executive committee of the N. S. R. C. Association here in Washington.

MERCHANTS

Supported the Robinson-Patman bill. Carefully watched all bills that affect the merchants of Oklahoma. Have checked Government contracts and cases of businessmen in the district. Contacted Federal Communications Commission for radio stations.

OIL INDUSTRY

Supported the Disney oil bill. Following is part of an editorial appearing in the Tulsa Tribune of March 19, 1935:

The Tribune would suggest that Representative Phil Ferguson, Democratic Congressman from Oklahoma, send Senator Borah a copy of his recent letter to the House Ways and Means Committee, in which Ferguson explained what the oil industry really is.

MUNICIPALITIES

Have aided almost every town in my district in getting information about various W. P. A. and P. W. A. projects. Worked with county, city, and State officials on projects that were pending before Government bureaus. Got such projects as the Newkirk Dam, the Braman Dam, a 45-percent grant for the Northwestern State Teachers College at Alva. This grant would never have been made if it were not for my efforts in bringing this case for review to Harry L. Hopkins, as it was definitely turned down before I started action.

At my instigation, Blackwell post office is to be completely reconstructed and will be in first-class condition. Alva post office was also completed due to my getting an additional \$10,000 for its completion. The Guymon, Tonkawa, and Perry post offices are now being surveyed in the light of

getting new buildings.

Many worth-while projects have been constructed through the Works Progress Administration. I have always assisted the State and district officials in getting recognition here in Washington for their projects. The Eighth District of Oklahoma received \$4,011,535.58 through the W. P. A. and P. W. A. the last 2 years.

VETERANS

Fought for the soldiers' adjusted-service-certificate legislation. Have over 450 veterans' cases which I have checked with the Bureau personally. Appeared before the board of appeals for veterans in my district on several occasions. Assisted many veterans in getting duplicate discharge papers and hospital records. Have offered my services to all veterans and their dependents.

OLD-AGE PENSIONS

Backed and voted for the social-security bill, which included an old-age pension. Tried to get amendment passed so that States not having laws to receive Federal aid immediately could participate until the States passed such laws. The old people of Oklahoma will receive pensions partially paid by Federal funds when Oklahoma has an approved old-age-pension law. The amount of pension will depend on State law.

WEST POINT AND ANNAPOLIS

Gave every boy interested in West Point or Annapolis an equal chance for the place. Held competitive examinations, and the boys winning high received my recommendation. Sent two boys to West Point and one to Annapolis. This policy will be continued.

INDIANS

Actively interested in all Indian legislation. Attended hearing on all major bills. Assisted Chairman Rogers, of the Indian Committee.

SCHOOLS

Called Harry L. Hopkins in behalf of the distressed schools in Oklahoma. Oklahoma delegation was successful in getting \$200,000 to keep them open. Helped many communities get P. W. A. and W. P. A. loans and grants for new school buildings.

PRIVATE BILLS

Introduced eight private bills to assist worthy cases in the Eighth District.

COMMITTEES

I am a member of the following committees in the House: Flood Control; Census; Public Buildings and Grounds; Election of President, Vice President, and Representatives in Congress.

SPEECHES

Made 35 speeches on the floor of the House. Appeared before over 50 committee hearings in behalf of legislation; this does not include my own committee hearings.

C. C. C. CAMPS AND PROJECT AREAS

Without the efforts of Jack Nichols, Jed Johnson, and myself, Oklahoma would have lost one-third of her C. C. C. camps. We not only saved camps for Oklahoma but saved this constructive program for the Nation.

Have three C. C. C. camps in the Eighth District, one State park camp, and two soil-conservation camps. Another camp, Ponca Lake camp, will be reoccupied September 1. I have two project areas under the supervision of the Soil Conservation Service, one in Guymon and a split one for Woodward and Major Counties.

GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES RESULT IN 50 PERCENT IMPROVEMENT IN BUSINESS IN WESTERN NEW YORK

Mr. BEITER. Mr. Speaker, another Congress is about to come to a close, and while I shall not endeavor to give a commentary on its accomplishments, I do believe the record of governmental activities in my district is well worth a review at this time.

Sometimes I think government is the poorest of all salesmen. It is a fact that the average citizen pays his taxes grudgingly. If government employed high-pressure salesmen like any other industry, the salesmen would constantly be reminding their customers, the taxpayers: "Is the money we spend on delivering your mail a total loss? Do you find a program of soil and forest conservation advisable? Would you want to give up the advantages afforded you by weather reporting? Do you recall the fateful years of 1929 to 1933? Can you conscientiously disapprove the Federal Government's actions to assist farmers in bringing about an adjustment to meet an acute national emergency? Does not the park or playground-built with relief funds-pay profitable dividends? And the schools, made possible through public-works loans and grants? Does not the money that goes to such an endeavor as the C. C. C. represent capital investment? Is a forest ranger in our national parks less valuable than a night watchman in a mill? Will not the general public be benefited when the 512 hospitals are completed and operating as a part of the Public Works Administration's construction program? Where would the motor industry be today if your cars had to run through the mud and ruts of yesteryear? And who built the roads? How would our modern vessels dock and discharge their large cargoes of iron ore, grain, coal, and so forth, had not the Federal Government spent billions of dollars on our watertransportation system and in improving our harbors, both on the inland waterways and on the coastwise systems? What would be the freight rate on a barrel of flour from Buffalo to New York if we did not have the canal system? Was not the P. W. A. waterworks program a form of public improvement? Does it not promote public health? Has it not reduced fire-insurance rates and hazards? This program cost the Federal Government \$607,386,000 in grants, but does it not provide for community growth and expansion and thus strengthen real property values and broaden the base for future taxation?

And so on down the long, long list of services performed and goods conserved by what we call government.

Mr. Speaker, I could point out in any one of the 435 congressional districts a number of agencies in which the Federal Government plays a dominant role. However, I shall confine my remarks to the activities of the various Federal agencies in my own congressional district.

UNITED STATES SPENDS MILLIONS IN HARBOR AT BUFFALO

Being an advocate of improvements of our inland waterways, I was appointed a member of the Rivers and Harbors Committee, and I am proud to state that during the fiscal years 1934 and 1935, Buffalo received one of the largest appropriations in years. Army Engineers spent more than \$1,750,000 in maintaining and improving the rivers and harbors of the Buffalo area.

The work performed during the past fiscal year in the vicinity of Buffalo, N. Y., was as follows:

Buffalo Harbor, N. Y.: An allotment of \$655,500 was received from the Public Works Administration and has been applied to breakwater construction. Work on this project was completed during August 1935. The total expenditures were \$655,500, of which \$151,009.10 was spent during 1934; \$347,771.29 during 1935; and the balance during the current fiscal year. Maintenance work during the fiscal year 1937.

Black Rock Channel and Tonawanda Harbor, N. Y.: An allotment of \$1,760,000 from the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935 was received during the summer of 1935 and is being applied to removal of shoals from the Lake Erie entrance, enlargement of the North Tonawanda turning basin, and the extension of the Bird Island pier. Work is actively in progress, the sum of \$121,582 having been expended to March 31, 1936. It is expected that this work will be completed about June 1937. In addition, the sum of \$60,132 was expended for maintenance work during the fiscal year 1935, and the sum of \$74,418 was available on June 30, 1935, for maintenance work during the current fiscal year. It is proposed to allot the sum of \$74,400 for necessary maintenance work during the fiscal year 1937.

Niagara River, N. Y.: An allotment of \$94,514.24 received from the Public Works Administration during the fall of 1933 was applied during the fiscal years 1934 and 1935 to the completion of the 12-foot Schlossers Channel from the Niagara Falls waterworks intake to the foot of Hyde Park Boulevard in Niagara Falls. The sum of \$12,786 from regular funds was also applied during the fiscal year 1935 to the completion of the east channel of Niagara River from North Tonawanda to the channel at Niagara Falls. The sum of \$14,364 was available for maintenance work during the current fiscal year, and the sum of \$9,700 is intended to be allocated for maintenance work during the fiscal year 1937.

UNITED STATES ORDERS AID AIRCRAFT PLANTS IN BUFFALO

Buffalo's preeminence in the manufacture of aeronautical equipment of every design is recognized fully by the Army and Navy Departments, which during the fiscal year 1935 let contracts for planes and parts totaling \$3,140,000 to firms in the Buffalo area. During the fiscal year of 1934–35 the total contracts amounted to approximately \$5,000,000.

A \$759,680 contract for 40 scout observation planes and their parts was awarded to the Curtiss Aeroplane & Motor Co., Inc., as recently as May 23, 1936.

The planes will be of the same model, SOC-1, as the 135 machines built by Curtiss for the fleet under a contract last year which exceeded \$1,500,000.

There are outstanding at the present time in the Buffalo-New York area Bureau of Aeronautics contracts for: 400 parachutes valued at about \$40,000; 1 experimental airplane valued at about \$100,000; 135 observation airplanes and spare parts for those airplanes, valued at about \$3,000,000. In addition, there are a number of contracts for propellers, gun mounts, and airplane spare parts.

The contract for the parachutes is about 75 percent completed; for the experimental airplane and the 135 observation airplanes about 90 percent completed; the parts contracts are in various stages of completion.

In addition to the above, there is in the Buffalo-New York area a subcontract for 72 sets of flying-boat wings.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AIDS 4-H CLUB WORK IN ERIE COUNTY

Extension work of the United States Department of Agriculture is carried on in every State through a distinct division of the College of Agriculture, known as the Extension Service, with a State extension director in charge. In turn, the several county boards of commissioners and local groups of interested farmers employ resident county agricultural agents, home demonstration or county 4–H club agents. In New York State, the Extension Service cooperates with local organized groups known as county farm bureaus with county home bureaus. Committees of such groups assist the State extension service and local county agents in developing local support and in carrying on a program of agricultural and home improvement which these leaders, together with the State extension service, consider most appropriate to meet the agricultural and home needs in each county.

The work of the county extension agents is reinforced by extension specialists in agriculture and home economics employed by the college. These make trips to the various counties to help the agents organize their programs of work, carry on demonstrations, hold meetings, discuss various farm problems, and provide the agents with literature and news information material.

Cooperative extension work in Eric County is particularly well organized. During 1935, there were 811 members of the Eric County Farm Bureau, 1,382 members of the Eric County Home Bureau, and 914 boys and girls 4-H club members.

The statistical reports of the county extension agents in Erie County for 1935 show the following volunteer local leaders or committeemen actively engaged in forwarding extension programs: Men, 150; women, 350; men and women in 4-H club work, 58.

The programs in agricultural extension work include the following results as shown by the statistical reports of the county extension agents:

In agriculture Cereals: Number of farmers following fertilizer recommenda-940 Number of farmers following disease-control recommendations___ 200 Number of farmers following improved seeds recom-mendations.... 575 Legume and forage crops: Number of farmers following fertilizer recommenda-680 Number of farmers following marketing recommenda-_ 1,200 tions_ Number of farmers following fertilizer recommenda-600 tions_ Number of farmers following insect-control recommendations_ Number of farmers following disease recommendations... 400 Number of farmers following marketing recommenda-600 tions_. Fruits and vegetables: Number of farmers following fertilizer recommenda-430 tions_. Number of farmers following insect-control recommendations_. Number of farmers following disease recommendations... Number of farmers following marketing recommenda-470 550

Two hundred and fifty-six farmers were aided with agricultural engineering information, involving an estimated service of \$118,600.

Erie County is probably the most important poultry county in the State. The extension agents report a minimum of 500 farms reached with the various recommended practices in improving poultry keeping and marketing.

In livestock husbandry 4,000 farmers are listed as following the disease-control recommendations, 200 in following the marketing recommendations, 160 were helped in obtaining purebred dairy cattle.

It is estimated that 800 farmers are using the marketing information developed by the extension service.

In home demonstration work, the agents report that 1,875 families canned or preserved fruits and vegetables following the recommendations of the extension service. Eight hundred and fifty families report storing home food supplies in accordance with instruction. One hundred and ninety-five thousand two hundred and seventy-four quarts were reported as canned or preserved valued at \$48,779.59.

Two hundred and ninety-eight individuals followed the recommendations in clothing, 196 in budgeting clothing expenditures, 275 in clothing buying, 211 in caring for clothing, 450 in selection of household furniture, 350 in repairing or refinishing furniture, 275 in improving appearance of rooms, 320 in improving walls and woodwork.

These reports do not include the work of the urban home demonstration agents employed in the city of Buffalo in cooperation with the State extension service.

BUFFALO RECEIVES \$86,057.25 FEDERAL AID FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

All the types of work for which provision is made in the Smith Hughes and the George-Ellzey Acts are carried on in Erie County. The training of trade and industrial, and home economics teachers is also provided, according to Dr. J. C. Wright, assistant commissioner for vocational education.

The vocational education in Erie County for the fiscal year ended is listed as follows:

Name of school	Enroll- ment	Reimburse ment
AGRICULTURE		
Akron High School, district 3, Newstead Alden High School, district 10, Alden East Aurora High School, district 1, Aurora Holland High School, district 1, Holland Orchard Park High School, district 1, East Hamburg Springville High School, district 1, Concord	50 45 39 33 29 23	\$431. 21 870. 65 829. 36 512. 82 626. 50 409. 68
Total, agriculture	219	3, 680. 23
TRADE AND INDUSTRY	No.	
Buffalo: Evening classes: Burgard Peekham Seneca Technical	1, 042 329 668 585	3, 525, 75 1, 347, 25 1, 597, 75 2, 587, 50
	2, 624	9, 058. 25
Part-time, general: Continuation classes: Boys continuation Girls continuation	972 2, 214	3, 295, 22 3, 926, 59
	3, 186	7, 221. 81
All-day classes: Boys, vocational Burgard Industrial High Girls, vocational McKinley Industrial High Peckham Industrial High Seneca Industrial High	520 1, 070 654 463 575 1, 015	1, 758. 98 15, 534. 21 2, 998. 24 6, 657. 52 7, 989. 02 15, 362. 58
	4, 297	50, 300. 55
Lackawanna: Part-time, general, continuation classes	233	1, 200. 70
Total, trade and industry	10,340	67, 781. 31
HOME ECONOMICS		
Buffalo: Evening classes	1, 497	3, 925. 75
MAINTENANCE OF TEACHER-TRAINING		
Buffalo: State Teachers College: Trade and industry teacher-training Home economics teacher-training	298 148	3, 351. 96 7, 318. 00
	446	10, 669. 96
Vocational classes	12, 056 446	75, 387. 29 10, 669. 96
Total		86, 057, 25

\$33,650,000 LOANED BY R. F. C. IN WESTERN NEW YORK AREA LAST YEAR

Last year Uncle Sam acquired a \$33,650,000 interest in the banks, trust and loan mortgage companies, and various self-liquidating projects in western New York.

During 1935-36 the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has made authorizations in the Forty-first Congressional District of New York, and in the entire city of Buffalo, including the part in the Forty-first District, as follows:

	Amount authorized	Amount with- drawn or canceled	Amount
1935 41st district (except Buffalo)	\$27,000 856,500	\$4,500 254,000	\$67, 657. 89
1936 (as of May 18)	on to a	e Gara	mi est
41st district (except Buffalo) Buffalo	80,000		22, 500, 00 24, 842, 11

C. C. C. SUPPLIES JOBS FOR 3,835 IN FORTY-FIRST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Outstanding among the many relief projects of the Roosevelt administration is the Civilian Conservation Corps which has sought to give employment to younger men by utilizing them in a conservation program for the Nation's timber resources.

It is estimated that from the beginning of Emergency Conservation Work, through March 31, 1936, approximately 3,835 from the Forty-first Congressional District received employment in Emergency Conservation work. Of these, it is estimated that approximately 3,446 were enrolled men and 389 nonenrolled men.

From the beginning of Emergency Conservation work, through March 31, 1935, it is estimated that the total obligations for the State of New York approximated \$23,445,000. From April 1, 1935, through May 10, 1936, the estimated obligations for the State of New York totaled \$28,500,000, thus making a grand total of \$51,945,000—April 5, 1933, through May 10, 1936.

From April 1933, through February 29, 1936, it is estimated that New York C. C. C. boys allotted home to needy dependents approximately \$14,327,000.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS FURNISHES INFORMATION TO MANY FIRMS IN THE FORTY-FIRST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

The National Bureau of Standards has furnished information to many firms and individuals and has carried out tests for numerous manufacturers in or near Buffalo, as illustrated by the following examples.

Mr. George F. Fisk, consulting engineer of Buffalo, has served as the representative of the American Society of Municipal Engineers on the standing committee for the simplification of paving brick and has cooperated with the Bureau in keeping the simplified-practice recommendation for paving brick up to date.

As the result of correspondence, the Bureau has furnished the director of purchases, executive department, Buffalo, with lists of sources of supply of commodities covered by Federal specifications and commercial standards. This has doubtless been of considerable value to the city in its purchasing.

In the field of building construction and related subjects, information has been furnished to three firms, eight individuals, and the district engineer, department of public works, covering repairs to dwellings, construction of fire-places and septic tanks, building paper, heating plants, and problems of home building and home ownership.

The Bureau has tested 15 samples of fuel oil for the United States district engineer at Buffalo; however, the material represented by these samples was purchased and used at various points along the Great Lakes.

Buffalo is an active center for lake shipping. The Bureau has cooperated for a number of years in achieving greater safety in marine transportation. Buffalo is also a center for the manufacture and distribution of metal office equipment and insulated safes. In this connection the Bureau has developed specifications and made inquiry into the advantages from the fire-hazard standpoint obtainable with the use of metal equipment.

VALUABLE SERVICE RENDERED BY BUREAU OF FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC COMMERCE

In the absence of specific figures it is difficult to evaluate definitely the gains made by business as a result of utilizing the services of the Bureau's district offices.

The staff of the Buffalo office consists of the district manager and two assistants, who devote all of their time to disseminating the commercial information received from the Bureau, as well as ascertaining the needs of business and in encouraging the commercial interests of the Buffalo district to utilize the services and facilities of the district office freely.

The district manager and his staff occupy a peculiarly favorable position to inform themselves of the needs of business and to serve it more quickly than the Bureau can from Washington. The office is located in the chamber of commerce and works very closely with that organization in aiding business.

The services of the district office are twofold. From its foreign offices and the Consular Service the Bureau is constantly supplied with current information on economic and trade conditions on foreign markets, and this information is promptly sent to the Buffalo office for the use of its manufacturers and exporters. Its sources of information in the domestic field are likewise extensive. A great volume of data pertaining to all lines of business is received, not only from governmental sources, but also from trade associations, research bureaus, business firms, the universities, and other outside sources, which is of great value to those engaged in developing the market at home. All of this information is analyzed and assembled into proper form at the Bureau for distribution to the business public through the Buffalo and other district offices.

The Buffalo office maintains a mailing list of 364 firms known as its exporters' index, who regularly receive, without solicitation on their part, all of the information described above. This list is being constantly added to as new firms are encouraged to use the services of the office. In the domestic field, 281 firms regularly receive a domestic commerce news letter, issued monthly from Washington, which informs those engaged in domestic marketing the latest developments in this field.

A comparison of exports from the Buffalo district with exports from the United States as a whole is shown on the table following. There was a substantial increase in exports in 1934 over the 1933 exports and the foreign trade of the country as a whole has increased in 1935 over 1934. Further gains are anticipated in 1936.

The Buffalo customs district ranks seventh in exports among the customs districts of the United States, and the port of Buffalo ranks second as a northern border port of the United States. Its rank among the ports of the United States would be higher but for the fact that certain other ports export large quantities of some particular commodity such as cotton, which makes their total higher than that of Buffalo. On the other hand, Buffalo's foreign trade is diverse, showing a wide range of products, a large part of which are exported to Canada. Its trade with that country, the second largest customer of the United States, is expected to greatly increase as a result of the consummation of the recent reciprocal-trade agreement with Canada.

President Roosevelt and Secretary Hull deserve the thanks of their countrymen for negotiating successfully a trade agreement with Canada on the basis of the principle of reciprocity. Other agreements like it have been made in the last 2 years but none comparable in importance to the Canadian pact. It is not necessary to pass judgment on every item and every schedule in the agreement to recognize that America has embarked at last on the safest and sanest program of tariff making that we heve ever known. In some respects the Canadian treaty will mark a milestone in the drive this generation has been making to work its way out of the economic depression. Historians may some day say it was the turning point in an era of post-war nationalism which in the last decade reached absurd proportions, and that finally the world entered in 1935 upon a different era in which the res-

toration of world trade by the removal of artificial barriers became the paramount objective.

United States exports, from all districts and from the Buffalo district, 1933–34, inclusive

[1,000 dollars]

Year	All districts	Buffalo district	Percent
1933	1, 674, 994	50, 825	3. 0
	2, 132, 800	64, 839	3. 0

Concessions of direct benefit to American apple growers have been obtained in every one of the 14 reciprocal-trade agreements which have been concluded under authority of the Trade Agreements Act of June 13, 1934.

ONLY TWO BANKS CLOSED IN NEW YORK IN 1934-35—NONE IN FORTY-FIRST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

As of March 31, 1936, there were 926 operating banks in the State of New York. Of this number 791 were commercial banks and 135 were mutual savings banks. Of the total number of commercial banks in the State, 766, or over 96 percent, were insured. Of the total number of insured banks, 459 were national banks, 119 were State banks members of the Federal Reserve System, 188 were commercial banks not members of the Federal Reserve System, and 2 were mutual savings banks. Noninsured banks numbered 158, of which 25 were commercial banks and 133 mutual savings banks.

Deposits of insured commercial banks in New York amounted to \$13,177,830,000 on December 31, 1935, an increase of \$1,483,208,000 over the amount reported on December 31, 1934. Insured mutual savings banks reported deposits of \$499,051,000 on December 31, 1935. On the same date deposits of noninsured banks in New York totaled \$5,360,217,000, of which amount \$677,867,000 was held by commercial banks and \$4,682,350,000 by mutual savings banks.

During the 13-year period from January 1, 1921, through 1933, 230 banks in the State suspended. Deposits of these institutions totaled \$537,955,000. Included in these figures are 108 banks, having deposits of \$187,879,000, which closed during the banking holiday and which were not licensed to reopen by April 12, 1933, and nine nonlicensed banks, with deposits of \$10,069,000, placed in liquidation between March 15 and April 12, 1933. During the years 1934 and 1935 only two banks, having deposits of \$7,059,000, suspended. These were not insured institutions.

As of December 31, 1935, the total outstanding investment of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation in insured commercial banks in the State amounted to \$220,710,000 and extended to 431 banks. This amount was distributed as follows: \$128,125,000 in 236 national banks; \$65,800,000 in 56 State member banks; \$26,785,000 in 139 nonmember banks.

The following table indicates the number and deposits of insured and noninsured banks in Buffalo and other cities of the Forty-first Congressional District:

Number and deposits of insured and noninsured banks, Dec. 31, 1935

	A	Il banks	Inst	ired banks	Nonins	sured banks 1
Location	Num- ber	Deposits	Num- ber	Deposits	Num- ber	Deposits
Buffalo	10	\$514, 299, 000 1, 085, 000	7	\$305, 123, 000 1, 085, 000	3	\$209, 176, 000
Alden	1 1	955, 000 1, 853, 000 618, 000	1 1	955,000 1,853,000 618,000		
Lancaster	ī	1, 443, 000	1	1, 443, 000		
Total	15	520, 253, 000	12	311, 077, 000	3	209, 176, 000

¹ Represents mutual savings banks only. All commercial banks in the Forty-first Congressional District are insured.

Records of the Corporation indicate that, since January 1, 1934, when deposit insurance became operative, there have been no bank suspensions in my district.

POSTAL SAVINGS DECLINE DUE TO RESTORATION OF CONFIDENCE

A general restoration of public confidence and financial conditions in the Forty-first Congressional District are responsible for the decline in Postal Savings deposits in this area.

It will be noted that the following figures show a gradual decrease from June 30, 1933 to April 30, 1936, which indicates depositors are withdrawing their savings for investment purposes.

Comparative statement of postal-savings deposits in Buffalo, N. Y., area

	June 30, 1933	June 30, 1934	June 30, 1935	Dec. 31, 1935	Feb. 29, 1936	Apr. 30, 1936, un- audited
AkronAlden	12, 997 2, 597	10, 455 2, 597	10, 413 2, 597	10, 413 2, 597	10, 413 2, 597	10, 413 2, 597
Angola	24, 920	21, 781	18, 150	16, 658	16, 676	15, 345
Buffalo Depew	2, 468, 459 25, 721	2, 056, 847	1, 752, 175 32, 495	1, 686, 411 37, 212	1, 673, 633	1, 663, 919 39, 284
East Aurora	17, 322	16, 969	13, 159	12, 238	10, 137	9, 912
Hamburg	24, 953	25, 389	17, 801	16, 494	16, 832	17, 201
Lancaster	36, 845	35, 848	28, 913	27, 114	27, 169	26, 418
Niagara Falls North Tona-	287, 256	274, 100	264, 158	253, 396	250, 127	251, 367
wanda	104, 594	96, 451	87, 017	88, 174	87, 397	88, 453
Orchard Park	1, 151	701	442	511	261	291
Tonawanda	49, 651	47, 274	49, 004	40, 908	41, 819	41, 638
Total	3, 056, 446	2, 619, 898	2, 276, 324	2, 192, 126	2, 174, 965	2, 166, 838

FEDERAL WEATHER BUREAU AIDS LAKE SHIPPING, FARMERS, AND AIRCRAFT

A storm-warning service is maintained for lake shippers in the Great Lakes district, and Buffalo is part of this service. Storm warnings are issued for all five lakes from Chicago, but the small-craft warnings for the Buffalo district are often issued direct from the Buffalo office. Among the storm-warning stations are Dunkirk, Buffalo, Fort Niagara, Charlotte, Sodus Point, Oswego, and Ogdensburg; and these, comprising the Buffalo district, are maintained by and all warnings are issued through the Buffalo office. Besides warning flags by day and electric lights by night, warnings are phoned if it is necessary in the judgment of the official in charge.

The service is maintained 7 days a week, and warnings may be issued at any time between sunrise and 11 p.m. There are three storm-warning towers at Buffalo—on the telephone building, at the Coast Guard, and in Lackawanna.

Service to the farmers was never so good as now, since the latest forecasts and other information are sent out several times a day by the radio stations. This includes forecasts of probable high and low temperatures, frost warnings when issued, the character of weather to be expected, a shippers' forecast, and so forth.

The aviation office at the airport is one of the most complete in the country. It is maintained by cordial cooperation between the Weather Bureau and the Bureau of Air Commerce. It is never closed. Complete aviation maps from the latest teletype data are made every 6 hours for the information of pilots and other local aviation interests. Hourly weather observations are taken at Buffalo and similar reports are received by teletype from many other important points. Observations are taken if conditions change within the hour. Aviation forecasts are also made available at the office both for regular and special flights. Much of the broadcasting is done by Weather Bureau personnel. Pilot balloon runs are made at 6-hour periods, this being for the purpose of obtaining wind direction and velocity data aloft. This office also has the direct supervision of the Buffalo-Albany and Buffalo-Newark airways.

AT BATAVIA FACILITY 4,312 VETERANS RECEIVE HOSPITAL TREATMENT

The Federal Government does not forget its veterans.

More than \$45,000,000 was disbursed by the Veterans Administration during the fiscal year 1935 to veterans and the dependents of deceased veterans of all wars who reside in the State of New York.

In addition, as of March 31, 1936, 377,508 adjusted-service certificates, having a face value of \$381,301,305, had been

issued to veterans who gave the State of New York as their home address.

As of March 31, 1936, there were 9,001 World War veterans having service-connected disabilities, 988 with non-service-connected disabilities, and 226 peacetime veterans who were formerly classified as World War veterans receiving compensation or pension benefits through the Batavia, N. Y., regional office, serving Erie County.

As of May 2, 1936, there were 4,312 veterans receiving hospital treatment and 813 domiciliary care in the State of New York. Of these 272 were hospitalized at the Batavia facility.

The following table indicates an approximate distribution of expenditures in the State of New York during the fiscal year 1935 and the number of beneficiaries on the roll June 30, 1935.

	Number June 30, 1935	Disbursed during fiscal year 1935
Compensation and pension benefits:		Fig. 1
World War:	40.03300	TABLES AND COME.
Living veterans.	28, 593	\$13, 795, 862
Deceased veterans	8, 472	2, 775, 739
War of 1812 (deceased veterans)	1	789
Mexican War (deceased veterans)	.8	5, 082
Indian wars:	2000	
Living veterans	177	99, 060
Deceased veterans	244	88, 728
Civil War:		5025500
Living veterans	806	968, 348
Deceased veterans	6, 958	3, 315, 029
Spanish-American War:	2000000	9270000000000
Living veterans	13, 230	4, 580, 975
Deceased veterans	4, 205	1, 223, 176
Peacetime:	27232	124 525
Living veterans	2, 240	482, 385
Deceased veterans	563	158, 397
Total compensation and pension benefits:		Sila nm
Living veterans	45, 046	19, 926, 630
Deceased veterans	20, 451	7, 566, 940
Military and naval insurance	13, 175	9, 010, 462
Adjusted service and dependent pay		106, 251
Adjusted-service certificates (matured by death)		2, 737, 513
Administration 1		5, 603, 501
Construction		120, 355
Total disbursements		45, 071, 652

¹ Administration includes expenditures incident to the maintenance and operation of all offices, hospitals, and all forms of medical hospital and domiciliary care.

FEDERAL REVENUE BUREAU INCREASES REVENUE COLLECTIONS 89 PERCENT

Total collections of internal-revenue taxes during the fiscal year 1935 amounted to \$3,299,435,572, compared with \$2,672,-239,195 for the fiscal year 1934, an increase of \$627,196,377, or 23 percent. The detail of the administration of these taxes appears in the following text and the detail of receipts in the statistical tables appended to this report.

Income-tax collections for the fiscal year 1935 were \$1,099,-230,383, compared with \$817,025,340 in the preceding fiscal year, an increase of \$282,205,043, or 35 percent. The greater part of this increase was due to larger current income-tax collections during the second half of the fiscal year 1935, which reflected not only the relatively higher individual incomes and corporate earnings for the calendar year 1934 but also the effects of the Revenue Act of 1934 and the Treasury's change in its administration of depreciation allowances. The latter factor, together with the special efforts of the Bureau of Internal Revenue to collect back taxes on incomes, resulted in increased collections from this source during the fiscal year 1935.

This increase was due not only to the new legislation and the special efforts of the Bureau to collect back taxes on incomes but it also reflects better economic conditions and vigorous activity on the part of the internal-revenue officers and employees.

Total taxes in Buffalo are given in the detailed tabulation of collections as follows:

ing and the could not find a first	1935	1934
Corporation tax income	\$12, 159, 064, 51 7, 597, 999, 31 2, 714, 730, 78 7, 590, 723, 05	\$6, 419, 014, 40 6, 006, 302, 25 2, 267, 571, 12 6, 176, 468, 81

	1935	1934
Tobacco	\$30, 922, 98	\$31, 429, 33
Oleomargarine specified taxes	22, 275, 27	18, 454, 19
Mixed flour	334.00	385. 00
Narcotics	7, 848, 77	6, 763, 01
Stamps	65, 797, 89	117, 438, 68
Capital-stock transfers	30, 469, 63	75, 058, 78
Playing cards	78, 120. 40	82, 400, 00
Pleasure boats	10, 120. 10	2, 530, 27
Manufacturers' excise tax	2, 399, 614, 19	2, 433, 364, 30
Admissions, etc.	155, 773, 16	
	354, 612, 36	296, 276, 43
Bank checks		607, 154, 38
	39, 556. 66	36, 641. 53
	6, 333. 09	576, 028. 39
Capital stock	1, 382, 273. 91	1, 392, 574. 69
Excess profits.	133, 907. 96	23, 849. 31
A. A. A.	44, 923, 487. 65	7, 808, 345. 71
Gift tax	672, 933. 37	
Crude petroleum	7, 504. 14	
Coconut oil, etc	1, 105, 598. 41	
Club dues and instruction fees	134, 980, 10	
National Firearms Act	250,00	

BUREAU OF CENSUS .CONDUCTS MAJOR INQUIRIES

During the past year the Bureau of the Census has conducted three major inquiries, the results of which will yield valuable information concerning agriculture, business, and industry in the Forty-first Congressional District. I refer to the Census of Agriculture, 1935 (taken as of Jan. 1), the Census of Manufactures, 1935, and the Census of Business, 1935. The latter two inquiries are still in process. When completed they will give one of the most complete pictures of business and industry ever obtained by this Bureau.

Two other types of information are secured by the Bureau of the Census from the Forty-first Congressional District:

First. The report of the Buffalo city government which is secured annually and included in the Federal compilation of financial statistics of cities;

Second. A number of establishments in this area report to the Bureau of the Census concerning the current operation of their plants. These statistics are included in the monthly and quarterly Special Industrial Reports of the Bureau of the Census. Because of the nature of these latter inquiries the data is not published in detail by areas lest it reveal the operations of individual concerns,

AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT IN NEW YORK SINCE 1932 HAS BEEN REMARKABLE UNDER THE A. A. A.

Cash receipts from the sale of principal farm products in New York State for 1935 rose to 68 percent of their 1929 level. This compares favorably with cash receipts for the United States as a whole, which in 1935 had risen to 66 percent of their 1929 level. Cash receipts in New York account for about 91 percent of the total cash income from farm production.

In 1929 these cash receipts of New York producers aggregated \$351,621,000. Dropping to a low point of \$175,720,000 in 1932, they rose to \$221,180,000 in 1934, and to \$240,395,000 in 1935, including \$334,000 in rental and benefit payments.

By 1932 cash farm receipts in New York had fallen to about 50 percent of their 1929 level and in the North Atlantic States generally to about 54 percent of that level. But in the country as a whole, they had tobogganed to approximately 41 percent. By 1935, while for the country as a whole they had climbed to about 66 percent of their 1929 level, for the North Atlantic States they had risen to 72 percent and for New York to about 68.4 percent of that level

It might be pointed out that the vegetable growers, the dry edible bean industry, the canners of cherries, and the grape growers in New York have also benefited greatly.

Increased industrial activities in New York State and in the northeastern industrial area have coincided with the operation of the agricultural adjustment programs. The effect has been to increase the purchasing power of factory and industrial workers in New York State and to make them better customers for the agricultural products of the New York State farmers. This indirect influence offsets the fact that none of the adjustment programs, which related under the terms of the act to basic commodities, were applied to fruits or vegetables.

One method followed under the Agricultural Adjustment Act which has contributed to the advantage of New York producers of fruits and vegetables has been the purchase with Federal funds of price-depressing surpluses of agricultural commodities for distribution through relief channels. The effect of these purchases has been to relieve the pressure on the market for the commodities concerned. Under the provisions of section 32 of Public, 320, the act amending the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, there were purchased in New York State between January 1 and April 30 of this year 304,950 bushels of apples, 144,000 pounds of cabbage, 2,376,000 pounds of carrots, 1,900,000 pounds of onions, 385,830 dozen eggs, 783,168 pounds of butter, and 189,000 pounds of dry skim milk. Except for the eggs, butter, and dry skim milk, these products were produced in New York State by New York farmers, whose markets were suffering from surpluses.

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION LOANS \$958,300 TO 415 ERIE COUNTY
FARMERS

The following analysis of benefits resulting from Federal land bank and land bank commissioner loans in New York is of interest to all farmers. It is estimated that the proceeds of such loans were used to refinance New York farmers' debts, as follows:

Mortgage debts to-	
Life-insurance companies	\$40,000
Commercial banks	3, 749, 700
Joint-stock land banks	2, 102, 000
Others	10, 336, 700
Other debts to—	
Commercial banks	2, 511, 200
State, county, etc., for taxes	490, 400
Others	3, 659, 100
Total	22, 889, 100

This sum refinanced debts totaling \$25,830,100. Thus the amount of scale-down of principal occurring in connection with Federal land bank and land bank commissioner loans in New York from May 1, 1933, to December 31, 1935, was \$2,941,000. Since the average rate of interest on these debts was cut from 5.9 percent before refinancing to slightly less than 5 percent after refinancing with the Federal land bank and land bank commissioner, there is a resultant annual interest saving of \$441,000 to New York borrowers.

In Eric County the number and amount of loans made from May 1, 1933, to March 31, 1936, is as follows:

Institution	Number	Amount
Farm mortgage loans: Federal land banks (May 1, 1933-Dec. 31, 1935). Land bank commissioner (May 12, 1933-Dec. 31, 1935)	181 234	\$479, 200 379, 100
Total	415	958, 300
Short-term credit: Production credit associations (organization, Apr. 30, 1936) Emergency crop loans (Jan. 1, 1933-Sept. 30, 1935) Drought relief loans (July 3, 1934-June 30, 1935)	867 162 34	656, 254 24, 390 4, 833

¹ Represents total loans of the Batavia Production Credit Association which also serves the counties of Genesee, Livingston, and Wyoming.

UNITED STATES GUARDS BUFFALO'S FOOD STANDARDS

The effect of the enforcement of the Food and Drug Act and the other acts with the enforcement of which the Food and Drug Administration has been charged by the Congress, cannot be gaged wholly upon the work that is being done by the Buffalo station.

Of necessity the control of local problems must be left to the State and city organizations, whereas the efforts of the Food and Drug Administration are confined to the regulation of products which enter into any consuming section through the medium of interstate commerce. However, the activities of the Buffalo station in connection with the manufacturing operations of concerns in western New York do have a definite bearing on the intrastate and local shipments of such concerns.

TWO MILLION DOLLARS TO BE SPENT IN ERIE COUNTY FOR ROAD

The Bureau of Public Roads, Department of Agriculture, is the coordinating agency which has made possible the system of great highways which today link every section of the country.

The Bureau does not build roads, except in the national parks. It merely supervises road building to see that proper materials and methods are used, and allocates Federal funds to help the States build roads which fit into the national scheme of highways. In addition, it is now administering the expenditure of \$200,000,000 for the elimination of about 2,000 grade crossings.

The largest Federal and State allocation is in Eric County and Buffalo where \$2,000,000 is being spent on improvements. This work is under the supervision of the State highway department. In addition, the Eric County Board of Supervisors has approved a \$500,000 road and bridge building program, entirely financed by the county. Major State projects under construction include widening of Main Street to 60 feet from the Buffalo city line to Williamsville, the Broadway cut-off and bridge in Lancaster, the widening and resurfacing of Abbott Road through Lackawanna, and the reconstruction and widening of Seneca Street from the Buffalo city line to Center Street.

BUFFALO PROJECTS

Buffalo projects, not yet started but on the summer program, include elimination of grade crossings in Tifft and Ontario Streets and reconstruction of Broadway from Ellicott to Herman Streets and Niagara from Porter Street to Hertel Avenue.

A scenic highway improvement in Chautauqua County is the reconstruction of the east road, skirting Lake Chautauqua. Work now is in progress between Jamestown and Bemus Point and will be extended to Dewittville in the fall.

Niagara County State projects include resurfacing and widening of the road between Olcott and Lockport and reconstruction of Whirlpool Street from Cleveland Avenue to Spruce Avenue in Niagara Falls.

ARMORIES IN ERIE COUNTY OBTAIN \$218,267.51 FEDERAL FUNDS

In times of peace both the Army and Navy spend considerable sums of money in Erie County for maintenance and repair for the six State armories.

From July 1, 1935, to May 31, 1936, the total amount spent, which includes the pay of armory employees, was \$237,858.60. Federal funds have or will be allocated for additions and repairs to the Cavalry Armory, the One Hundred and Seventy-fourth Infantry Armory, and the armory at Tonawanda, totaling \$218,267.51. The State of New York has or will contribute sponsors' contribution on Federal projects totaling \$23,087.94. Combined expenditures total \$479,214.05.

BUFFALO HAS 57,099 MEMBERS ENROLLED IN THE AMERICAN RED CROSS

The 23 chapters organized in the 11 counties of western New York State enrolled 97,227 Red Cross members for the calendar year 1936. Fifty cents is the national apportionment of each membership payment, the balance remaining in the local chapter treasury for the support of community services. On this basis the chapters in western New York State contributed a total of \$48,613.50 for the support of the national and international responsibilities of the Red Cross.

Western New York State has also contributed \$144,621.84 for the sufferers of the flood during the spring of 1936. The Buffalo chapter, which includes all of Erie County in its jurisdiction, is outstanding among the larger cities in its enrollment of 57,099 members. Buffalo has been equally generous in its contribution for flood and disaster relief.

The traditional Red Cross services with which everyone is familiar do not vary greatly from year to year. The outstanding developments of the past year are the educational activities in which Red Cross chapters have engaged in the field of accident prevention and in the establishment of emergency first-aid stations along the highways. In western New York State, chapters cooperated with the national

Farm Credit Administration, division of finance and research, May 20, 1936.

organization through a program of disaster relief following | and for the first 9 months of the present fiscal year (1936) the floods of last summer and fall.

WORK OF UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN BUFFALO GREATLY INCREASED

During recent years the work of the Federal Bureau of Investigation has been greatly increased due to the enactment by Congress and the approval by the President of a series of Federal statutes affording jurisdiction to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the type of cases which had not, prior thereto, been covered by Federal legislation. The more prominent of these Federal laws include those relating to kidnaping, extortion, the interstate transportation of stolen property, the robbery of banks organized and operating under the laws of the United States, interstate flight to avoid prosecution or giving testimony in certain cases, and the Federal anti-racketeering act.

Statistics are not available which particularly set out the accomplishments of the Bureau in the Forty-first District of New York or the specific aid and assistance given to local law-enforcement agencies in that district. However, one of the Bureau's 37 field offices is located in Buffalo, investigating those violations over which the Bureau has investigative jurisdiction in the district covered by the Buffalo office.

In the Buffalo office during the period from July 1, 1935, to May 1, 1936, there were 761 new cases opened on complaints received. On July 1, 1935, that office had 246 pending cases, 97 of which were unassigned; and although 800 cases were closed from July 1, 1935, to May 1, 1936, there still remained 207 pending cases, 67 of which were unassigned on May 1, 1936.

There has been an average of seven special agents assigned to this district, whose investigations since July 1, 1935, have resulted in 30 convictions, the actual suspended and probationary sentences totaling 110 years 8 months 3 days; \$5,001 in claims recovered; property amounting to \$22,808, including the recovery of 34 automobiles and the location of 8 fugitives.

Probably one of the more important types of civil investigations now being conducted by the Bureau are those investigations involving fraudulent claims made in connection with war-risk insurance cases. Since this work was taken over by the Bureau on September 10, 1933, a total of 6,949 cases have been investigated, resulting in savings to the Government of \$78,879,532.04.

The activities of the Bureau are of the nature which afford law-enforcement agencies throughout the country facilities which might not otherwise be available to them. It is practically impossible to estimate the benefits received by the people of the Forty-first District through the widespread efforts being exerted by the Bureau to eradicate crime generally.

UNITED STATES CUSTOMS REPORTS IMPORTS AND EXPORTS AT BUFFALO For customs purposes, Buffalo is included in a customs district which comprises all the counties of Niagara, Erie, Cattaraugus, and Chautauqua, with headquarters in Buffalo.

For the calendar year 1935 the total value of imports for consumption for this customs district was \$75,042,639. The total value of exports, including reexports, was \$69,805,307, according to the records of the Department of Commerce. The total customs duties collected in this customs district for this same period was \$10,357,565. Of this amount \$9,120,-938 was collected at the port of Buffalo, \$1,229,802 at the port of Niagara Falls, and \$6,825 at the station of Dunkirk.

FINES AND FORFEITURES IN BUFFALO AREA TOTAL \$336,528.22

More than \$300,000 came into the Treasury Department as a result of collections made by the United States Attorney through fines and forfeitures.

These collections amounted to \$48,074.61 during the fiscal year 1935, and from July 1, 1935, to March 31, 1936, of the present fiscal year they amounted to \$288,453.61, making a grand total of \$336,528.22 for the period of 1 year and 9

These collections were made solely by the United States Attorney's office in the Western District of New York, which office has an average of 17 persons employed therein and operated under a total expenditure for 1935 of \$50,445.75.

a total expenditure of \$38,126.88.

UNITED STATES BUREAUS AID LAKE SHIPPING

The Bureau of Navigation and Steamboat Inspection inspects steam vessels navigating American waters, foreign steam vessels which embark passengers at American ports, motor vessels of 15 gross tons or over carrying freight or passengers, sailing vessels of 700 tons carrying passengers or freight, and certain types of barges.

The enforcement of laws having to do with safety of vessels and their cargoes and passengers is a function of the

A strict observance of all existing laws looking to the safety of life at sea is demanded by the department. Legislation having for its purpose additional safety requirements and the providing of the machinery necessary to secure compliance therewith has also been recommended by the Bureau.

BUSINESS CONDITIONS IN BUFFALO IMPROVED

Business conditions in Buffalo, N. Y., appear to have improved by 10 percent during the past year. Since the beginning of 1933 the improvement has been about 50 percent. The improvement in Buffalo appears to have been greater than in New York State as a whole.

This information is received from the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor and is

based on the following facts: Employment in the manufacturing industry of Buffalo during the first quarter of 1936 was 9 percent greater than during the first quarter of 1935 and 62 percent greater than

during the first quarter of 1933. This employment remained 27 percent less than during the years 1925-27, however. (New York Department of Labor, Industrial Bulletin.) Pay rolls of manufacturing industries of Buffalo during

the first quarter of 1936 were 13 percent greater than during the first quarter of 1935 and twice as great as during the first quarter of 1933. Such pay rolls remained 38 percent less than the average during 1925-27, however. (New York Department of Labor, Industrial Bulletin.)

Bank clearings in Buffalo increased by 10 percent between April 1935 and April 1936. Bank clearings in the latter month were 50 percent greater than in April 1933. (Commercial and Financial Chronicle.) The increase since 1933 has been due to restoration of banking units and to the rise in the price level, as well as to the increase in the volume of

Department-store sales increased by 5.7 percent between March 1935 and March 1936. In the latter month the sales of reporting stores were 43.4 percent greater than in March 1932. (Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Monthly Review.) The physical volume of sales increased from 1933 to 1936 by less than the indicated percentage since retail price rose during the period.

The improvement in building construction since 1933 has been less in Buffalo than in the United States as a whole. The estimated cost of all such construction begun during the first quarter of 1936 was 48.5 percent greater than the cost during the first quarter of 1933. The expenditures on additions, alterations, and repairs more than doubled during this period. A considerable decline occurred in the construction of new residences, however:

Type of construction	First qu	Percentage	
	1936	1933	change
New residential. New nonresidential. Additions, alterations, and repairs.	\$7, 800 123, 749 216, 454	\$42,500 91,015 100,760	-81. 6 +36. 0 +114. 8
Total	348, 003	234, 275	+48.5

The manufacturing industries of Buffalo which have shown the greatest improvement in employment and pay rolls during the past year are: First, pig iron and steel; second, machinery and electrical apparatus; third, metals, machinery, and conveyances. The greatest improvement since the first

quarter of 1933 has been shown by: First, pig iron and steel; second, machinery and electrical apparatus; third, metals, machinery, and conveyances; fourth, brass, copper, and aluminum; and, fifth, automobiles and parts. The iron and steel industry in Buffalo has nearly reversed its predepression (1925–27) level of employment, in spite of the drastic decline during the depression. Employment in the food-products plants of Buffalo is only 9 percent less than the average during the years 1925–27. Unlike iron and steel, the food-products industries retained a relatively high level of employment during the depression.

Movement of employment and pay rolls of selected manufacturing industries of Buffalo, N. Y.

[Source: New York Department of Labor, Industrial Bulletin]

		Percentage change first quarter 193 compared with previous periods		
Industry	First quarter 1935	First quarter 1933	A verage 3 years 1925-27	
Pig iron and steel: EmploymentPay rolls		Percent +227 +358	Percent -2 -13	
Machinery and electrical apparatus: Employment Pay rolls Metal, machinery, and conveyances:	+32 +40	+150 +269	-25 -41	
Pay rolls	+15	+113 +184	-36 -46	
Pay rolls.	+8	+83 +153	-47 -57	
Employment Pay rolls Railroad equipment and repair shops:	-26 -35	+100 +163	-40 -58	
Employment Pay rolls Products:	+16	+49 +73	-45 -48	
Employment Pay rolls. Chemicals and oil products:	No change	+18 +40	-9 -16	
Pay rolls	+10 +12	+13 +28	-22 -27	
Employment Pay rolls	-1 +9	+62 +100	-27 -38	

BUREAU OF ANIMAL INDUSTRY SPENT \$146,354 IN BUFFALO IN 1935

The Bureau conducts work on the following projects at Buffalo, N. Y.: Control over interstate shipment of livestock to prevent spread of diseases; enforcement of 28-hour law; inspection of animals for import and export; supervision over the importation of hides and other animal byproducts, forage, and so forth; meat inspection; inspection of imported meats and meat food products; tuberculin testing at public stockyards; enforcement of the Packers and Stockyards Act.

All of these activities, with the exception of the enforcement of the Packers and Stockyards Act, are conducted under the supervision of an inspector in charge, who has a force of 15 veterinarians, 30 lay assistants, and 3 clerks.

Up to April 30 of the current fiscal year, import livestock totaling 45,768 cattle, 8,900 swine, and 524 sheep have been inspected. Supervision is maintained at 20 establishments where restricted import animal byproducts are handled.

In the control of interstate transportation of livestock and the enforcement of quarantine and transportation laws, there were inspected, during the calendar year 1935, 259,543 cattle, 416,073 sheep, and 154,671 swine. Much time was also devoted to expediting the movement through the yards to slaughtering establishments of reactors to the tests for tuberculosis and Bang's disease. Cars totaling 2,381 were cleaned and disinfected during the fiscal year 1935 in fulfillment of Bureau regulations or on request of State and railroad officials.

During the calendar year 1935 there were inspected at establishments operating under Federal meat inspection 532,444 cattle, calves, sheep, and swine, and 59,384,381 pounds of meat during such processes as curing, smoking, chopping, cooking, and rendering. The total of the amount of meat inspected includes some duplication, as, for example, the poundage of meat placed in cure is reported separately from

the same meat when it passes to the smokehouses or cooking vats.

The enforcement of the Packers and Stockyards Act, the activities of which involve supervision over the operations and practices of packers, the stockyard company, market agencies, and dealers, and rates and charges for stockyard services, is handled separately by a district supervisor.

Expenditures at Buffalo during the fiscal year 1935 were \$146,354.

UNITED STATES BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION WATCHES ADMISSION OF ALIENS, BARRING UNDESIRABLES, AND AIDS IN NATURALIZATION—BUFFALO AREA AMONG MOST IMPORTANT

Under the supervision of the district director of immigration and naturalization at Buffalo, the Buffalo district embraces 14 counties in northeastern Ohio, 5 in northwestern Pennsylvania, and 27 in western New York, in all of which since August 1933 the work which had previously been carried on by the Immigration Service and the Naturalization Service as separate organizations is now done by a unified agency. In addition, naturalization work only in five counties in northeastern New York is handled by the Buffalo district.

In the Niagara frontier—that is, the territory between Lakes Erie and Ontario-inspection officers are regularly stationed in Buffalo at the Peace Bridge, the Fort Erie Ferry, the Grand Trunk passenger station at Black Rock, and in the summertime, at Crystal Beach, Ontario. At Niagara Falls, inspection officers are regularly stationed at the Falls View Bridge, Lower Arch Bridge, and Queenston-Lewiston Bridge, and, as a summer activity only, inspection work is carried on at the Lewiston dock to take care of steamers from Toronto, Ontario, at Youngstown, N. Y., and at the Maid of the Mist landing at Niagara Falls, N. Y. Further, the territory between Lakes Erie and Ontario and the shore line extending for some miles along each lake are covered by the immigration border patrol, the chief function of which is to prevent the illegal entry of aliens into the United States. There is concentrated in the Niagara frontier area at the district office and at the places already mentioned, a total of 175 employees. The Niagara frontier area is among the most important in the country in the volume of travel across the international boundary. To illustrate this, it might be pointed out that in a year, in which it was estimated that 55,000,000 people crossed both the Canadian and Mexican boundaries into the United States, approximately 9,000,000, or roughly one-sixth of the entire total, entered the United States between Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. While the greater number of these are citizens of the United States returning from temporary absences in Canada, it is required, nevertheless, that each one be inspected, and a large portion of the total were aliens whose detailed examination under the immigation laws is necessary.

In addition to the inspection work there is carried on at both Buffalo and Niagara Falls the very important work of naturalizing alien applicants for citizenship; the investigation of the cases of aliens who are alleged to be or believed to be subject to deportation from the United States; the inspection of airplane arrivals from Canada; the issuance of reentry permits to aliens desiring to proceed abroad temporarily; registration of aliens who entered the United States without a record, prior to June 3, 1921, and who are entitled to legalization of their residence here; the investigation, preliminary to the issuance of certificates of citizenship, of children and women who claim to have derived citizenship in the United States through fathers and husbands; the prosecution of offenders against the Immigration and Naturalization laws; and other activities necessarily allied with the foregoing.

Buffalo is the distributing point for the deportation to Canada of aliens coming from all parts of the United States whose destination is the eastern section of the Dominion, or who are to be deported to European countries via Canadian seaports. These aliens are brought to Buffalo on trans-continental trains, and officers of the Buffalo District proceed with deportation to Canada of their conveyance to Canadian seaports.

During the 10 months from July 1, 1935 to April 30, 1936, there were conducted in the Niagara area over 1,600 investigations; 157 aliens were deported; 196 were allowed to leave voluntarily in lieu of deportation; 187 applications for registry in cases involving entry without record, prior to June 3, 1921, were received; 1,720 were admitted to citizenship, and 87 petitions for citizenship were denied; a total of 367 alien applicants for admission to the United States were denied entry at Buffalo and Niagara Falls during the period mentioned.

The immigration border patrol, during the period from July 1, 1935, to April 30, 1936, patrolled in the Niagara frontier area a total of 170,702 miles by automobile, 11,751 miles on foot, and 1,988 miles by boat; 21,236 freight trains, 6,051 passenger trains, 2,172 automobiles, 2,500 boats, and 1 airplane were examined by the patrol, and a total of 65,030 persons were questioned; 39 aliens seeking to enter the United States illegally were apprehended and a number of these had previous criminal records or had at some prior date been deported from the United States. There was seized, in addition, alcohol valued at \$728; \$50,000 worth of lottery tickets, and \$62.70 in United States stamps, all of which were being smuggled into the United States. Upon information furnished by the immigration border patrol, that organization participated in the seizure of raw wool valued at \$3,000 which had been smuggled into the country. Thirteen persons were delivered to State and municipal police by the patrol, this number including two automobile thieves, two petty thieves, and one mentally defective person. Immigration border-patrol officers effected, as well, during the period mentioned, the rescue from drowning in the Niagara River of two persons.

Contact is maintained by all branches of the Service in the area with the local police, the State police, and other Federal, State, and local law-enforcement authorities with a view to giving them every assistance in their work and to investigate the cases of aliens who may be subject to deportation or other action which may come to the attention of those agencies. Periodical surveys are made to the various institutions in the area, including the New York State Prison at Attica, the Eric County Penitentiary at Wende, N. Y., the Buffalo State Hospital, the Niagara County Sanitarium, and the House of Refuge at Albion, and various county jails, with a view to learning whether any inmates are subject to action by this Service.

BUREAU OF BIOLOGICAL SURVEY PROTECTS ALL SPECIES OF GAME BIRDS IN BUFFALO AREA

This Bureau is deeply concerned with the conservation and protection of all species of birds, its authority is centered in the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and therefore extends only to those species enumerated in article 1 of the treaty. The protection of upland game birds is reposed in the various States, all of which have excellent laws on the subject, and all of which maintain even larger warden forces than does the United States for the protection of migratory species. This Department prescribes regulations under the treaty and act for the hunting of certain of the migratory game birds, and these regulations, when approved and proclaimed by the President, have the force and effect of law. Violations of these regulations are punishable as prescribed in section 6 of the act. For the enforcement of these regulations the Bureau maintains a force of 26 United States game management agents located at strategic points throughout the country-one of whom is located at Owego, N. Y.

These game management agents not only enforce the treaty act and regulations, but also the Lacey Act regulating the interstate shipment of wild animals and parts thereof. Police powers with respect to shipments in interstate commerce were extended to these agents by an amendment to the Lacey Act, approved June 15, 1935. Under the authority of the Lacey Act, the Bureau conducts intensive investigations of all shipments involving furs shipped in violation of State laws. The general practice is to refer such violations to the State authorities for prosecution, but in some cases Federal prosecution is had.

The activities of the Bureau and of the Department in the protection of game birds are not confined entirely to law enforcement. One of the major activities has been the restoration of our depleted waterfowl resources by the acquisition and establishment of breeding and nesting areas under a broad program. Activities also include a comprehensive educational program through the publication and distribution of literature, radio broadcasts, motion pictures, and lectures and addresses before conservation and sporting organizations. In addition to this, the Bureau maintains very cordial cooperative relations with the various State game departments, not only in the enforcement of game laws but in the gathering and dissemination of information concerning feeding and nesting conditions.

Game-management courses sponsored by the Bureau are now being conducted in nine land-grant colleges, whereby the youth of the country may be taught the principles of wildlife conservation and restoration.

Concerning plant pests, probably the most effective curb is the protection afforded song and insectivorous birds under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The value of these birds in the destruction of plant pests is incalculable, and their protection under the treaty is one of the major activities of the Bureau. The control of plant pests is also a function of the Bureau of Entomology and Plant Quarantine.

JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. LUNDEEN. Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, in the summer of 1935 a young man, Ernest Ward Lundeen, conceived the idea that he wanted the signature and fingerprints of the Speaker of the House of Representatives. Mrs. Lundeen and I put him aside by saying, "Well, you had better see the Speaker and get them yourself", hardly thinking that the young lad would venture into the Speaker's private sanctum. Then one morning when breakfasting at the Mayflower Hotel we saw Speaker Byrns and his good wife. We told him about the desire of the young man. The Speaker immediately reached for a pencil and paper and took our son's name and address. We learned later that his autographed photograph went forward to Junior, together with a letter.

One day I entered the Speaker's elevator in the Capitol, and the Speaker turned from a group of Democratic leaders and said to me, "Say, Lundern, I have just had a letter from your young son, and it was a corker." We wanted, of course, to know the contents of the letter, but the Speaker insisted that it was private correspondence. There resulted from this little exchange a friendship between the young man and the Speaker, and whenever Ernest, Jr., came to the Capital from the Valley Forge Military Academy, where he is now studying, he would immediately hurry to the Speaker's office, greet him, and relate to him his progress in the academy.

On another occasion, January 3, 1936, when the President addressed a joint session of the Congress, I took Junior to the Capitol, where we found guards and police and a barred way. Only Members of Congress were allowed to pass. I said, "Junior, I don't know if I can get you through; mother has my only pass."

"Oh, daddy," he said, "Til get through all right—the Speaker's my friend." And so it was. The Speaker was our friend, the friend of every Member, the friend of our boys, the friend of all citizens, remembered and beloved by all.

That so busy a man, with all the cares of state, should take of his precious time to talk to a young lad, to inspire him, and to say to him, as he once did, "Select your ladder, and climb to the top", is typical of great leaders in American public life, but especially so of Joe Byrns. He was a lovable and admirable gentleman, beloved by all, fair in his decisions from the chair. For 25 years Joseph W. Byrns was a Member of Congress from his great Tennessee constituency, which draws its inspiration from the hermitage of Andrew Jackson.

America was shocked at his sudden passing. When he left he fell, as does the great pine looming against the western skies, and falling, leaves a vacant space on the horizon. His passing leaves a pall of gloom over the last days of this Congress. He rests in his beloved soil of Tennessee. He is home with his friends, gathered to his fathers. But he is not forgotten in Minnesota, not so long as the young lad whom he befriended and inspired remains to remember him. He is not forgotten and will be remembered by millions everywhere who recall his genial smile and his courteous, gentlemanly manner.

HON, JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. KENNEDY of New York. Mr. Speaker, we assembled in this legislative Hall of the Nation on June 5 to pay respect to the memory of our late Speaker, Joseph W. Byrns, of Tennessee. Today the duty which the hour imposes upon me is a labor of love, and I gladly welcome the opportunity to pay tribute to his noble attributes of mind and heart.

I had the pleasure of serving with Speaker Byrns since the Seventy-first Congress. For the past 2 years, as neighbors, we occupied adjoining apartments at the Hotel Mayflower, and, meeting frequently, I had the opportunity to observe and grew to admire the sterling qualities of his character. I shall leave to others the pleasure of reciting the accomplishments of his long public career while I content myself with calling attention to what seemed to me the dominant element of his life—a genial, social kindness of his nature.

It is a noble tribute to a man when it can be said that his life was marked by uniform kindness, and the more you know of him the better you grow to like him. This can be said of our worthy friend, whose memory we cherish and whose life of service and whose brotherly kindness we admire.

I have sometimes thought that the element of kindness is the noblest element of our nature; that the best and sweetest thing in this world is simple, common, everyday kindness. Kindness is the most beautiful flower that grows and blooms in the soil of the soul. It is the one celestial flower that blooms over the walls of paradise and fell from the garden of the skies; its petals caught and carried the fragrance of heaven, and it fills the earth with incense of gladness when it sweeps the existence of time and reaches through boundless space even from the pit of hell to the gates of heaven and sits enthroned the best influence that sweetens life or molds the soul—kindness.

And so I can pay my friend no finer tribute than to say that in daily life he exemplified the spirit of kindness in his contact with his fellow men.

He believed with Washington Irving that-

A kind heart is a fountain of gladness suffusing everything. Around it with pleasure and freshens everything into smiles.

I know he thought with Hans Christian Andersen that-

The best love man can offer
To a God of love, be sure.
Is mercy to God's little ones
And kindness to God's poor.

Congressman Byrns' kindness to little ones was constantly brought to my attention. I have a little son, now 7 years old, who was a great admirer of the Speaker. Every time Mr. Byrns met John in the elevator, in the lobby, or even on the street, he took the time to stop, have a little chat, admire John's baseball suit, and ask him if he, too, was going to be a Congressman.

And so his life can teach us all that which we all should know: That kindness is beautiful—beautiful like the memory of your dear old mother's face; that kindness is the open door to happiness; that kindness is the golden key that unlocks and gives you the passport to all hearts, to friendship with the world's noblest and best, to happiness on earth, success in life, and entrance through the gates of heaven.

And so, thus living a life of service and kindness, his buoyant, courteous manner never deserted him when the end came—

Like a shadow thrown softly and sweetly From a passing cloud, death fell upon him—

And when for Joseph W. Byrns the gold bowl was broken and the silver cord loosed, he, too, could approach his grave—

Like one who wraps the drapery of his couch About him, and lies down to pleasant dreams.

And when his path of life led to the door of the night of death, it opened for him into the gateway of glorious immortality. And we who knew and loved him trust in that hope born of Christian faith that he found that—

Death is but an angel, who to man at last his freedom brings The grave is but a nest in which the soul shall find its wings.

JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. COOPER of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I desire to take advantage of this opportunity to raise my voice in brief tribute to the life, character, and public service of my warm personal friend and distinguished colleague from Tennessee, the Honorable Joseph W. Byrns, Speaker of the House of Representatives, who recently passed away.

When I became a Member of Congress 8 years ago Mr. Byrns then had a distinguished record of 20 years of continuous service as a Member of this body. Although I had known him very pleasantly for many years before my period of service began, I became much closer associated with him, and my acquaintance with him ripened into one of the closest and warmest friendships it has ever been my privilege to enjoy.

Mr. Byrns came from as fine a stock of Tennessee people and as splendid a type of true patriotic citizenship as could be found anywhere. As a boy in his native Robertson County, Tenn., he worked on a farm and met the problems of life with that high degree of courage and devotion to duty that formed the character of the man which sustained him through the years of his great and useful life. He was a man of splendid educational and mental attainment and possessed the highest attributes of Christian character.

The public service of Mr. Byrns extended over a long period of years. He served as a member of the House of Representatives of the Tennessee Legislature and as speaker of that body. He also served as a member of the State Senate of Tennessee. His distinguished service to the State as a member of the legislature merited for him his election as a Member of Congress, where he established a record which has been equaled by few men in the history of our country. Early in his congressional career he became a member of the important Committee on Appropriations and later served as chairman of this committee. He was recognized as one of the outstanding authorities of the country on all matters coming under the jurisdiction of this committee. He served with great distinction as majority leader and was the honored and beloved Speaker of the House of Representatives at the time of his death. He was a truly great statesman and most valuable public servant. I have often said that I regarded JOE BYRNS, as he was affectionately called by all of us, as the most popular Member with whom I had ever been privileged to serve. He represented the great Hermitage district of Tennessee, which was the home of Andrew Jackson, for a period of 28 years of continuous service. This is the longest period of time that any man has ever served in Congress from the State of Tennessee. It was my privilege to be a member of the congressional committee that made the last long journey from Washington to Nashville with his remains for the funeral service. The immense throng of people present on this occasion in Nashville, numbering many thousands of his neighbors and friends, gave unmistakable evidence of his popularity and the very high esteem in which he was held by all who knew him.

He fell at his post of duty just as he would have preferred to go. His congressional district, the State of Tennessee, and the Nation have suffered a great loss in his untimely death.

His great life will ever be an inspiration to his devoted wife and his noble son, and may well be emulated by those who come after him.

JOE BYRNS AS I REMEMBER HIM

Mr. RANDOLPH. Mr. Speaker, I recall the precious friendship with Joe Byrns, our late Speaker of the House of Representatives. It is always unfortunate that only after such an association has been severed there comes the full realization of how much we treasured it.

I am remembering now my visit to Washington shortly after having been elected in November of 1932. I was youthful and inexperienced in the new duties which I was to perform. When I went to see Joe Byrns he did not hurry me out of his office with a quickly worded parting, but with kindly attitude he went over carefully the procedure and rules in this body and impressed upon me the importance of the task, and then it was that I realized he had a keen interest in younger men. I thought back to the congressional campaign of 1930 and my unsuccessful effort in that year, and I recalled the help he had extended at that time.

My friendship and affection for him increased with our association, and I shall never forget his personal visit to my congressional district in 1934, when he delivered three splendid addresses in my behalf within 24 hours at my home town of Elkins and at Martinsburg and Keyser.

Many, many times during the coming months was I to seek his kindly counsel and sound advice.

It always means much to a younger man to have the aid of someone who is older, and this is particularly true in public life. I know that to we younger Members of Congress Joe Byrns was a real friend, and by his life he lived to the fullest the words of an old poem, which follows:

> An old man, going a lone highway, Came, at the evening, cold and gray, To a chasm, vast and deep and wide, Through which was flowing a sullen tide. The old man crossed in the twilight dim; The sullen stream had no fears for him; The sullen stream had no fears for him; But he turned, when safe on the other side, And built a bridge to span the tide.
>
> "Old man", said a fellow pilgrim, near,
>
> "You are wasting strength with building here;
> Your journey will end with the ending day;
> You never again must pass this way;
> You have crossed the chasm, deep and wide,
> Why build you the bridge at eventide?"

> The builder lifted his old gray head:
> "Good friend, in the path I have come", he said,
> "There followeth after me today
> A youth, whose feet must pass this way.
> This chasm, that has been naught to me,
> To that fair-haired youth may a pitfall be.
> He, too, must cross in the twilight dim;
> Good friend, I am building the bridge for him."

Certainly Joe Byrns was a builder of bridges.

JOSEPH W. BYRNS

Mr. DARROW. Mr. Speaker, this Government has suffered an irreparable loss in the death of our dearly beloved colleague and distinguished Speaker, the Honorable Joseph W. Byrns. No more faithful, trustworthy, or capable Representative ever served his constituency, his State, or his country. His fine qualities of earnestness, perseverance, and fairness were always readily apparent, and he richly deserved the honors accorded him by his election, first, as majority leader in the House, as well as his subsequent elevation to the Speakership.

One of the greatest rewards service in this honorable body accords is the opportunity for the formation of beautiful friendships, wherein political ties are entirely forgotten and Members may enjoy true companionship and pleasant associations. In my 22 years of service here I was privileged to know Joe Byrns as a real friend. I shall ever hold in pleasant memory his beautiful character, his human sympathy, as well as his deep devotion to those who were near and dear to him.

Within the past year the Speaker kindly consented to my request that one of my constituents be permitted to make a mask of him, and as I have a reproduction of that mask in my office here I shall continually be reminded of his many kindnesses to me, his achievements, and the rewards which came to him, as well as our beautiful friendship.

FLOOD VICTIMS RECEIVE PROMPT RELIEF

Mr. SNYDER of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, the March floods throughout the Nation left destruction in their path.

This was especially true in eastern United States, where the floods were most severe.

The city of Johnstown, Pa., was one of the cities that was damaged most. The stream that carries the big waters to Johnstown that caused the flood and destruction has its headwaters in my district, Somerset County, Pa. This stream, Stony Creek by name, and its tributaries drain the mountain side and plateaus of all northern Somerset County, and during heavy rains and especially when these rains come in the spring when the mountains are covered with snow, a considerable amount of damage is done to the farmers and home owners along the shores of these streams.

Of course, some of the suburbs of Johnstown lie in my district, and it is the firm belief of the people living in Stony Creek that we must have flood control on Stony Creek and a few of its branches if we are going to prevent a repetition of the terrible disaster of March 1936.

If the Federal Government would spend just one one-hundredth in building dams of what the flood destruction was. we could make the valley of Johnstown safe. In other words, the loss caused by the flood in March was more than 100 times greater than would be the cost to build floodcontrol dams

On this basis I introduced into Congress, after the March floods, the following bill:

A bill for a complete survey of Stony Creek watershed, from its mouth, where it empties into the Conemaugh, in Johnstown,

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of War be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to make a complete survey of Stony Creek watershed, Somerset County, Pa., from its mouth, where the Government flood-control agency building not less than two nor more than four flood-control dams at the proper places designated by the Army Engineers on Stony Creek or its tributaries, for the purpose of preventing disastrous floods like those which swept down on Johnstown, Pa., in 1889 and 1936.

Of course, there was no time after the flood to get it through this session of Congress, but in the Seventy-fifth Congress we hope to see this measure passed or else included in a general flood-control measure.

Mr. Speaker, the promptness with which the Federal Government comes to the rescue of flood victims is indeed worthy of comment. This I find is true all over the Nation wherever the floods raged.

No wonder the flood victims and all who were directly or indirectly affected are strong for our administration.

In my own congressional district the following sums have been approved and granted since May of this year:

Reconstruction of streets, sidewalks, alleys, Benson Burrough Construction of a new channel in Willis Creek, Glen-	\$30, 309 10, 550
Construction of a new channel in Willis Creek, Glen-	
COE	
Bridge construction	6, 611
Bridge construction	7, 115
Turkeyfoot Township	7,912
Allegheny Township	5, 899
Cring Township	5, 050
Summit Township	7, 852
Middlecreek Township	4,042
Allegheny Township	7,998
Do	9,034
Route 88819	7, 115
Payette County, Pa.:	
Bridge construction:	16,964
Uniontown	3,947
Do	5, 227
Do	5, 553
Menallan Township	4, 468
Over Bigger Run	8, 132

These are the sums allotted to date to my district. As the months go on this will be multiplied. The nice thing about it is there is not a superabundance of red tape. I find the Department handling this, here in Washington, very efficient as well as very much interested.

Mr. Speaker, we talk about working 6 hours a day. I happen to know that in many of these emergency departments they work 12 hours a day and some of the emergency heads do, as well as Congressmen do in the closing days of the session. They work 14 or 16 hours a day.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. O'CONNOR. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 52 minutes p. m.), in pursuance of House Concurrent Resolution 53, the House adjourned until Monday, June 15, 1936, at 12 o'clock noon.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows: 865. A letter from the Secretary of the Navy, transmitting a draft of a proposed bill to authorize the acquisition of lands in the San Francisco Bay area as a site for a naval supply base and to authorize the construction and installation of facilities for a naval supply base thereon; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

866. A letter from the Chairman of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, transmitting pursuant to the provisions of section 201 (b), title II, of the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932, the report of its activities and expenditures for April 1936 (H. Doc. No. 505), was taken from the Speaker's table, referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency, and ordered to be printed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII.

Mr. DISNEY: Committee on Ways and Means. H. R. 10483. A bill to provide revenue from the importation of crude petroleum and its products; without amendment (Rept. No. 2953). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. JONES: Committee on Agriculture. H. R. 12694. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to extend and renew for the term of 10 years a lease to the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Co. of a tract of land in the United States Department of Agriculture Range Livestock Experiment Station, in the State of Montana, and for a right-of-way to said tract, for the removal of gravel and ballast material, executed under the authority of the act of Congress approved June 9, 1926; without amendment (Rept. No. 2954). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. MANSFIELD: Committee on Rivers and Harbors. H. R. 12873. A bill to authorize completion, maintenance, and operation of certain facilities for navigation on the Columbia River, and for other purposes; with amendment (Rept. No. 2955). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mrs. NORTON: Committee on the District of Columbia. H. R. 12888. A bill to provide for the erection of a building to be used exclusively for the recorder of deeds; without amendment (Rept. No. 2956). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mrs. NORTON: Committee on the District of Columbia. House Joint Resolution 602. Joint resolution to provide for the maintenance of public order and the protection of life and property in connection with the Presidential inaugural ceremonies in 1937; without amendment (Rept. No. 2957). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mrs. NORTON: Committee on the District of Columbia. House Joint Resolution 604. Joint resolution authorizing the granting of permits to the Committee on Inaugural Ceremonies on the occasion of the inauguration of the President-elect in January 1937, and for other purposes; without amendment (Rept. No. 2958). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. MITCHELL of Tennessee: Committee on Agriculture. S. 3784. An act to extend the benefits of the Adams Act,

the Purnell Act, and the Capper-Ketcham Act to the Territory of Alaska, and for other purposes; without amendment (Rept. No. 2959). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. DE ROUEN: Committee on the Public Lands. S. 4707. An act for the relief of certain purchasers of lots in Harding town site, Florida, and for the relief of the heirs of Lewis G. Norton; without amendment (Rept. No. 2960). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. BLOOM: Committee on Foreign Affairs. S. 4667. An act to prohibit the commercial use of the coat of arms of the Swiss Confederation pursuant to the obligation of the Government of the United States under article 28 of the Red Cross Convention signed at Geneva, July 27, 1929; without amendment (Rept. No. 2961). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. BLAND: Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. H. R. 12951. A bill to extend the laws governing inspection of vessels, and for other purposes; without amendment (Rept. No. 2962). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 3 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. Derouen: A bill (H. R. 12956) to provide for the addition or additions of certain lands to the Fort Donelson National Military Park in the State of Tennessee, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. DIRKSEN: A bill (H. R. 12957) to provide for a preliminary examination of the Mackinaw River in Illinois with a view to flood control and to determine the cost of such improvement; to the Committee on Flood Control.

By Mrs. GREENWAY: A bill (H. R. 12958) providing for relief in cases of desert-land applications or entries of lands within Verde River irrigation and power district, Arizona; to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. MALONEY: A bill (H. R. 12959) to authorize the Parish of Jefferson to have and to lease the mineral and oil rights for drilling, production, and handling of same on certain lands being a canal right-of-way in the State of Louisiana; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. SNYDER of Pennsylvania: A bill (H. R. 12960) for a complete survey of Stony Creek watershed from its mouth where it empties into the Conemaugh in Johnstown, Pa.; to the Committee on Flood Control.

Also, a bill (H. R. 12961) to provide for the location and survey by the Bureau of Public Roads of a system of three transcontinental and six north-south highways; to the Committee on Roads.

By Mr. THURSTON: A bill (H. R. 12962) to amend the act entitled "An act to promote the conservation and profitable use of agricultural land resources by temporary Federal aid to farmers and by providing for a permanent policy of Federal aid to States for such purposes"; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. WILCOX: A bill (H. R. 12963) to amend an act entitled "An act to establish a uniform system of bank-ruptcy throughout the United States", approved July 1, 1898, and acts amendatory thereof and supplementary thereto; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. DICKSTEIN: Resolution (H. Res. 546) to authorize an investigation of the "Black Legion", and for other purposes: to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. DISNEY: Resolution (H. Res. 547) providing for the consideration of H. R. 10483, a bill to provide revenue from the importation of crude petroleum and its products; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. SABATH: Resolution (H. Res. 548) authorizing further expenditures of \$25,000 to be paid out of the contingent fund of the House for the continuance of the investigation by the Select Committee to Investigate Real Estate Bondholders' Reorganizations; to the Committee on Accounts.

ch

By Mr. DIRKSEN: Joint resolution (H. J. Res. 622) proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to disapproval of items in general appropriation bills; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. LUCKEY: Joint resolution (H. J. Res. 623) proposing an amendment to the Constitution permitting the President of the United States to disapprove or reduce any item of any appropriation bill passed by Congress; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. DICKSTEIN: Concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 55) to authorize a joint committee to investigate the "Black Legion", and for other purposes; to the Committee on Rules.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BROWN of Michigan: A bill (H. R. 12964) granting a pension to Martha Bertha Rapin; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. FERGUSON: A bill (H. R. 12965) granting a pension to C. R. McGill; to the Committee on Pensions.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

11048. By Mr. BETTER: Petition of Branch No. 71 of Neon Workers, of Buffalo, N. Y., supporting the Wagner-Ellenbogen housing bill; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

11049. By Mr. KENNEY: Petition of the Ridgefield Park Council, No. 123, Ridgefield Park, N. J., favoring Senate bill 4011 and House bill 11172, and the defeat of the Kerr bill; to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

11050. By Mr. McCORMACK: Memorial of the Senate of Massachusetts, memorializing Congress in opposition to certain pending legislation relative to price fixing of coal; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11051. By Mr. PFEIFER: Petition of the Brooklyn Metal Trades Council, Navy Yard, New York, urging favorable action on the Dickstein Resolution No. 527; to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

11052. Also, petition of the Geiger Products Co., Inc., New York, urging support of the Bailey amendment; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11053. Also, telegram from Bertram Reinitz, editor, Garment Trade Review, New York City, concerning the Pope amendment in the revenue bill; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11054. Also, telegram from Samuel Klein, executive director, Industrial Council of Cloak, Suit, and Skirt Manufacturers, Inc., New York City, concerning the Pope amendment in the revenue bill; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11055. Also, telegram from Joseph L. DuBow, executive director, Merchants Ladies' Garment Association, Inc., New York City, concerning the Pope amendment in the revenue bill; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11056. Also, telegram from Alexander Printz, chairman, National Coat and Suit Industry Recovery Board, of New York City, concerning the Pope amendment in the revenue bill; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11057. By Mr. SADOWSKI: Petition of the Associated Credit Bureaus of Michigan, Inc., favoring the construction of the Straits of Mackinac Bridge; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

11058. By Mr. SIROVICH: Petition of the East Side Housing Conference of New York, Fourteenth District, supporting the Wagner housing bill; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

11059. By the SPEAKER: Copy of proceeding of the Thirty-ninth Annual Convention of the Tennessee Federation of Labor held in Chattanooga May 4-6, 1936; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

11060. Also, petition of the International Falls Local, No. 49, of International Falls, Minn.; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

11061. Also, resolution of the city of Macon, Ga., favoring enactment of the United States Housing Act, being bill 4424, by Wagner, and bill 12164, by Ellenbogen; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

11062. Also, petition of the Portsmouth Central Labor Union, of Portsmouth, Va., endorsing the Wagner-Ellenbogen housing bill; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

11063. Also, petition of the South Carolina Tuberculosis Association, of Columbia, S. C., endorsing House bill 12460; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

SENATE

MONDAY, JUNE 15, 1936

The Chaplain, Rev. ZgBarney T. Phillips, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Almighty God, our Heavenly Father, who art all-wise, all-knowing, and all-loving: Abide with us this day, as again we commit ourselves to Thy gracious keeping. Grant that amid all life's storms and troubles we may rest in Thee, safe under Thy care, governed by Thy will, guarded by Thy love.

Breathe upon us with the breath of Thy Holy Spirit, that Thy presence may be power, by which we shall be directed with gentle compulsion to the completion of the work Thou hast called upon us to perform.

We ask it in the name of the Master of all good workmen, Jesus Christ, Thy Son, our Lord. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

On request of Mr. Robinson, and by unanimous consent, the reading of the Journal of the proceedings of Monday, June 8, 1936, was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. LEWIS. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Adams	Carey	Holt	Pope
Ashurst	Chavez	King	Radcliffe
Austin	Clark	La Follette	Reynolds
Bachman	Connally	Lewis	Robinson
Bailey	Copeland	Loftin	Russell
Barbour	Couzens	Lonergan	Schwellenbac
Barkley	Davis	Long	Sheppard
Benson	Dieterich	McAdoo	Shipstead
Bilbo	Donahey	McGill	Smith
Black	Duffy	McKellar	Steiwer
Bone	Fletcher	McNary	Thomas, Okl
Borah	Frazier	Maloney	Thomas, Uta
Brown	George	Metcalf	Townsend
Bulkley	Gerry	Moore	Truman
Bulow	Gibson	Murray	Vandenberg
Burke	Guffey	Neely	Wagner
Byrd	Hale	Norris	Walsh
Byrnes	Hastings	Nye	Wheeler
Capper	Hatch	O'Mahoney	11 2100.02
Caraway	Hayden	Pittman	

Mr. LEWIS. I announce that the Senator from Alabama [Mr. Bankhead], the Senator from Colorado [Mr. Costigan], the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. Harrison], and the Senator from Nevada [Mr. McCarran] are absent because of illness, and that the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Glass], the Senator from Oklahoma [Mr. Gore], the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. Logan], the junior Senator from Indiana [Mr. Minton], the Senator from Iowa [Mr. Murphy], the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Overton], the Senator from Maryland [Mr. Tydings], and the senior Senator from Indiana [Mr. Van Nuys] are necessarily detained from the Senate.

Mr. AUSTIN. I announce that the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Keyes] is necessarily detained from the Senate, and that the Senator from Iowa [Mr. DICKINSON] and the Senator from Maine [Mr. White] are necessarily absent.

Mr. McNARY. I announce that the Senator from California [Mr. Johnson] is absent on account of illness.